



**REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPACT FEE (RTIF)  
POLICY COMMITTEE**

**3:30 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.  
Wednesday, January 13, 2010**

**Suisun City Council Chambers  
701 Civic Center Blvd.  
Suisun City, CA 94585**

**MEETING AGENDA**

- I. CALL TO ORDER/INTRODUCTIONS** Chair Spring  
(3:30 – 3:35 p.m.)
- II. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**  
(3:35 – 3:40 p.m.)
- III. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS**  
(3:40 – 3:45 p.m.)

Pursuant to the Brown Act, public agencies must provide the public with an opportunity to speak on any matter within the subject matter jurisdiction of the agency and which is not on the agency’s agenda for that meeting. Comments are limited to no more than 3 minutes per speaker. Gov’t Code §54954.3(a). By law, no action may be taken on any item raised during the public comment period although informational answers to questions may be given and matters may be referred to staff for placement on a future agenda of the agency.

This agenda is available upon request in alternative formats to persons with a disability, as required by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. §12132) and the Ralph M. Brown Act (Cal. Govt. Code §54954.2). Persons requesting a disability-related modification or accommodation should contact Johanna Masielat, Clerk of the Board, at (707) 424-6008 during regular business hours, at least 24 hours prior to the time of the meeting.

- IV. CONSENT CALENDAR**  
*(Note: Items under consent calendar may be removed for separate discussion.)*  
(3:45 – 3:50 p.m.)

- A. RTIF Policy Committee Meeting Minutes of December 9, 2009** Sam Shelton, STA  
*Recommendation:*  
*Approve the RTIF Committee Meeting Minutes of December 9, 2009.*  
**Pg. 1**

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS**

City of Benicia	City of Dixon	City of Fairfield	City of Rio Vista	City of Suisun City	City of Vacaville	City of Vallejo	County of Solano
Elizabeth Patterson Jim Erickson	Jack Batchelor, Jr. Nancy Huston	Harry Price Sean Quinn	Jan Vick Hector de la Rosa	Pete Sanchez Suzanne Bragdon	Len Augustine Laura Kuhn	Osby Davis Robert Adams	Jim Spering Michael Johnson

**RTIF Committee packet information is available on  
STA’s website: [www.solanolinks.com](http://www.solanolinks.com)**

- B. Review RTIF Stakeholder Committee Draft Meeting Minutes of December 17, 2009** Sam Shelton, STA  
*Recommendation:*  
*Receive and file.*  
**Pg. 5**

**V. ACTION ITEMS**

- A. Project Selection Criteria** Jason Moody,  
*Recommendation:* Economic Planning  
*Approve the Project Selection Criteria as Shown in* Systems (EPS)  
*Attachment A*  
(3:50 – 4:00 p.m.)  
**Pg. 11**

**VI. INFORMATION ITEMS**

- A. Draft RTIF Project List as Shown in Attachment A** Robert Macaulay, STA  
*Informational*  
(4:00 – 4:10 p.m.)  
**Pg. 13**

**VII. NEXT POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING TOPICS** Daryl Halls, STA

- A. Discussion of Implementation and Allocation Options**  
(4:10 – 4:20 p.m.)

**VIII. CLOSING COMMENTS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS** Committee Members  
(4:20 – 4:30 p.m.)

**IX. ADJOURNMENT**

The next Regional Transportation Impact Fee (RTIF) Policy Committee Meeting will be on **March 10, 2010 at 3:30 p.m. at the Suisun City Council Chambers.**

**SOLANO TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY**  
**Regional Transportation Impact Fee (RTIF)**  
**Policy Committee**  
**December 9, 2009**

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Pete Sanchez called the meeting to order at 3:30 p.m.

MEMBERS Policy Makers

PRESENT:

Vice Mayor Alan Schwartzman	City of Benicia
Vice Mayor Rick Fuller	City of Dixon
Mayor Harry Price	City of Fairfield
Mayor Jan Vick	City of Rio Vista
Mayor Pete Sanchez	City of Suisun City
Mayor Len Augustine	City of Vacaville

City Managers/CAO

Nancy Huston, City Manager	City of Dixon
Hector De La Rosa, City Manager	City of Rio Vista
Laura Kuhn, City Manager	City of Vacaville
Mike Johnson, CAO	Solano County

MEMBERS  
ABSENT:

Supervisor Jim Spering (Chair)	Solano County
Mayor Elizabeth Patterson	City of Benicia
Mayor Jack Batchelor, Jr.	City of Dixon
Mayor Jack Batchelor, Jr.	City of Dixon
Jim Erickson, City Manager	City of Benicia
Sean Quinn, City Manager	City of Fairfield
Gary Leach, (Alternate)	City of Vallejo
Suzanne Bragdon, City Manager	City of Suisun City

STA STAFF:

Daryl Halls	Executive Director
Charles Lamoree	STA Legal Counsel
Janet Adams	Deputy Executive Director/Director of Projects
Robert Macaulay	Director of Planning
Sam Shelton	Project Manager
Karen Koelling	Administrative Assistant II

ALSO  
PRESENT:

Gene Cortright	City of Fairfield, Public Works Director
Dan Kasperson	City of Suisun City, Public Works Director
Jeff Knowles	City of Vacaville, Deputy Public Works Director
Walter Kieser	Economic Planning Systems
George Untal	Solano County, CAO staff

**II. APPROVAL OF MAY 4, 2009 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPACT FEE POLICY COMMITTEE AGENDA**

On a motion by Mayor Len Augustine and a second by Mayor Harry Price, the RTIF Policy Committee unanimously approved the May 4, 2009 meeting agenda.

**III. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS**

No public comment.

**IV. CONSENT CALENDAR**

On a motion by Mayor Len Augustine and a second by Mayor Harry Price, the RTIP Policy Committee approved Consent Calendar Item A.

**A. RTIF Steering Committee Meeting Minutes of May 4, 2009**

Recommendation:

Approve the RTIF Committee Meeting Minutes of May 4, 2009.

**V. ACTION ITEMS (none)**

**VI. INFORMATION ITEMS**

**A. Nexus Study Development Update**

Daryl Halls explained the process used to arrive to where we are and what the responsibility of each representative of the three different committees 1) Working Group, 2) Policy and 3) Stake Holders.

**B. Frequently Asked Questions Update**

Jason Moody reviewed the most frequently asked questions as well as provided answers to the questions raised.

**C. Project Selection Criteria and Impact Fee Program Implementation Options**

Jason Moody explained the different criterion that was presented to the Working group and explained their recommendations for project selection criteria.

Mayor Pete Sanchez asked if other RTIF program use these criteria. Sam Shelton responded that between the 17 other RTIF counties in California, STA and EPS staff have reviewed 5 to 6 counties similar to Solano County in depth to bring these criteria to each of the RTIF committees for discussion. Hector De La Rosa discussed his experience with the Transportation Authority of Monterey County (TAMC) regarding the development of an impact fee in Monterey.

Vice Mayor Rick Fuller asked who would be paying this fee. Chuck Lamoree stated that the fee would be collected with development permits by each local agency. Hector De La Rosa asked if fee credits would still be considered. Mr. Lamoree and Jason Moody replied that a fee credit policy is possible and would be discuss at later meetings.

Mike Johnson asked if project proximity to development could be considered as a selection criteria. Jason Moody said that it could be considered.

Vice Mayor Alan Schwartzman asked to discuss why staff recommended against supporting the absolute project selection criteria that would exclude local fee projects from the RTIF. Jeff Knowles discussed how agencies with large local fees and many projects would welcome a RTIF to help fund regional projects that overlap with local fee projects. Mr. Knowles continued to note that agencies with small fees and less than regional local fee projects would favor a “return to source” fee option to pay for local fee projects.

Vice Mayor Alan Schwartzman asked if this fee was based on new development. Jason Moody answered that the RTIF is a development impact fee, based on the transportation impacts of new development on the transportation system. Mr. Moody continued to note that a city such as Benicia would generate few fees due to their limited capacity to grow.

Vice Mayor Alan Schwartzman asked how the fees would be distributed equitably. Jason Moody described the equity fair-share project selection criteria and how it could be based on population; but, noted that it is not recommended since fee program implementation options could create equity without needing project selection criteria.

Laura Kuhn asked if this impact fee would need voter approval or city council approval. Daryl Halls answered that fee programs are adopted by city councils that want to participate, meaning that some cities could choose not to participate.

Mayor Sanchez asked that STA staff provide the meeting minutes from all RTIF committees for review by the RTIF Policy Committee.

**VII. JANUARY 13, 2010 MEETING TOPICS**

Daryl Halls reminded the committee of its next meeting date and future action items.

**VIII. CLOSING COMMENTS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS**

None.

**XI. ADJOURNMENT**

The STA’s RTIF Policy Committee meeting adjourned at 4:15 p.m. The next Regional Traffic Impact Fee (RTIF) Policy Committee Meeting will be **January 13, 2010 at 3:30 p.m. at the Suisun City Council Chambers.**

**THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.**



**REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPACT FEE (RTIF)  
Stakeholders Committee Meeting  
Minutes for the meeting of  
December 17, 2009**

**DRAFT**

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

The RTIF Stakeholders Committee was called to order at approximately 1:35 p.m. in the Chambers of Suisun City Hall by Mayor Pete Sanchez.

**Present:**

**Elected Representatives:**

Mike Ioakimedes	City of Benicia
Kay Cayler	City of Dixon
Jack Krebs	City of Rio Vista
Mike Hudson	City of Suisun City
Dilenna Harris	City of Vacaville
Erin Hannigan	City of Vallejo
Linda Seifert	County of Solano

**Residential Developers:**

Jay Torres-Munga	Seenno Homes
Sandy Person	Solano EDC

**Agricultural Business,  
Environment & Taxpayers  
Group**

Jim Immer	Lewis Planned Homes
Bob Glover	HBANC
Jim Williams	Solano County Taxpayers Association
Christina Wong	Greenbelt Alliance

**Commercial & Industrial  
Developers**

Troy Estacio	Buzz Oates Enterprises
Robert Jones	Cregan & D'Angelo
Tom Philippi	Philippi Engineering

**Engineers & Brokers**

**Mixed Use/In-Fill Developers**

**Environmental Justice**

**Representative**

**STA**

Mary Frances Kelly Poh	Benicia Community Action Council
Jason Moody	Economic Planning Systems
Daryl Halls	STA Staff
Janet Adams	STA Staff
Robert Macaulay	STA Staff
Sam Shelton	STA Staff
Robert Guerrero	STA Staff
Karen Koelling	STA Staff

**Also Present**

Jeff Knowles City of Vacaville  
Dan Kasperson City of Suisun City  
Paul Wiese County of Solano

**Absent**

Jason Quintel Panattoni Development  
Ricardo Capretta Westrust  
Rick Vicarro City of Fairfield  
Anthony Russo B & L Properties  
Fran Spero Solano/Napa Habitat for Humanity  
Mike Rice Main Street West Partners  
Curt Johansen Triad Communities  
Crystal Odum Ford Vallejo Transit  
Nick Faklis AG Spanos Company  
Brooks Pedder Colliers International  
Derrick Lum Solano Farm Bureau  
Bob Hammons Garaventa Properties

**II. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES**

Robert Macaulay asked that the committee table the action to approve the meeting minutes until they become available. On a motion by Mary Frances Kelly Poh and a second by Jim Williams, the RTIF Stakeholders Committee tabled the meeting minutes from October 22, 2009.

**III. ACTION ITEMS**

**A. Project Selection Criteria**

Mr. Jason Moody of Economic Planning Systems gave a review regarding the need to set the criteria before we can make any decisions on what projects would be put on the list. Mr. Moody discussed each criteria, beginning with the Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP) absolute criteria. Robert Macaulay described the CTP update process to the Stakeholders Committee and how the draft list of RTIF projects were selected from the draft CTP list.

Supervisor Linda Seifert asked if absolute criteria could be changed to ranking criteria. Jason Moody answered that this is possible and noted that staff describes such a criteria change for the “also address existing deficiencies” criteria, which can be used as both an absolute and ranking criteria.

Councilmember Mike Ioakimedes suggested that the top three criteria should be those that focus on congestion relief, reducing green house gas (GHG) emissions, and reducing vehicle miles traveled (VMT).

Councilmember Dilenna Harris asked STA staff what the next steps would be to implement the project selection criteria and begin a RTIF program. Daryl Halls stated that the Policy Committee would review and recommend project selection criteria at their meeting on January 13, 2010, based on the RTIF Technical Working Group and Stakeholder Committee’s recommendations.

Jim Williams recommended against considering GHG criteria, stating that funding non-car related projects would be a poor investment. Councilmember Mike Hudson echoed Mr. Williams' comments, adding that congestion relief and better traffic flow will reduce GHG emissions. Councilmember Hudson was also concerned that criteria used to measure the potential reduction of GHG emissions by a project would be too subjective. Jason Moody acknowledged committee member concerns, but noted that it would be prudent to include GHG criteria given AB 32 requirements.

Jason Moody noted that AB32 is currently focused on the reduction of VMT; however, staff is recommending a three-part GHG criteria, involving VMT, Vehicle Hours of Delay (VHD) and the potential to shift people from cars to alternative modes (mode shift). Mr. Moody noted that this is not being recommended as an absolute criteria, but as a ranking criteria. The priority of each ranking criteria has yet to be determined.

Christina Wong asked if staff's recommended GHG criteria are related to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission's (MTC) Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) work, as mandated by SB 375. Robert Macaulay described SB 375 to the Stakeholders Committee as legislation that ties transportation and land use decisions. Mr. Macaulay replied that MTC and the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) are jointly developing SCSs by 2012 and have not yet drafted criteria to measure GHG reduction potential of SCS projects or recommendations. Ms. Wong asked if the RTIF policies could help with MTC and ABAG's work. Daryl Halls noted that such criteria would be determined by the California Air Resources Board (CARB). Mr. Halls noted that agencies in Solano County are currently developing GHG emission inventories and that most Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO), such as MTC, are watching the San Diego Regional Planning Agency (SANDAG), as they will be the first to implement state GHG policies.

Mary Frances Kelly Poh asked why STA staff is not considering projects that relieve current traffic congestion. Daryl Halls reminded the committee that the RTIF by law must focus on the impacts of new development. Jason Moody noted that fees can be charged to new development to pay their fair share of impacts, which could help alleviate current congestion.

A review of the suggested screening criteria was evaluated one by one. In the Absolute Criteria all items passed as per the staff recommendation.

For the Ranking Criteria for the Regional significance description the committee wanted to add the word new to the regional trips in the description then accepted the staff recommendation.

For the criteria of Existing/Projected Traffic Characteristics the committee request that the work Existing be removed then they approved the staff recommendation. All other staff Recommendations were approved except the Ranking Criteria of Reduction in GHG. The majority of the committee voted to remove this ranking criteria.

Councilmember Mike Hudson recommended that the RTIF funds focus on projects that would promote economic development and not regional transportation facilities, such as freeway capacity. Daryl Halls answered that funds generated by the RTIF would need to be spent on transportation projects, as identified by the nexus study. Mr. Halls noted that 60% of project funding currently being spent in Solano County is state funding for regional projects. Mr. Halls noted further that as state funds run dry, Solano County will need to find an alternative funding source to fund regional projects, such as the Jepson Parkway and highway reliever routes, such as the North Connector project currently under construction.

Councilmember Mike Hudson stated that a funding strategy that is limited to attempting to match state dollars with impact fee funds will fail if the state is no longer a strong funding partner. Councilmember Hudson stated that the RTIF would slow Solano County's economy without building projects.

Councilmember Mike Ioakimedes noted that RTIF projects may encounter problematic Air District emission standards or California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) procedures if AB 32 and SB 375 laws are not considered.

Tom Philippi commented that he and probably most developers are not against the concept of impact fees, but is against the concept of paying for existing deficiencies. Jason Moody agreed with Mr. Philippi, adding that it is illegal to charge a fee for existing deficiencies or charge a fee against an impact that has already been charged a fee (double dipping). Mr. Moody noted that new development can be charged its fair share of impact on a facility with existing deficiencies, as described by the nexus study.

Councilmember Mike Hudson asked if an impact fee would be approved by the voters or by councils. Daryl Halls answered that policy boards can approve additional fees.

Jay Torres-Munga was concerned about the potential to adopt criteria that would exclude federal or state facilities as projects, noting that without a sales tax, a RTIF program would need to focus on very few important regional projects, such as highway interchanges. Robert Macaulay stated that the Technical Working Group recommended against such a criteria, noting their desire to leverage RTIF funds for federal funds. Jeff Knowles commented that the Tri-Valley Regional Impact Fee raised \$11 million to leverage over \$100 million for a core interchange project.

Bob Glover warned against overloading the RTIF program with too many projects, as was the case in East Contra Costa. Tom Philippi agreed with Mr. Glover, stating that the current draft list of RTIF projects derived from the CTP update process was too large.

Supervisor Linda Seifert asked how projects get on or off the RTIF project list. Daryl Halls stated that RTIF implementation options are still open ended and would be considered by RTIF committees after project prioritization criteria are adopted.

Sandy Person recommended that a funding strategy be drafted for RTIF funds, which would include future funding sources, such as a sales tax. Ms. Person was also concerned about the large list of unfunded projects that could be funded with RTIF funds.

Daryl Halls asked that the committee not worry about the draft list and that the STA understands that it will not have the funding for all of these projects. Mr. Halls asked the committee to focus on the project selection and prioritization criteria, which will help narrow the list of projects for consideration in the RTIF nexus study. Mr. Halls noted that the STA will discuss funding strategies in the Spring with the STA Board.

Jim Immer suggested that each city be asked to propose their top priority projects before recommending any criteria. Councilmember Mike Hudson suggested that city councils be asked to provide their top priority projects. Daryl Halls noted that the criteria will help prioritize RTIF projects.

Councilmember Mike Hudson disagreed with the notion of the importance of project selection criteria, stating that local elected officials will be choosing priority projects regardless of criteria. Councilmember Erin Hannigan disagreed with Councilmember Hudson, stating that RTIF criteria is important for discerning between regionally important projects and locally important projects. Daryl Halls added that equity would be discussed with RTIF program implementation options at the next RTIF stakeholders meeting.

Robert Macaulay and Daryl Halls asked the RTIF Stakeholders committee to consider and vote on each RTIF project selection criteria. Below is a summary of their votes:

	Votes	
	Yes	No
<b>Absolute Criteria</b>		
Included in the CTP	17	
Included in local fee program(s)		17
Policy Committee Priority Projects		17
No existing deficiencies		17
Exclude State / Federal Facilities		17
Located in unincorporated area		17
<b>Ranking Criteria</b>		
Regional significance	16	1
Regional Divergence	17	
Existing / projected traffic characteristics	17	
Reduction in GHG	7	10
Funding strategy	17	
Regional Equity	16	1
Facility type or controlling authority		17
Constrained time horizon		17
Also address existing deficiencies		17

**IV. INFORMATION ITEMS**

**A. Draft Project List and Cost**

This item was discussed during the previous item.

**V. STAKEHOLDERS COMMENTS**

None.

**VI. ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 3:30 p.m. The next meeting of the Regional Transportation Impact Fee (RTIF) Stakeholders Committee meeting is be scheduled for Thursday, February 25, 2010, 1:30 p.m., Suisun City Hall Council Chambers.

**Table 1**  
**Staff Recommendations Based on Input Received on RTIF Project Screening Criteria<sup>1</sup>**

Screening Criteria <sup>2</sup>	Description	Input Received	Recommendation		
			Working Group	Stakeholders	STA Staff
<b>Absolute Criteria<sup>3</sup></b>					
Included in the CTP	Only Include projects included in the Comprehensive Transportation Plan (projects not in the CTP are ineligible for RTIF funds)	Consensus to include as a criteria but some want to modify slightly to add flexibility	Yes, but allow flexibility for County facilities	Yes	Yes
Included in local fee program(s)	Eliminate projects already included in local fee programs	Majority want to exclude	No	No	No
Policy Committee Priority Projects	Include projects selected / approved by Policy Committee	Majority want to exclude	No	No	No
No existing deficiencies	Eliminate projects that address existing deficiencies	Consensus to exclude	No	No	No
Exclude State / Federal Facilities	Exclude projects located on State or federally maintained facilities	Majority want to exclude as an absolute criteria, some want considered in ranking	No	No	No
Located in unincorporated area	Only include projects at least partially located in unincorporated areas	Consensus to exclude as a criteria	No	No	No
<b>Ranking Criteria<sup>3</sup></b>					
Regional significance	Prioritize or rank projects based on the absolute or relative number of new regional trips	Majority want to include but seek more detail on its application	Yes	Yes	Yes
Regional divergence	Prioritize projects that divert new trips from a congested mainline (e.g. I-80) to a less congested alternative (e.g. North Connector)	Majority want to include but seek more detail on its application	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projected traffic characteristics	Prioritize projects that achieve desired traffic threshold (such as LOS, Vehicle Hours of Delay, AADT, Volume to Capacity ratios, travel time reliability) or safety standard	Majority want to include but seek more detail on its application	Yes	Yes	Yes
Reduction in GHG	Prioritize projects that reduce emission of Green House Gases	Split on inclusion but consensus about difficulty in accurate measurement	Yes	No (10-7 vote)	Yes, focusing on VMT / VHD reduction and mode shift
Funding strategy	Prioritize projects that improve the ability of County jurisdictions / agencies to attract/leverage funds from other sources	Generally supported with some sentiment to ensure the RTIF project list is small	Yes	Yes	Yes
Regional Equity	Focus on achieving regional equity (e.g., roughly equal proportion of project costs by jurisdiction or fee district)	Majority agree the importance of this criteria will depend on implementation	No	No	No, will be addressed in implementation
Facility type or controlling authority	Prioritize improvements to local, and to a lesser extent State, over Federal facilities	No clear consensus on prioritization but majority want flexibility	No	No	No
Constrained time horizon	Prioritize projects expected to be initiated sooner	Majority want to exclude	No	No	No, but link to Funding Strategy
Also address existing deficiencies	Prioritize facilities that have existing deficiencies	Majority want to exclude	No	No	No

(1) It is possible that a hybrid of these options, or others not considered here, can serve as the basis for the final fee.

(2) Screening criteria assume all projects comply with AB 1600 and are not already fully funded by another source.

(3) Absolute criteria determine whether a project is "in" or "out" while ranking criteria used to evaluate projects that are "in".

**THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.**

Project Status key:  
Permitted and Ready to Construct – all permits and funding secured  
Designed – greater than 35% PS&E and an approved environmental document  
Preliminary Design – greater than 10% but less than 35% PS&E  
Planned – less than 10% PS&E

Agenda Item VI.A  
 January 13, 2010

**ATTACHMENT A**

**RTIF DRAFT PROJECT LIST**  
 (December 17, 2009)

<b>CTP ID</b>	<b>Agency</b>	<b>Location / Title</b>	<b>Element</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Project Status</b>
09CTP 003	Benicia	I-680, Benicia Bridge to I-80	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	Construct one additional mixed-flow lane in each direction. <i>This project is not identified in I-680 Freeway Performance Initiative.</i> <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 005	Benicia	I-780 (E. 2nd to E. 5th) Lanes	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	Construct mixed-flow lane and interchange improvements for each direction of I-780 per the I-80/I-680/I-780 Corridor Operations Improvement Plan. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 006	Benicia	I-780 (Columbus Pkwy to Military West) Lanes	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	Construct mixed-flow lane and interchange improvements for each direction of I-780 per the I-80/I-680/I-780 Corridor Operations Improvement Plan. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 001	Benicia	I-680/Lake Herman Road Interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Install traffic signals and construct interchange improvements at I-680/Lake Herman Road. This project will link a rail station to an intermodal transportation station. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned

CTP ID	Agency	Location / Title	Element	Description	Project Status
09CTP 007	Benicia	I-680/Bayshore/ Industrial Interchange Connections	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Install traffic signals and related traffic control and circulation improvements. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 008	Benicia	I-780/Southhampton/ West 7th Interchange Ramps	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Upgrade ramps to meet current standards and traffic demand. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 009	Benicia	I-780/East 2nd Street Interchange Ramps	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Upgrade ramps to meet current standards and traffic demand. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 010	Benicia	Columbus Parkway Reliever Route (I-780 to City Limits)	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Widen Columbus Parkway from 2 to 4 lanes from I-780 to the City Limits with Vallejo. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 013	Benicia	New Transfer/Park-n- Ride Facilities	Transit - <b>Rideshare</b>	Construct new facilities at a) First St./Downtown (Rte. 78), (Design) b) Military at Southampton Rd. (Rte. 78), (Design) and c) intersection of Park Rd./Industrial Way (Rte. 40) (Planned). <b>This is a Facility of Regional Significance.</b> May include local and express bus and park-and-ride. These are RM-2 funded facilities.	Planned
09CTP 028	Benicia	Construct Benicia Multi-Modal Rail Station	Transit – <b>Bus</b>	Construct new Capitol Corridor train station at Lake Herman Road. <b>This is a Facility of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned

<b>CTP ID</b>	<b>Agency</b>	<b>Location / Title</b>	<b>Element</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Project Status</b>
09CTP 238	Benicia	Construct Benicia Intermodal Transportation Station	Transit – <b>Bus</b>	Construct new multi-modal transportation center in I-680/Lake Herman Road area. May include local and express bus bays and park-and-ride facilities. May provide short-range shuttle to future Capitol Corridor train station. <b>This is a Facility of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 221	Dixon	West B Street Pedestrian Undercrossing/ rail platform access tunnel	Alt Modes – <b>Bike/Ped</b>	Provide a grade separated pedestrian under crossing of the Union Pacific Railroad tracks to replace the existing at-grade crossing at West B Street adjacent to the Multi-modal Center (B Street Pedestrian Under-Crossing Project). Tunnel undercrossing removes existing at-grade ped crossing with 500 pedestrian trips daily. Can also be incorporated into platform access to proposed future pedestrian rail station. <b>This is a Facility of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 226	Dixon	Downtown Dixon Multi-Modal Rail Station/ Transportation Center	Transit – <b>Rail or Ferry</b>	Construct a Capitol Corridor passenger train station in downtown Dixon. A ticket station/ passenger depot and parking lot have been constructed. <b>This is a Facility of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 212	Dixon	I-80/Pedrick Rd. Interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	Construct overcrossing and ramp improvements. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 213	Dixon	I-80/SR 113 Interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	Construct overcrossing and ramp improvements. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 214	Dixon	I-80/Pitt School Rd. Interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	Construct overcrossing and ramp improvements. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned

CTP ID	Agency	Location / Title	Element	Description	Project Status
09CTP 215	Dixon	I-80/West A St. Interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	Construct overcrossing and ramp improvements. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 222	Dixon	Pedrick Road Overcrossing	Alt Modes – <b>Bike/Ped</b>	Provide a grade separated over crossing of the Union Pacific Railroad tracks at Pedrick Road (Pedrick Road Over-Crossing Project). Proposed Over-Crossing Project includes 2 travel lanes in each direction plus Class I bike/ped facility. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 225	Dixon	I-80 corridor Park-n-Ride lots	Transit - <b>Rideshare</b>	Construct new and ride lots adjacent to I-80 at the following locations: a) West A Street b) SR 113 c) Pedrick Road	Planned
09CTP 216	Dixon	SR 113 relocation to Kidwell Road interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Highway</b>	Relocate SR 113 out of the Dixon City Limits on the Midway-Kidwell Road alignment. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b> <i>This project is an option identified in the SR 113 MIS.</i>	Study
09CTP 170	Fairfield	I-80/Green Valley Rd. Interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	This interchange is part of the I-80/ I-680/SR-12 Interchange Complex. See 09CTP 236. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 171	Fairfield	I-80/Suisun Valley Rd. Interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	This interchange is part of the I-80/ I-680/SR-12 Interchange Complex. See 09CTP 236. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 179	Fairfield	I-80/Red Top Road Interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	This interchange is part of the I-80/ I-680/SR-12 Interchange Complex. See 09CTP 236. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design

CTP ID	Agency	Location / Title	Element	Description	Project Status
09CTP 180	Fairfield	I-680 and Red Top Road Interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	Construct a new interchange. This interchange is part of the I-80/ I-680/SR-12 Interchange Complex. See 09CTP 236. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 185	Fairfield	Peabody Road Bridge overcrossing at Union Pacific Railroad	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Convert current at-grade crossing to grade-separated 4-lane structure, with Class I bike/ped facility. Construction will occur as part of Fairfield/Vacaville Train Station. Construction expected by 2014. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 174	Fairfield	Manuel Campos Pkwy from Mystic Drive to Dickson Hill Rd.	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Construct a 4-lane arterial across the Putah South Canal to close gap in Manuel Campos Parkway. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 188	Fairfield	I-80/Red Top Park-and-Ride lot	Transit - <b>Rideshare</b>	Construct a 500 space park-and-ride lot on Red Top Road at I-80. A 200 space first phase is in Design. Construction of first phase is planned for the end of 2010. <b>This is a Facility of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 185	Fairfield	Peabody and Vanden Roads - Fairfield/Vacaville Multi-modal Rail Station	Transit – <b>Rail or Ferry</b>	Construct a local/regional bus, park-and-ride lot and Capitol Corridor train station at the intersection of Vanden and Peabody roads. Develop high-density mixed use development immediately adjacent to the station. Project in Design and targeted for completion by 2014. <b>This is a Transit Facility of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 168	Fairfield	I-80 from Travis Blvd to Air Base Pkwy	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	Construct mixed-flow lane in each direction. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 189	Fairfield	I-80/West Texas St Ramp Improvement	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	Reconfigure I-80 Eastbound Off Ramp to West Texas Street and Fairfield Transportation Center. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned

CTP ID	Agency	Location / Title	Element	Description	Project Status
09CTP 121	Fairfield	SR 12 and Red Top Road/ Business Center Drive Interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Construct a new interchange linking the North Connector, Red Top Road and SR 12. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 175	Fairfield	Manuel Campos Pkwy from Dickson Hill Rd. to Clay Bank Rd.	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Widen from 2-lanes to 4-lanes <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 176	Fairfield	Cement Hill Rd.(Future Manuel Campos Pkwy) from Clay Bank Rd. to Peabody Rd.	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Widen from 2-lanes to 4-lanes. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 181	Fairfield	SR 12 and Beck Avenue Interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Replace the existing SR 12/Beck at-grade intersection with a new grade-separated interchange. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 182	Fairfield	SR 12 and Pennsylvania Avenue Interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Replace the existing SR 12/Pennsylvania at-grade intersection with a new grade-separated interchange. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 190	Fairfield	I-680 Gold Hill Park-and-ride lot	Transit - <b>Rideshare</b>	Construct 200 space park and ride lot Gold Hill Road at I-680.	Planned
09CTP 193	Fairfield	Expand Fairfield Transportation Center	Transit – <b>Bus</b>	Construct expansion of existing parking garage at Beck and Cadenesso drives, with a net addition of 1,000 parking spaces. The site currently serves as a regional park-and-ride lot and bus station for express and local services. First phase of expansion to expand from 640 to approximately 1,000 spaces is environmentally cleared. <b>This is a Facility of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned

CTP ID	Agency	Location / Title	Element	Description	Project Status
09CTP 199	Rio Vista	SR 12/Church Street and Amerada Intersections	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Improve the intersection of SR 12 and Church Street, with the option of including a park-and-ride lot. A PSR is being prepared for the project. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 034	Solano County	I-80 and SR 37 – Fairgrounds	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Improve Fairgrounds Drive and Redwood Parkway, including the Redwood Parkway – I-80 Interchange, from SR 37 to Redwood Parkway. A Project Study Report for the project is complete. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 037	Solano County	Jepson Parkway to the north and south gates of Travis Air Force Base	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Construct improvements to Petersen Road, Canon Road, and North Gate Road. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 026	Solano County	I-80 from Leisure Town Road to Kidwell Road	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	Construct one additional mixed-flow lane in each direction. This project was also submitted by the City of Dixon. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 027	Solano County	I-80 from Carquinez Bridge to SR 37	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	Improve traffic flow and safety through Vallejo, including consolidating ramps. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 031	Solano County	Improve SR 113, including possible alternate alignments near Dixon	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Highway</b>	Widen SR 113 and consider realigning it outside of the City of Dixon to improve traffic flow. A Major Investment Study has been completed. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 035	Solano County	Widen Peabody Road from 2 to 4 lanes	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Widen Peabody Road to 2 lanes in each direction, plus a Class 2 bike/ped facility. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned

CTP ID	Agency	Location / Title	Element	Description	Project Status
09CTP 036	Solano County	Improve the County Routes of Regional Significance	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Construct improvements to various County roads, including Lake Herman Road, Lopes Road, Lyon Road, McCormack Road, Midway Road, Pedrick Road, Rockville Road and Suisun Valley Road. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 039	Solano County	I-80 - Pedrick Road – Tremont Road – Kidwell Road area	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Construct various transportation improvements to accommodate projected increasing traffic in the north Dixon limited industrial area. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 061	Suisun City	Main Street Improvements (Phase 2)	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Pavement, curb, sidewalk and utility enhancements along Main Street from Morgan Street to Highway 12. A portion of this project is funded by ARRA. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Designed
09CTP 060	Suisun City	Cordelia Rd. from I-680 to SR 12	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Widen Cordelia Road from 2 lanes to 4, plus Class 2 bike lanes, from Pennsylvania Avenue to Lopes Road. This is a multiphase project. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 075	Suisun City	Railroad Avenue Widening and Realignment (Middle and East Segment)	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Widen and reconstruct Railroad Avenue from Sunset Avenue to Humphrey Drive to a 3-lane arterial with class 2 bike lanes. Realign and widen Railroad Avenue from Humphrey Drive to East Tabor Avenue with new intersection at East Tabor Avenue and Olive Street. This is a multi-phase project. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 076	Suisun City	Railroad Avenue Extension (West Segment)	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Extend Railroad Avenue from Marina Boulevard to Main Street/Highway 12 On-Ramp and make a signalized intersection at Main St/Hwy 12 On-Ramp. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned

<b>CTP ID</b>	<b>Agency</b>	<b>Location / Title</b>	<b>Element</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Project Status</b>
09CTP 070	Suisun City	Rail Station Improvements	Alt Modes – <b>Bike/Ped</b>	General enhancements to the Suisun-Fairfield Train Station including improvements to the facility, corridor signage, traffic modifications, & rider experience. In addition, develop a project master plan consistent with the City’s planned PDA for the area. <b>This is a Transit Facility of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 084	Vacaville	I-505 SB/Vaca Valley Parkway	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Widen the SB off ramp at Vaca Valley Parkway and widen Vaca Valley Parkway to provide protected left turn pockets. Signalize the SB ramp intersection. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 097	Vacaville	Phase 2 Vacaville Transportation Center	Transit – <b>Bus</b>	Phase 2 to include the construction of a three story, 400 car parking garage structure directly adjacent to bus transfer facility. <b>This is a Transit Facility of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 095	Vacaville	I-80 @ I-505 Weave Correction	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	Construct weave corrections for WB traffic at the I-80/I-505 interchange and eliminate 4 to 3 WB lane drop at the interchange. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 078	Vacaville	I-80 WB/Alamo Dr.-Merchant St On-Ramp	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Widen bridge over Alamo Creek and extend WB on-ramp to provide standard acceleration lane and merge. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 079	Vacaville	I-80 WB/Mason St. On-Ramp	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Extend WB on-ramp to provide standard acceleration lane and merge. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 080	Vacaville	I-80 WB/Davis-/Hickory St. On-Ramp	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Extend WB on-ramp to provide standard acceleration lane and merge. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned

<b>CTP ID</b>	<b>Agency</b>	<b>Location / Title</b>	<b>Element</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Project Status</b>
09CTP 081	Vacaville	I-80 EB/ Cliffside Dr. On-Ramp	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Widen bridge over Mason St. and extend EB on-ramp to provide standard acceleration lane and merge. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 082	Vacaville	I-80 EB/Davis St On-Ramp	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Widen bridge over Davis St. and extend EB on-ramp to provide standard acceleration lane and merge. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 085	Vacaville	I-505/Vaca Valley Pkwy Interchange.	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Widen the existing overcrossing to 3 lanes in each direction with protected turn pockets. Modify existing spread diamond to provide partial cloverleaf design. New bridge to accommodate pedestrian and Class 2 bicycle facilities. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 088	Vacaville	Midway Rd. (Putah South Canal to I-80)	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Widen Midway Rd. in both directions to provide a 4-lane, un-divided arterial. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 119	Vallejo	Vallejo Station Intermodal Terminal (Phases A and B)	Transit – <b>Bus</b>	Project consists of four parts: the bus transit facility, phases A and B of the ferry terminal parking structure, and the City Hall parking structure. Bus transit center permitted and ready to construct; ferry parking structure A is designed; B is prelim design; City Hall parking is planned. <b>This is a Transit Facility of Regional Significance.</b>	<b><i>Permitted and Ready to Construct</i></b>
09CTP 146	Vallejo	I-80 / Redwood Interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	Improve on/off ramp circulation from I-80. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned

CTP ID	Agency	Location / Title	Element	Description	Project Status
09CTP 148	Vallejo	Fairgrounds Dr from SR 37 to Redwood	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Freeway</b>	Increase capacity of roadway segment. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 114	Vallejo	SR 37 from Napa River Bridge to SR 121	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Highway</b>	Widen SR 37 from 2 to 4 lanes, plus shoulders. Maintain current median barrier. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b> Portions of this project are not in Solano County.	Planned
09CTP 116	Vallejo	Improve SR 29 through Vallejo	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Highway</b>	Pedestrian and landscaping improvements. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 115	Vallejo	Improve SR 37/Mare Island Interchange and Azuar and Railroad from SR 37 to G St.	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Improve major roadways on and connecting to Mare Island. Some, but not all, of these are <b>Routes of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 117	Vallejo	Columbus Pkwy from Benicia Rd. to SR 37	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Widen Columbus Pkwy in each direction. Complete from SR 37 to Springs St. Springs St. to Benicia Road planned. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 150	Vallejo	Mare Island Causeway	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Replace existing causeway bridge. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 147	Vallejo	SR 37 / Fairgrounds interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - <b>Arterial</b>	Improve on/off ramp circulation to SR 37. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned

CTP ID	Agency	Location / Title	Element	Description	Project Status
09CTP 156	Vallejo	I-780/Lemon St./Curtola Pkwy. transit center	Transit - <b>Rideshare</b>	Construct a parking garage at the Lemon St. park-and-ride lot, with associated local and express bus facilities. Ultimately, construct a parking garage at the site. This is a phased project. <b>This is a Transit Facility of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 124	Vallejo	Upgrade/expand bus maintenance facilities	Transit – <b>Bus</b>	Improve efficiency and provide parking for new buses. <b>This is a Transit Facility of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 128	Vallejo	Mare Island Ferry maintenance facilities	Transit – <b>Rail or Ferry</b>	Construct Phases I and II of the Mare Island Ferry Maintenance Facility. <b>This is a Transit Facility of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 002	STA	I-80/I-680/SR12 Interchange	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - Freeway	Construct improvements to I-80/I-680/ SR 12 Interchange to reduce congestion, improve safety, accommodate future truck traffic and reduce cut-through traffic. The project will be built in phases. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 033	STA	Jepson Parkway	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - Arterial	Construct a 4-lane continuous expressway from SR 12, along Walters Road, Cement Hill Road, Vanden Road and Leisure Town Road to I-80. The project includes transit pull-outs and shelters, and Class I bike/ped facilities. This is a multiphase project. Portions of the parkway are complete. Other portions are planned. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Preliminary Design
09CTP 101	STA	I-80Auxiliary Lanes	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - Freeway	Construct Auxiliary Lanes on I-80 and I-680 per the I-80/I-680 /I-780 Corridor Operations Improvement Plan. This project was also submitted by the City of Vallejo. <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned
09CTP 236	STA	I-80 and I-680 HOV Lanes	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - Freeway	Construct new HOV Lanes: a) I-80 from the Contra Costa County line to SR 37 b) I-80 from SR 37 to Red Top Road c) I-80 from Airbase Parkway to I-505 d) I-80 from I-505 to the Yolo County line e) I-680 from the Benicia Bridge to I-80 <b>This is a Route of Regional Significance.</b>	Planned

<b>CTP ID</b>	<b>Agency</b>	<b>Location / Title</b>	<b>Element</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Project Status</b>
09CTP 029	STA	SR 12 East improvements from I-80 to Rio Vista, including the Rio Vista Bridge	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - Highway	Widen SR 12 from 4 lanes to 6 lanes from I-80 through Suisun City. Widen SR 12 from 2 lanes to 4 lanes from Walters Road to Rio Vista. This includes replacing the Rio Vista Bridge over the Sacramento River. This is a Route of Regional Significance.	Planned
09CTP 183	STA	SR 113 from SR 12 to Midway Road Improvements	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - Highway	Improve curves, shoulders and pavement on SR 113 from SR 12 north to Midway Road, per the SR 113 Major Investment Study. This is a Route of Regional Significance.	Planned
09CTP 166	STA	McCormack Road	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - Arterial	Improve McCormack Road, Canright Road and Azevedo Road from SR 113 to SR 12 to provide a parallel alternate to SR 12. Improve the roadways to County standard travel lanes and shoulders. This is a Route of Regional Significance.	Planned
09CTP 032	STA	North Connector	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - Arterial	Construct a 4-lane roadway parallel to I-80, from Abernathy Road across the lower Suisun Valley, along Business Center Drive, connecting to SR 12. The West Segment will be a 2-lane roadway connecting Business Center Drive to SR 12 Jameson Canyon. This is a Route of Regional Significance.	Planned
09CTP 069	STA	Construct additional park-and-ride facilities	Transit - Rideshare	Construct park-and-ride facilities identified in the I-80/I-680/I-780 Corridor Study; review existing and proposed lot locations, and identify new locations to account for changes in development locations and commute patterns.	Planned
09CTP 217	Dixon	Parkway Blvd Overcrossing	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - Arterial	Construct a new overcrossing of the UPRR tracks, connecting Parkway Boulevard and Pitt School Road, includes 2 travel lanes in each direction plus Class I bike/ped facility. This project is not designated as a Route of Regional Significance at this time.	Designed

<b>CTP ID</b>	<b>Agency</b>	<b>Location / Title</b>	<b>Element</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Project Status</b>
09CTP 218	Dixon	Vaughn Road Railroad Bypass Project	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - Arterial	Construct a four-lane bypass route of Vaughn Road to connect to Pedrick Road without crossing the UPRR tracks. This project is not designated as a Route of Regional Significance at this time.	Planned
09CTP 083	Vacaville	I-80/California Drive Extension and Overcrossing	Arterials, Highways and Freeways - Arterial	Extend California Drive as 4-lane arterial from Marshall Road to Pena Adobe Road. Construct new 4-lane overcrossing @ I-80 with no freeway connections. This project is not designated as a Route of Regional Significance at this time.	Planned