

STA Priority Bill Matrix as of 6/27/2013

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>AB 8 Perea D</p> <p>Alternative fuel and vehicle technologies: funding programs.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY THIRD READING 5/24/2013 - In committee: Set, first hearing. Referred to APPR. suspense file. From committee: Do pass. (Ayes 11. Noes 3.) (May 24). Read second time. Ordered to third reading.</p>	<p>Existing law establishes the Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Program, administered by the State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission (commission), to provide to specified entities, upon appropriation by the Legislature, grants, loans, loan guarantees, revolving loans, or other appropriate measures, for the development and deployment of innovative technologies that would transform California's fuel and vehicle types to help attain the state's climate change goals. Existing law specifies that only certain projects or programs are eligible for funding, including block grants administered by public entities or not-for-profit technology entities for multiple projects, education and program promotion within California, and development of alternative and renewable fuel and vehicle technology centers. Existing law requires the commission to develop and adopt an investment plan to determine priorities and opportunities for the program. This bill would provide that the State Air Resources Board (state board), until January 1, 2024, has no authority to enforce any element of its existing clean fuels outlet regulation or other regulation that requires or has the effect of requiring any person to construct, operate, or provide funding for the construction or operation of any publicly available hydrogen fueling station. The bill would require the state board to aggregate and make available to the public, no later than January 1, 2014, and every 2 years thereafter, the number of vehicles that automobile manufacturers project to be sold or leased, as reported to the state board. The bill would require the commission to allocate \$20 million each fiscal year, as specified, and up to \$20 million each fiscal year thereafter, as specified, for purposes of achieving a hydrogen fueling network sufficient to provide convenient fueling to vehicle owners, and expand that network as necessary to support a growing market for vehicles requiring hydrogen fuel, until there are at least 100 publicly available hydrogen fueling stations. The bill, on or before December 31, 2015, and annually thereafter, would require the commission and the state board to jointly review and report on the progress toward establishing a hydrogen fueling network that provides the coverage and capacity to fuel vehicles requiring hydrogen fuel that are being placed into operation in the state, as specified. The bill would authorize the commission to design grants, loan incentive programs, revolving loan programs, and other forms of financial assistance, as specified, for purposes of assisting in the implementation of these provisions. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. Last Amended on 5/13/2013</p>	
<p>AB 25 Campos D</p> <p>Employment: social media.</p>	<p>SENATE THIRD READING 6/25/2013 - Read second time. Ordered to third reading..</p>	<p>Existing law prohibits a private employer from requiring or requesting an employee or applicant for employment to disclose a username or password for the purpose of accessing personal social media, to access personal social media in the presence of the employer, or to divulge any personal social media. Existing law prohibits a private employer from discharging, disciplining, threatening to discharge or discipline, or otherwise retaliating against an employee or applicant for not complying with a request or demand that violates these provisions. This bill would apply the provisions described above to public employers as defined. The bill would state that its provisions address a matter of statewide interest and apply to public employers generally, including charter cities and counties. Last Amended on 5/1/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>AB 26 Bonilla D</p> <p>California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund.</p>	<p>SENATE L. & I.R. 6/26/2013 - In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.</p>	<p>The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 designates the State Air Resources Board as the state agency charged with monitoring and regulating sources of emissions of greenhouse gases. The act authorizes the state board to include the use of market-based compliance mechanisms. Existing law requires all moneys, except for fines and penalties, collected by the state board from the auction or sale of allowances as part of a market-based compliance mechanism to be deposited in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund and to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature. This bill would require projects involving construction, alteration, demolition, installation, repair, and maintenance work paid for in whole or in part from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund to be considered public works, as defined. The bill would authorize moneys from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund be made available to the owner or operator of a refinery to perform work to reduce greenhouse gas emissions if all work at the refinery related to reducing greenhouse gas emissions that is not performed by the owner's or operator's own employees and that falls within an apprenticeable occupation, as defined, will be performed by skilled journeymen, as defined, and registered apprentices, as defined. This bill contains other related provisions. Last Amended on 5/28/2013</p>	
<p>AB 153 Bonilla D</p> <p>California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: offsets.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/24/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/15/2013)</p>	<p>The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 requires the State Air Resources Board to adopt regulations to require the reporting and verification of emissions of greenhouse gases and to monitor and enforce compliance with the reporting and verification program, and requires the state board to adopt a statewide greenhouse gas emissions limit equivalent to the statewide greenhouse gas emissions level in 1990 to be achieved by 2020. The act requires the state board to adopt rules and regulations in an open public process to achieve the maximum technologically feasible and cost-effective greenhouse gas emission reductions. The act authorizes the state board to include the use of market-based compliance mechanisms. This bill, if the state board uses its authority to include the use of market-based compliance mechanisms, would require the state board, on or before January 1, 2015, to adopt a specified process for the review and consideration of new offset protocols and, commencing in 2014 and continuing annually thereafter, use that process to review and consider new offset protocols. The bill would require the state board to adopt guidelines and incentives that prioritize the approval of specified offset protocols. The bill would require the state board to submit a specified annual report to the Legislature. Last Amended on 4/8/2013</p>	
<p>AB 204 Wilk R</p> <p>Vehicles: green vehicles: fees.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/10/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (3).</p>	<p>Existing law establishes the Department of Motor Vehicles. Existing law provides for the registration of vehicles by the Department of Motor Vehicles, including the imposition of various fees and requirements in connection with registration. This bill would express the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation to impose a fee in conjunction with registration on green vehicles to address the costs of those vehicles using public roads and highways.</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>AB 206 Dickinson D</p> <p>Vehicles: length limitations: buses: bicycle transportation devices.</p>	<p>SENATE THIRD READING 6/5/2013 - Read second time. Ordered to third reading.</p>	<p>Existing law imposes a 40-foot limitation on the length of vehicles that may be operated on the highways, with specified exemptions. Existing law exempts from this limitation an articulated bus or trolley and a bus, except a schoolbus, that is operated by a public agency or passenger stage corporation that is used in a transit system if it is equipped with a folding device attached to the front of the vehicle that is designed and used exclusively for transporting bicycles, does not materially affect efficiency or visibility of vehicle safety equipment, and does not extend more than 36 inches from the front of the body of the bus or trolley when fully deployed. In addition, existing law prohibits a bicycle that is transported on the above-described device from having the bicycle handlebars extend more than 42 inches from the front of the vehicle. This bill would authorize the Sacramento Regional Transit District to install folding devices attached to the front of its buses that are designed and used exclusively for transporting bicycles if the use of the device meets certain requirements, including, but not limited to, that the device does not extend more than 40 inches from the front of the bus when fully deployed, and that the handlebars of the bicycles being transported do not extend more than 46 inches from the front of the bus. This bill would also establish, for a specified purpose, a route review committee prior to the installation of the initial folding device on a bus that is 45 feet in length. This bill would require the committee to perform an initial review of the routes on which the district proposes to operate a 45-foot bus equipped with a front-mounted bicycle rack and would require the committee to make a determination of, by unanimous vote of all members, the routes that are suitable for the safe operation of a 45-foot bus that is equipped with a front-mounted bicycle rack. The bill would require the district to submit a report, containing specified requirements, to the Assembly Committee on Transportation and the Senate Committee on Transportation and Housing on or before December 31, 2018. This bill contains other related provisions. Last Amended on 4/1/2013</p>	
<p>AB 266 Blumenfield D</p> <p>Vehicles: high-occupancy vehicle lanes.</p>	<p>SENATE T. & H. 5/29/2013 - Referred to Com. on T. & H.</p>	<p>Existing law authorizes the Department of Transportation to designate certain lanes for the exclusive use of high-occupancy vehicles (HOVs), which lanes may also be used, until January 1, 2015, or until the Secretary of State receives a specified notice, by certain low-emission, hybrid, or alternative fuel vehicles not carrying the requisite number of passengers otherwise required for the use of an HOV lane, if the vehicle displays a valid identifier issued by the Department of Motor Vehicles. A violation of provisions relating to HOV lane use by vehicles with those identifiers is a crime. This bill would extend the operation of those provisions to January 1, 2018, for certain low-emission vehicles, and would extend the operation of those provisions to January 1, 2020, for other specified low-emission vehicles, as specified, or, in either case, until the Secretary of State receives that specified notice, whichever occurs first. The bill would also repeal duplicate provisions of law; delete obsolete provisions of law relating to hybrid vehicles, and make additional conforming changes. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. Last Amended on 4/9/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>AB 278 Gatto D</p> <p>California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: Low Carbon Fuel Standard.</p>	<p>SENATE E.Q. 6/25/2013 - Do pass, but re-refer to the Committee on Environmental Quality.</p>	<p>The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 establishes the State Air Resources Board as the state agency responsible for monitoring and regulating sources emitting greenhouse gases. The act requires the state board to adopt a statewide greenhouse gas emissions limit, as defined, to be achieved by 2020, equivalent to the statewide greenhouse gas emissions levels in 1990. The state board is additionally required to adopt rules and regulations in an open public process to achieve the maximum technologically feasible and cost-effective greenhouse gas emission reductions. Pursuant to the act, the state board has adopted the Low Carbon Fuel Standard regulations. This bill would require the state board, in determining the carbon intensity of fuels under the Low Carbon Fuel Standard regulations or another scoring system, to consider specified matters. The bill would require the state board to identify, to the extent feasible, environmental laws and practices of the jurisdiction from which the fuel originates that may affect greenhouse gas emissions from the production and transportation of fuel. The bill would require the state board to solicit comments and consider and respond to evidence regarding specified significant effects caused by the Low Carbon Fuel Standard regulations. Last Amended on 6/17/2013</p>	
<p>AB 416 Gordon D</p> <p>State Air Resources Board: Local Emission Reduction Program.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/24/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/1/2013)</p>	<p>Existing law designates the State Air Resources Board as the state agency with the primary responsibility for the control of vehicular air pollution and air pollution control districts and air quality management districts with the primary responsibility for the control of air pollution from all sources other than vehicular sources. This bill would create the Local Emission Reduction Program and would require money to be available from the General Fund, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for purposes of providing grants and other financial assistance to develop and implement greenhouse gas emissions reduction projects in the state. The bill would require the state board, in coordination with the Strategic Growth Council, to administer the program, as specified. The bill would require the implementation of the program to be contingent on the appropriation of moneys by the Legislature, as specified. Last Amended on 4/4/2013</p>	
<p>AB 417 Frazier D</p> <p>Environmental quality: California Environmental Quality Act: bicycle transportation plan.</p>	<p>SENATE APPR. 6/26/2013 - From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 9. Noes 0.) (June 26). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.</p>	<p>The California Environmental Quality Act, known as CEQA, requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report, known as an EIR, on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA requires the lead agencies to make specified findings in an EIR. This bill, until January 1, 2018, would exempt from CEQA a bicycle transportation plan for an urbanized area, as specified, and would also require a local agency that determines that the bicycle transportation plan is exempt under this provision and approves or determines to carry out that project, to file notice of the determination with the county clerk. This bill contains other existing laws. Last Amended on 6/13/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>AB 431 Mullin D</p> <p>Regional transportation plan: sustainable communities strategy: funding.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/3/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was TRANS. on 4/16/2013)</p>	<p>Existing law requires certain transportation planning activities by designated transportation planning agencies, including development of a regional transportation plan. Certain of these agencies are designated by federal law as metropolitan planning organizations. Existing law requires metropolitan planning organizations to adopt, as part of the regional transportation plan in urban areas, a sustainable communities strategy, which is to be designed to achieve certain targets established by the State Air Resources Board for the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions from automobiles and light trucks in the region. This bill would authorize a transportation planning agency that is designated as a metropolitan planning organization to impose a transactions and use tax, as specified, at a rate of no more than 0.5% even if the combined rate of this tax and other specified taxes imposed in the county, exceeds, if certain requirements are met. The bill would require the ordinance to contain an expenditure plan, with not less than 25% of available net revenues to be spent on each of the 3 categories of transportation, affordable housing, and parks and open space, in conformity with the sustainable communities strategy, with the remaining net available revenues to be spent for purposes determined by the transportation planning agency to help attain the goals of the sustainable communities strategy. This bill contains other existing laws. Last Amended on 4/15/2013</p>	<p>Oppose 5/8/13</p>
<p>AB 466 Quirk-Silva D</p> <p>Federal transportation funds.</p>	<p>SENATE APPR. 6/21/2013 - Action: Set for hearing. Next hearing on 7/1/2013 in S. APPR..</p>	<p>Existing law provides for the allocation of certain federal transportation funds apportioned to the state between state purposes administered by the Department of Transportation and local and regional purposes administered by various regional agencies, including funds made available under the federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program, as specified. This bill would require the department to allocate federal funds to regional agencies under the federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program based on a weighted formula that considers population and pollution in a given area, as specified. Last Amended on 3/14/2013</p>	
<p>AB 515 Dickinson D</p> <p>Environmental quality: California Environmental Quality Act: judicial review.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/3/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (2). (Last location was JUD. on 3/12/2013)</p>	<p>The California Constitution vests the judicial power of the state in the Supreme Court, the courts of appeal, and the superior courts. Existing law establishes a superior court of one or more judges in each county and provides that the superior courts have original jurisdiction, except as provided in the Constitution. Existing law requires the presiding judge of each superior court to distribute the business of the court among the judges, and to prescribe the order of business, subject to the rules of the Judicial Council. This bill would establish a CEQA compliance division of the superior court in a county in which the Attorney General maintains an office and would vest the division with original jurisdiction over actions of proceedings brought pursuant to CEQA and joined matters related to land use and environmental laws. Would require the Judicial Council to adopt rules for establishing, among other things, protocol to govern the administration and efficient operation of the division , so that those judges assigned to the division will be able to hear and quickly resolve those actions or proceedings. The bill would provide that decisions of the CEQA compliance division of the superior court may be reviewed by way of a petition for an extraordinary writ. Would require the CEQA compliance division to issue a preliminary decision before the opportunity for oral argument is granted. If the CEQA compliance division of the superior court finds that a determination of a public agency violated CEQA, the bill would require the court's order to specify what action taken by the public agency was in error and what specific action by the public agency is necessary to comply with CEQA. The bill would prohibit an action or proceeding pursuant to CEQA from being brought unless the alleged grounds of noncompliance were presented to the public agency with enough specificity that the public agency could reasonably respond to the alleged violation. Would prohibit a person from maintaining an action or proceeding pursuant to CEQA unless that person objected during the administrative process with specificity as to how the public agency's response to the alleged violation is inadequate. Last Amended on 3/11/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>AB 543 Campos D</p> <p>California Environmental Quality Act: translation.</p>	<p>SENATE E.Q. 6/13/2013 - Referred to Com. on E.Q.</p>	<p>Existing law, the California Environmental Quality Act, referred to as CEQA, requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. This bill would require a lead agency to translate, as specified, certain notices required by the act and a summary of any negative declaration, mitigated negative declaration, or environmental impact report when a group of non-English-speaking people, as defined, comprises at least 25% of the population within the lead agency's jurisdiction and the project is proposed to be located at or near an area where the group of non-English-speaking people comprises at least 25% of the residents of that area. By requiring a lead agency to translate these notices and documents, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. Last Amended on 5/24/2013</p>	
<p>AB 574 Lowenthal D</p> <p>California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund: sustainable communities strategies.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/24/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/15/2013)</p>	<p>The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 designates the State Air Resources Board as the state agency charged with monitoring and regulating sources of emissions of greenhouse gases. The act authorizes the state board to include use of market-based compliance mechanisms. Existing law requires all moneys, except for fines and penalties, collected by the state board from the auction or sale of allowances as part of a market-based compliance mechanism to be deposited in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund and to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature. Existing law requires the Department of Finance, in consultation with the state board and any other relevant state agency, to develop, as specified, a 3-year investment plan for the moneys deposited in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund. This bill would require the state board, in consultation with the California Transportation Commission and the Strategic Growth Council, to establish standards for the use of moneys allocated from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund for sustainable communities' projects, as specified. The bill would require the state board, in consultation with the California Transportation Commission and the Strategic Growth Council, to establish the criteria for the development and implementation of regional grant programs, as specified. The bill would require the California Transportation Commission, in consultation with the state board, to designate the regional granting authority within each region of the state to administer the allocated moneys for regional grant programs, as specified. Last Amended on 4/15/2013</p>	<p>Support 5/8/13</p>
<p>AB 603 Cooley D</p> <p>Public contracts: design-build: Capitol Southeast Connector Project.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/3/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (2). (Last location was TRANS. on 4/17/2013)</p>	<p>Existing law, until January 1, 2014, authorizes certain state and local transportation entities, if authorized by the California Transportation Commission, to use a design-build process for contracts on transportation projects, as specified. Existing law establishes a procedure for submitting bids that includes a requirement that design-build entities provide a statement of qualifications submitted to the transportation entity that is verified under oath, subject to penalty of perjury. This bill would authorize the Capitol Southeast Connector Joint Powers Authority to utilize design-build procurement for the Southeast Connector Project in Sacramento County, subject to authorization by the commission. The bill would require a transportation entity, as defined, awarding a contract for a public works project pursuant to these provisions, to reimburse the Department of Industrial Relations for costs of performing prevailing wage monitoring and enforcement of the public works project and would require moneys collected to be deposited into the State Public Works Enforcement Fund, a continuously appropriated fund. By depositing money in a continuously appropriated fund, the bill would make an appropriation. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. Last Amended on 4/16/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
AB 662 Atkins D Local government: infrastructure financing districts.	SENATE APPR. 6/24/2013 - In committee: Hearing postponed by committee.	(1) Existing law authorizes the creation of infrastructure financing districts, as defined, for the sole purpose of financing public facilities, subject to adoption of a resolution by the legislative body and affected taxing entities proposed to be subject to the division of taxes and voter approval requirements. Existing law prohibits an infrastructure financing district from including any portion of a redevelopment project area. This bill would delete that prohibition. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. Last Amended on 6/11/2013	
AB 690 Campos D Jobs and infrastructure financing districts: voter approval.	ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/3/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (2). (Last location was L. GOV. on 4/10/2013)	Existing law authorizes a legislative body, as defined, to create an infrastructure financing district, adopt an infrastructure financing plan, and issue bonds, for which only the district is liable, to finance specified public facilities, upon approval by 2/3 of the voters. Existing law authorizes an infrastructure financing district to fund infrastructure projects through tax increment financing, pursuant to the infrastructure financing plan and agreement of affected taxing entities, as defined. This bill would revise and recast the provisions governing infrastructure financing districts and instead provide for the creation of jobs and infrastructure financing districts (JIDs) without voter approval, and would make various conforming changes. The bill would authorize a public financing authority to enter into joint powers agreements with affected taxing entities with regard to nontaxing authority or powers only. The bill would authorize a district to implement hazardous cleanup pursuant to the Polanco Redevelopment Act, as specified. This bill contains other existing laws. Last Amended on 4/9/2013	
AB 738 Harkey R Public entity liability: bicycles.	ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/10/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (3). (Last location was JUD. on 3/7/2013)	Existing law specifies that a public entity or a public employee shall not be liable for an injury caused by the plan or design of a construction of, or an improvement to, public property in specified cases. Existing law allows public entities to establish bicycle lanes on public roads. This bill would provide that a public entity or an employee of a public entity acting within his or her official capacity is not be liable for an injury caused to a person riding a bicycle while traveling on a roadway, if the public entity has provided a bike lane on that roadway.	
AB 749 Gorell R Public-private partnerships.	ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/3/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (2). (Last location was TRANS. on 4/24/2013)	Existing law, until January 1, 2017, authorizes the Department of Transportation and regional transportation agencies, as defined, to enter into comprehensive development lease agreements with public and private entities, or consortia of those entities, for certain transportation projects that may charge certain users of those projects tolls and user fees, subject to various terms and requirements. These arrangements are commonly known as public-private partnerships. Existing law provides for the Public Infrastructure Advisory Commission, an organization established by the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency, to perform various functions relative to projects identified as suitable for development and delivery under these provisions, including the review of a proposed agreement submitted to it by the department or a regional transportation agency, and to charge a fee for certain of those functions. This bill would extend the operation of the provisions governing public-private partnerships from January 1, 2017, to January 1, 2022. The bill would also state the intent of the Legislature for a project developed under these provisions to have specified characteristics. Last Amended on 4/11/2013	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>AB 756 Melendez R</p> <p>California Environmental Quality Act: judicial review: public works projects.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/3/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (2). (Last location was JUD. on 4/15/2013)</p>	<p>The California Environmental Quality Act, referred to as CEQA, requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report, referred to as an EIR, on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA establishes a procedure for the preparation and certification of the record of proceedings upon the filing of an action or proceeding challenging a lead agency's action on the grounds of noncompliance with CEQA. This bill would also apply these provisions to a public works project, defined to mean an infrastructure project carried out by the city, county, special district, or state government or contracted out to a private entity by the special district or local or state government. By requiring a lead agency to use these alternative procedures in preparing and certifying the administrative record, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill would also authorize the Judicial Council to adopt Rules of Court to implement these provisions. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. Last Amended on 4/11/2013</p>	
<p>AB 792 Mullin D</p> <p>Local government: open meetings.</p>	<p>SENATE G. & F. 6/25/2013 - From committee chair, with author's amendments: Amend, and re-refer to committee. Read second time, amended, and re-referred to Com. on GOV. & F.</p>	<p>The Ralph M. Brown Act enables the legislative body of a local agency to call both regular and special meetings. The act requires the legislative body of a local agency to post, at least 72 hours before the meeting, an agenda containing a brief general description of each item of business to be transacted or discussed at a regular meeting, in a location that is freely accessible to members of the public, and to provide a notice containing similar information with respect to a special meeting at least 24 hours prior to the special meeting. The act requires that the agenda or notice be freely accessible to members of the public, and be posted on the local agency's Internet Web site, if the local agency has one. This bill, if the local agency is unable to post the agenda or notice on its Internet Web site because of software, hardware, or network services impairment beyond the local agency's reasonable control, would specify that the local agency may conduct the meeting as long as the legislative body meets specified requirements, including, among other things, posting the agenda or notice immediately upon resolution of the technological problems, as specified. The bill would provide that the delay in posting, or the failure to post, the agenda or notice would not preclude a local agency from conducting the meeting or taking action on items of business, provided that the agency has complied with all other relevant requirements. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. Last Amended on 5/6/2013</p>	
<p>AB 797 Gordon D</p> <p>Transit districts: contracts.</p>	<p>SENATE THIRD READING 6/25/2013 - Read second time. Ordered to third reading.</p>	<p>Existing law creates the Santa Clara County Valley Transportation Authority with various powers and duties relative to transportation projects and services in the County of Santa Clara. Existing law creates the San Mateo County Transit District with various powers and duties relative to transportation projects and services in the County of San Mateo. Existing law authorizes the authority and the district to enter into contracts, as specified. This bill would authorize the authority and the district to utilize the Construction Manager/General Contractor project delivery contract method for transit projects within their respective jurisdictions, subject to certain conditions and requirements. The bill would require the authority or district to reimburse the Department of Industrial Relations for certain costs of performing wage monitoring and enforcement on projects using this contracting method, and would require those funds to be used by the department for enforcement of prevailing wage requirements on those projects. Last Amended on 4/15/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
AB 842 Donnelly R High-speed rail.	ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/3/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was TRANS. on 4/8/2013)	Existing law creates the High-Speed Rail Authority with specified powers and duties relative to the development and implementation of a high-speed train system. Existing law, pursuant to the Safe, Reliable High-Speed Passenger Train Bond Act for the 21st Century, approved by the voters as Proposition 1A at the November 4, 2008, general election, provides for the issuance of \$9.95 billion for high-speed train capital projects and other associated purposes. Existing law appropriates certain amounts of federal funds and state bond funds to the authority for purposes of funding the construction of the initial segment of the high-speed rail project. This bill, notwithstanding any other law, would prohibit federal or state funds, including state bond funds, from being expended by the authority or any other state agency on the construction of the high-speed rail project, except as necessary to meet contractual commitments entered into before January 1, 2014. The bill would also make a statement of legislative intent.	
AB 863 Torres D Transit projects: environmental review process.	ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/3/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was TRANS. on 3/4/2013)	Existing federal law authorizes the United States Secretary of Transportation to enter into an agreement with a state under which the state assumes the responsibilities of the secretary with respect to federal environmental review and clearance under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) with respect to one or more transportation projects, as specified. Existing law, until January 1, 2017, authorizes the Department of Transportation, for transportation projects under its jurisdiction, to assume those responsibilities for federally funded surface transportation projects subject to NEPA. Existing law provides that the State of California consents to the jurisdiction of the federal courts with regard to the compliance, discharge, or enforcement of those responsibilities, and further provides that the department may not assert immunity from suit under the 11th Amendment to the United States Constitution with regard to actions brought relative to those responsibilities under federal law. This bill would authorize the department to assume similar responsibilities for federal review and clearance under NEPA for a transit project, as defined, that is subject to NEPA. The bill would provide that the State of California consents to the jurisdiction of the federal courts in that regard, and further provides that the department may not assert immunity from suit under the 11th Amendment to the United States Constitution with regard to actions brought relative to those responsibilities under federal law.	
AB 898 Ting D Zero-emission vehicles: infrastructure.	ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/10/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(3). (Last location was PRINT on 2/22/2013)	Existing law requires the State Air Resources Board to select projects for zero-emission vehicle leases or purchases and zero-emission vehicle infrastructure for the purpose of implementing any program to encourage the use of zero-emission vehicles through a competitive grant process that includes a public bidding process. This bill would state the intent of the Legislature to enact subsequent legislation that would reduce motor vehicle emissions through the construction of infrastructure to charge zero-emission electric vehicles, with the goal of expanding the travel range of zero-emission electric vehicles by January 2015 pursuant to a specified executive order.	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>AB 935 Frazier D</p> <p>San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority: terms of board members.</p>	<p>SENATE T. & H. 5/23/2013 - Referred to Com. on T. & H.</p>	<p>Existing law establishes the San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority with specified powers and duties, including, but not limited to, the authority to coordinate the emergency activities of all water transportation and related facilities within the bay area region, as defined. This bill would expand the number of members appointed by the Senate Committee on Rules and the Speaker of the Assembly to 2 members each. The bill would require that the initial terms of the additional members appointed by the Senate Committee on Rules and the Speaker of the Assembly pursuant to its provisions shall be 2 years and 6 years, respectively. The bill would require that one of the 3 members appointed by the Governor be a bona fide labor representative and that another member be a resident of the City and County of San Francisco selected from a list of 3 nominees provided by the San Francisco County Transportation Authority. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. Last Amended on 4/25/2013</p>	<p>Support 3/13/13</p>
<p>AB 953 Ammiano D</p> <p>California Environmental Quality Act.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/31/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (8). (Last location was INACTIVE FILE on 5/31/2013)</p>	<p>The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report (EIR) on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA defines "environment" and "significant effect on the environment" for its purposes. CEQA requires the EIR to include a detailed statement setting forth specified facts. This bill would revise those definitions, as specified. This bill would additionally require the lead agency to include in the EIR a detailed statement on any significant effects that may result from locating the proposed project near, or attracting people to, existing or reasonably foreseeable natural hazards or adverse environmental conditions. Because the lead agency would be required to undertake this additional consideration, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.</p>	
<p>AB 971 Garcia D</p> <p>Public agency employers: paratransit providers: criminal history information.</p>	<p>SENATE APPR. 6/25/2013 - From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (June 25). Re-referred to Com. on APPR..</p>	<p>Existing law requires the Attorney General to furnish state summary criminal history information to a city, county, city and county, or district, or an officer or official thereof, when that information is needed in fulfilling employment, certification, or licensing duties, as specified, subject to specified restrictions as to arrests or detentions that did not result in a conviction. Other provisions of existing law authorize the Attorney General to provide summary criminal history information to specified persons or entities for specified purposes. Existing law provides a similar provision with respect to authorizing a local public entity to receive local criminal history information. This bill additionally would authorize a specified social services Para transit agency to receive specified state and local criminal history information with respect to its contracted providers, and would further make technical, nonsubstantive, and conforming changes. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. Last Amended on 4/18/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
AB 1002 Bloom D Vehicles: registration fee: sustainable communities strategies.	ASSEMBLY L. GOV. 4/30/2013 - In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.	Existing law imposes a registration fee to be paid to the Department of Motor Vehicles for the registration of every vehicle or trailer coach of a type subject to registration, except those vehicles that are expressly exempted from the payment of registration fees. Existing law, until January 1, 2016, imposes a \$3 increase on that fee, \$2 of which is to be deposited into the Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Fund and \$1 of which is to be deposited into the Enhanced Fleet Modernization Subaccount. This bill would, in addition to any other taxes and fees specified in the Vehicle Code and the Revenue and Taxation Code, impose a tax of \$6 to be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration of every vehicle subject to registration under the Vehicle Code in a county that is in a metropolitan planning organization required to prepare a sustainable communities strategy as part of its regional transportation plan, except as specified. This bill would require the Department of Motor Vehicles, after deducting all reasonable administrative costs, to remit the money generated by the tax for deposit in the Sustainable Communities Strategy Subaccount, which the bill would establish in the Motor Vehicle Account. The bill would make funds in the subaccount available, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for specified purposes. This bill contains other existing laws. Last Amended on 4/23/2013	
AB 1031 Achadjian R Local government: open meetings.	ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/10/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (3).	Existing law, the Ralph M. Brown Act, requires each legislative body of a local agency to provide notice of the time and place for holding regular meetings and an agenda containing a brief general description of each item of business to be transacted. The act also requires that all meetings of a legislative body be open and public and all persons be permitted to attend unless a closed session is authorized. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to a provision of the Ralph M. Brown Act	
AB 1046 Gordon D Department of Transportation: Delivery Team Demonstration Program.	SENATE T. & H. 5/23/2013 - Referred to Com. on T. & H.	Existing law provides that the Department of Transportation has full possession and control of the state highway system. Existing law creates the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority with various transportation responsibilities in the County of Santa Clara. This bill would authorize the department's District 4 director to direct existing District 4 resources to the Innovative Delivery Team Demonstration Program and to authorize department staff to perform reimbursed work for projects on and off the state highway system within the boundaries of the County of Santa Clara pursuant to the master agreement, as defined, and accompanying work programs, as defined. Last Amended on 3/21/2013	
AB 1051 Bocanegra D Housing.	ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/24/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/16/2013)	The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 authorizes the State Air Resources Board to adopt a program pursuant to the act to cap greenhouse gas emissions and provide for market-based compliance mechanisms, including the auction of allowances (cap-and-trade program). Existing law requires all moneys, except for fines and penalties, collected by the state board from the auction or sale of allowances as part of a market-based compliance mechanism to be deposited in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund and to be available, upon appropriation by the Legislature. This bill would state findings and declarations of the Legislature relating to transportation and residential housing development, as specified. The bill would create the Sustainable Communities for All programs, which shall begin operations on January 1, 2015, to fund transit-related projects through competitive grants and loans, as specified. The Sustainable Communities for All programs would not be implemented until the Legislature appropriates funds for the program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. Last Amended on 4/8/2013	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
AB 1070 Frazier D California Transportation Financing Authority.	SENATE G. & F. 6/18/2013 - From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on GOV. & F. (Ayes 10. Noes 0.) (June 18). Re-referred to Com. on GOV. & F.	The California Transportation Financing Authority Act creates the California Transportation Financing Authority, with specified powers and duties relative to issuance of bonds to fund transportation projects to be backed, in whole or in part, by various revenue streams of transportation funds, and toll revenues under certain conditions, in order to increase the construction of new capacity or improvements for the state transportation system consistent with specified goals. Existing law, subject to certain conditions, authorizes the authority to grant a request that a project sponsor, rather than the authority, be the issuer of the bonds. This bill would revise the act to further define the roles of the authority and an issuer of bonds under the act if the project sponsor, rather than the authority, is the issuer of bonds, and would define "issuer" in that regard. The bill would make other related changes. Last Amended on 4/3/2013	
AB 1077 Muratsuchi D Sales and use taxes: vehicle license fee: exclusion: alternative fuel motor vehicles.	ASSEMBLY APPR. 6/17/2013 - Re-referred to Com. on APPR.	The Sales and Use Tax Law imposes state sales and use taxes on retailers measured by the gross receipts from the sale of tangible personal property sold at retail in this state, or on the storage, use, or other consumption in this state of tangible personal property purchased from a retailer for storage, use, or other consumption in this state, measured by the sales price. Existing law also provides for specific exemptions from these taxes. This bill would, on and after January 1, 2014, and before January 1, 2022, exempt from the taxes imposed by the Sales and Use Tax Law that portion of the gross receipts from the sale of, and the storage, use, or other consumption of, a qualified motor vehicle, as defined, that is the greater of (1) the sum of the amount of any credit under a specified provision of the Internal Revenue Code relating to new qualified plug-in electric drive motor vehicles, and any amount received, awarded, or allowed pursuant to a state incentive program for the purchase or lease of an alternative fuel vehicle; or (2) the value of a motor vehicle that is traded in for the motor vehicle that qualifies for a credit or incentive amount under those programs, where the value of the trade-in motor vehicle is separately stated on the new motor vehicle invoice or bill of sale or similar document provided to the purchaser. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. Last Amended on 6/15/2013	
AB 1193 Ting D Bikeways.	ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/3/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (2). (Last location was L. GOV. on 4/29/2013)	Existing law requires the Department of Transportation, in cooperation with county and city governments, to establish minimum safety design criteria for the planning and construction of bikeways, and requires the department to establish uniform specifications and symbols regarding bicycle travel and bicycle traffic related matters. Existing law requires all city, county, regional, and other local agencies responsible for the development or operation of bikeways or roadways where bicycle travel is permitted to utilize all minimum safety design criteria and uniform specifications and symbols for signs, markers, and traffic control devices established pursuant to that law. This bill would prohibit the department from denying funding to a project because it is excepted pursuant to these procedures. This bill contains other existing laws. Last Amended on 4/25/2013	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
AB 1194 Ammiano D Safe Routes to School Program.	SENATE T. & H. 6/13/2013 - Referred to Com. on T. & H.	Existing law creates the Safe Routes to School Program, administered by the Department of Transportation in consultation with the Department of the California Highway Patrol. Existing law requires the Department of Transportation to award grants to local government agencies based on the results of a statewide competition, under which proposals submitted for funding are rated based on various factors. Existing law provides for the program to be funded from state and federal funds, as specified. This bill would provide that the program may fund both construction and non-infrastructure activities, as specified. The bill would require 20% of program funds to be used for non-infrastructure activities, as specified. The bill would authorize the transfer of the responsibility for selecting projects and awarding grants from the Department of Transportation to the California Transportation Commission, at the discretion of the Transportation Agency. Last Amended on 5/24/2013	
AB 1211 Linder R Vehicles: high-occupancy vehicle lanes.	ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/10/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (3).	Existing law authorizes the Department of Transportation to designate certain lanes for the exclusive use of high-occupancy vehicles (HOV), which may also be used, until January 1, 2015, by certain eligible low-emission and hybrid vehicles not carrying the requisite number of passengers otherwise required for the use of HOV lanes if the vehicle displays a valid identifier issued by the Department of Motor Vehicles. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to these provisions.	
AB 1290 John A. Pérez D Transportation planning.	SENATE T. & H. 6/13/2013 - Referred to Com. on T. & H.	Existing law creates the California Transportation Commission, with various powers and duties relative to the programming of transportation capital projects and allocation of funds to those projects, pursuant to the state transportation improvement program and various other transportation funding programs. Existing law provides that the commission consists of 13 members, including 11 voting members, of which 9 are appointed by the Governor subject to Senate confirmation and 2 are appointed by the Legislature. In addition, 2 members of the Legislature are appointed as ex officio members without vote. This bill would provide for 2 additional voting members of the commission to be appointed by the Legislature. The bill would also provide for the Secretary of the Transportation Agency, the Chairperson of the State Air Resources Board, and the Director of Housing and Community Development to serve as ex officio members without vote. Last Amended on 4/23/2013	
AB 1314 Bloom D Vehicles: compressed natural gas vehicles: inspections.	ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/3/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (2). (Last location was TRANS. on 4/1/2013)	(1) Existing law authorizes the Commissioner of the California Highway Patrol to adopt and enforce regulations and standards with respect to fuel containers and fuel systems on vehicles using, among other fuels, compressed natural gas and the operation of vehicles using compressed natural gas to ensure the safety of the equipment and vehicles and of persons and property using the highways. Existing law requires all motor vehicles with compressed natural gas fuel systems used for propulsion to comply either with specified regulations or with certain federal standards. This bill would, notwithstanding any other law, require that a cylinder and tank bracket inspection be conducted on all motor vehicles with a compressed natural gas fuel system every 3 years by an independent qualified compressed natural gas cylinder inspector, except as provided, and that the cylinder be replaced on these vehicles before the manufacturer expiration date marked on the cylinder. The bill would require a qualified compressed natural gas cylinder inspector to report his or her findings to the Department of Motor Vehicles, as specified. The bill would prohibit any person from conducting the inspections or performing the reporting requirements described above unless the person is a qualified compressed natural gas inspector. The bill would establish requirements for the qualification and registration of qualified natural gas cylinder inspectors. Last Amended on 3/21/2013	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>AB 1369 Achadjian R</p> <p>Vehicles: farm pickup trucks.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/10/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (3).</p>	<p>Existing law defines a pickup truck as a motor truck with a manufacturer's gross vehicle weight rating of less than 11,500 pounds, an unladen weight of less than 8,001 pounds, and is equipped with an open box-type bed not exceeding 9 feet in length. This bill would define a farm pickup truck as a motor truck used exclusively by a farmer or rancher in a not-for-hire capacity that is operated solely in California and not in interstate commerce, that has a manufacturer's gross vehicle weight rating of less than 14,000 pounds, and is equipped with a bed, including, not limited to, a flat bed, not exceeding 9 feet in length. Last Amended on 3/21/2013</p>	
<p>AB 1375 Chau D</p> <p>California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: market-based compliance mechanisms: Clean Technology Investment Account.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY 2 YEAR 5/24/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (5). (Last location was APPR. on 5/8/2013)</p>	<p>The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, hereafter the Global Warming Solutions Act, designates the State Air Resources Board as the state agency charged with monitoring and regulating sources of emissions of greenhouse gases. The act authorizes the state board to include use of market-based compliance mechanisms. Existing law requires all moneys, except for fines and penalties, collected by the state board as part of a market-based compliance mechanism to be deposited in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund and to be available upon appropriation by the Legislature. Existing law requires the Department of Finance, in consultation with the state board and any other relevant state agency, to develop, as specified, a 3-year investment plan for the moneys deposited in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund. Existing law permits moneys from the fund be allocated for the research, development, and deployment of innovative technologies, measures, and practices related to programs and projects funded under the Global Warming Solutions Act. This bill would create the Clean Technology Investment Account within the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund and would require the Legislature to annually appropriate moneys from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund into the Clean Technology Investment Account. This bill would make those moneys available to the state board for the purposes of accelerating the development, demonstration, and deployment of clean technologies that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions and foster job creation in the state. The bill would require the implementation of these provisions be contingent on the appropriation of moneys by the Legislature for these purposes. Last Amended on 5/7/2013</p>	
<p>AB 1380 Committee on Public Employees, Retirement</p> <p>County employees' retirement.</p>	<p>SENATE CONSENT CALENDAR 6/24/2013 - Do pass and be placed on the Consent Calendar.</p>	<p>The California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 (PEPRA) requires a public retirement system, as defined, to modify its pension plan or plans to comply with the act and, among other provisions, generally prohibits a public employer that offers a defined benefit plan from offering new employees defined benefit retirement formulas other than those established by the act, which, in comparison to existing formulas, generally provide reduced benefits and later ages for retirement. PEPRA prohibits the purchase of nonqualified service credit, as defined, unless the application to purchase the credit is received by the retirement system prior to January 1, 2013, and subsequently approved. PEPRA prohibits an employer from paying a new member's contribution for the normal cost of benefits in a defined plan and prohibits an enhancement of a public employee's retirement benefit adopted on or after January 1, 2013, from applying to service previously performed. This bill would amend various provisions of CERL to coordinate and subordinate that law with PEPRA. Generally, the bill would specify that certain provisions of CERL do not apply to members who are currently subject to PEPRA by virtue of being first employed on or after January 1, 2013. The bill would provide that provisions allowing a new formula for calculation of retirement benefits to be applied to service already performed are inoperative as of January 1, 2013, and would prohibit the purchase of nonqualified service credit, as specified. Last Amended on 6/18/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>ACA 8 Blumenfield D</p> <p>Local government financing: voter approval.</p>	<p>SENATE RLS. 6/17/2013 - In Senate. Read first time. To Com. on RLS. for assignment.</p>	<p>The California Constitution prohibits the ad valorem tax rate on real property from exceeding 1% of the full cash value of the property, subject to certain exceptions.</p> <p>This measure would create an additional exception to the 1% limit for a rate imposed by a city, county, city and county, or special district, as defined, to service bonded indebtedness incurred to fund specified public improvements and facilities, or buildings used primarily to provide sheriff, police, or fire protection services, that is approved by 55% of the voters of the city, county, city and county, or special district, as applicable. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.</p> <p>Last Amended on 4/4/2013</p>	
<p>SB 1 Steinberg D</p> <p>Sustainable Communities Investment Authority.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY H. & C.D. 6/14/2013 - Referred to Coms. on H. & C.D. and L. GOV.</p>	<p>The Community Redevelopment Law authorizes the establishment of redevelopment agencies in communities to address the effects of blight, as defined. Existing law dissolved redevelopment agencies and community development agencies, as of February 1, 2012, and provides for the designation of successor agencies.</p> <p>This bill would authorize certain public entities of a Sustainable Communities Investment Area, as described, to form a Sustainable Communities Investment Authority (authority) to carry out the Community Redevelopment Law in a specified manner. The bill would require the authority to adopt a Sustainable Communities Investment Plan for a Sustainable Communities Investment Area and authorize the authority to include in that plan a provision for the receipt of tax increment funds provided that certain economic development and planning requirements are met. The bill would authorize the legislative body of a city or county forming an authority to dedicate any portion of its net available revenue, as defined, to the authority through its Sustainable Communities Investment Plan. The bill would require the authority to contract for an independent financial and performance audit every 5 years. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.</p> <p>Last Amended on 5/2/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>SB 11 Pavley D</p> <p>Alternative fuel and vehicle technologies: funding programs.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY TRANS. 6/14/2013 - Referred to Coms. on TRANS. and NAT. RES.</p>	<p>(1) Existing law establishes the Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Program, administered by the State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission, to provide to specified entities, upon appropriation by the Legislature, grants, loans, loan guarantees, revolving loans, or other appropriate measures, for the development and deployment of innovative technologies that would transform California's fuel and vehicle types to help attain the state's climate change goals. Existing law specifies that only certain projects or programs are eligible for funding, including block grants administered by public entities or not-for-profit technology entities for multiple projects, education and program promotion within California, and development of alternative and renewable fuel and vehicle technology centers. Existing law requires the commission to develop and adopt an investment plan to determine priorities and opportunities for the program. Existing law also creates the Air Quality Improvement Program, administered by the State Air Resources Board, to fund air quality improvement projects related to fuel and vehicle technologies.</p> <p>This bill would provide that the board, until January 1, 2024, has no authority to enforce any element of its existing clean fuels outlet regulation or other regulation that requires or has the effect of requiring any person to construct, operate, or provide funding for the construction or operation of any publicly available hydrogen fueling station. The bill would require the board to aggregate and make available to the public, no later than January 1, 2014, and every year thereafter, the number of hydrogen-fueled vehicles that automobile manufacturers project to be sold or leased over the next 3 years, as reported to the board, and the number of hydrogen-fueled vehicles registered with the Department of Motor Vehicles through April 30. The bill would require the commission to allocate \$20 million each fiscal year, as specified, until there are at least 100 publicly available hydrogen fueling stations in California. The bill, on or before December 31, 2015, and annually thereafter, would require the commission and the board to jointly review and report on the progress toward establishing a hydrogen fueling network that provides the coverage and capacity to fuel vehicles requiring hydrogen fuel that are being placed into operation in the state, as specified. The bill would authorize the commission to design grants, loan incentive programs, revolving loan programs, and other forms of financial assistance, as specified, for purposes of assisting in the implementation of these provisions. The bill, no later than July 1, 2013, would require the board and air districts to jointly convene working groups to evaluate the specified policies and goals of specified programs. The bill would add intelligent transportation systems as a category of projects eligible for funding under the Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Program. The bill would require the commission and the board, in making awards under both the Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Program and the Air Quality Improvement Program, to provide a preference to projects with higher benefit-cost scores, as defined. The bill would prohibit any customer incentives for light-duty vehicles from being greater than compensations given to customers under the Enhanced Fleet Modernization Program for the retirement of certain high polluting vehicles. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.</p> <p>Last Amended on 5/28/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>SB 33 Wolk D</p> <p>Infrastructure financing districts: voter approval: repeal.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY APPR. 6/13/2013 - From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 5. Noes 2.) (June 12). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.</p>	<p>Existing law authorizes a legislative body, as defined, to create an infrastructure financing district, adopt an infrastructure financing plan, and issue bonds, for which only the district is liable, to finance specified public facilities, upon voter approval. Existing law authorizes an infrastructure financing district to fund infrastructure projects through tax increment financing, pursuant to the infrastructure financing plan and agreement of affected taxing entities, as defined. This bill would revise and recast the provisions governing infrastructure financing districts. The bill would eliminate the requirement of voter approval for creation of the district and for bond issuance, and would authorize the legislative body to create the district subject to specified procedures. The bill would instead authorize a newly created public financing authority, consisting of 5 members, 3 of whom are members of the city council or board of supervisors that established the district, and 2 of whom are members of the public, to adopt the infrastructure financing plan, subject to approval by the legislative body, and issue bonds by majority vote of the authority by resolution. The bill would authorize a public financing authority to enter into joint powers agreements with affected taxing entities with regard to nontaxing authority or powers only. The bill would authorize a district to finance specified actions and projects, and prohibit the district from providing financial assistance to a vehicle dealer or big box retailer, as defined. The bill would create a public accountability committee, as specified, to review the actions of the public financing authority. Last Amended on 3/6/2013</p>	
<p>SB 56 Roth D</p> <p>Local government finance: vehicle license fee adjustments.</p>	<p>SENATE APPR. 6/19/2013 - From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (June 19). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.</p>	<p>The Vehicle License Fee Law establishes, in lieu of any ad valorem property tax upon vehicles, an annual license fee for any vehicle subject to registration in this state. Beginning with the 2004-05 fiscal years and for each fiscal year thereafter, existing law requires that each city, county, and city and county receive a vehicle license fee adjustment amount, as defined, from a Vehicle License Fee Property Tax Compensation Fund that exists in each county treasury. Existing law requires that these amounts be funded from ad valorem property tax revenues otherwise required to be allocated to educational entities. This bill would, for the 2013-14 fiscal years and for each fiscal year thereafter, provide for a vehicle license fee adjustment amount calculated on the basis of changes in assessed valuation. This bill would also, for the 2013-14 fiscal year and for each fiscal year thereafter, provide for a vehicle license fee adjustment amount for certain cities incorporating after a specified date, as provided. Last Amended on 6/11/2013</p>	
<p>SB 64 Corbett D</p> <p>Proposition 39: implementation.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY NAT. RES. 6/24/2013 - From committee: Be re-referred to Com. on NAT. RES. (Ayes 9. Noes 0.) (June 24). Re-referred to Com. on NAT. RES.</p>	<p>The California Clean Energy Jobs Act, an initiative approved by the voters at the November 6, 2012, statewide general election as Proposition 39, made changes to corporate income taxes and, except as specified, provides for the transfer of \$550,000,000 annually from the General Fund to the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund for 5 fiscal years beginning with the 2013-14 fiscal year. Moneys in the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund are available, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for purposes of funding eligible projects that create jobs in California improving energy efficiency and expanding clean energy generation. Existing law provides for the allocation of these funds for eligible projects at public school facilities, university and college facilities, and other public buildings and facilities, and job training and workforce development, and public-private partnerships, as specified. Would require the State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission to develop and administer a competitive financial assistance program for energy efficiency and clean energy onsite generation projects that are consistent with specified provisions of the act and to develop and adopt certain guidelines for the program. Would provide that eligible facilities for the competitive financial assistance program include, but not limited to, hospitals and wastewater treatment facilities. Would also provide that eligible facilities include facilities in public school districts, as provided. Would appropriate for the 2013-14 fiscal year an unspecified sum from the Clean Energy Job Creation Fund to the commission for this purpose, making an appropriation. Last Amended on 6/14/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>SB 110 DeSaulnier D</p> <p>East Span, San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Safety Review Task Force.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY TRANS. 6/25/2013 - From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on TRANS. (Ayes 10. Noes 0.) (June 25). Re-referred to Com. on TRANS..</p>	<p>Existing law identifies the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge as a "toll bridge" and provides that the bridge and the approaches to it are a primary state highway. Existing law requires the Department of Transportation to permanently maintain and operate the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge as a primary state highway in such a manner that the physical condition and operating efficiency thereof are of the highest character. Existing law establishes the Bay Area Toll Authority and assigns to it responsibility for the administration of all toll revenues from state-owned toll bridges. Existing law provides that the power or duty of the authority to fix the rates of toll for the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge or the power and duty of the department to collect the tolls so fixed by the authority for the use of the bridge are not affected by any law providing that state highways are to be free highways. This bill would establish the East Span, San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Safety Review Task Force in state government and require the Legislative Analyst to provide administrative support for the task force as necessary for the completion of its duties. The task force would consist of 7 members designated by the Legislative Analyst. Each member of the task force would serve a term of one year and receive compensation, as specified, and reimbursement for actual and necessary expenses. The bill would appropriate \$149,000 from the State Highway Account in the State Transportation Fund to the Legislative Analyst for purposes of paying for the compensation and expense reimbursement of the task force members. The task force would be required to assess the anticipated seismic structural performance of the East Span, as defined, of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge by conducting a series of specified reviews. The task force would be required to submit a final written report to the Legislature and the Governor that includes the results of its reviews, as specified. Last Amended on 6/24/2013</p>	
<p>SB 142 DeSaulnier D</p> <p>Public transit.</p>	<p>ASM. TRANS. 6/10/2013 - Referred to TRANS. and L. GOV.</p>	<p>Existing law provides for creation of one or more special benefit districts within a transit district or rapid transit district relative to the issuance of bonds to be repaid through special assessments levied on property within the special benefit district, or certain zones within the special benefit district, with the proceeds of the bonds to be used for specified transit improvements. Existing law enacts similar provisions applicable to a municipal transit system owned by a city or city and county. This bill would repeal all of these provisions. Last Amended on 5/7/2013</p>	
<p>SB 220 Beall D</p> <p>California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013: administration.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY APPR. 6/26/2013 - From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. with recommendation: To consent calendar. (Ayes 6. Noes 0.) (June 26). Re-referred to Com. on APPR.</p>	<p>The Public Employees' Retirement Law (PERL) establishes the Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) for the purpose of providing pension benefits to specified public employees. Existing law also establishes the Judges' Retirement System and Judges' Retirement System II, which provide pension benefits to judges, as defined, and the Legislators' Retirement System, which provides pension benefits to specified elective officers of the state, other than judges, and to legislative statutory officers. Existing law requires that these systems be administered by the Board of Administration of PERS. Existing law, the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 (PEPRA), on and after January 1, 2013, generally requires a public retirement system, as defined, to modify its plan or plans to comply with the act, as specified. Existing law establishes the Public Employee Medical and Hospital Care Act (PEMHCA) for the purpose of providing postemployment health care benefits to specified retirees. This bill would require the Board of Administration of PERS to administer each of the retirement systems described above in conformance with PEPRA as if the provisions of the act were contained in the provisions governing those systems. The bill would provide that if the board determines that there is a conflict between the provisions of PEPRA and respective provisions of those systems, the provisions of PEPRA control. Would make various changes in PERL and in PEMHCA to conform to the requirements of PEPRA. Amended on 6/17/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
SB 230 Knight R Local transportation funds: performance audits.	SENATE 2 YEAR 5/10/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (3). (Last location was T. & H. on 3/21/2013)	Existing law provides various sources of funding to public transit operators. Under the Mills-Alquist-Deddeh Act, also known as the Transportation Development Act, revenues from a 1/4% sales tax in each county are available, among other things, for allocation by the transportation planning agency to transit operators, subject to certain requirements for the operator to maintain a specified farebox ratio of fare revenues to operating costs. The act requires the transportation planning agency to designate entities other than itself, a county transportation commission, a transit development board, or an operator to make a performance audit of its activities and the activities of each operator to whom it allocates funds. The act requires the transportation planning agency to consult with the entity to be audited prior to designating the entity to make the performance audit and defines "operating cost" for this purpose. Existing law excludes certain costs from this definition, including vehicle lease costs. This bill would also exclude principal and interest payments on all capital projects funded with certificates of participation. Last Amended on 3/18/2013	
SB 286 Yee D Vehicles: high-occupancy vehicle lanes.	ASSEMBLY APPR. 6/24/2013 - Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.	Existing law authorizes the Department of Transportation to designate certain lanes for the exclusive use of high-occupancy vehicles (HOVs), which lanes may also be used, until January 1, 2015, or until the Secretary of State receives a specified notice, by certain low-emission, hybrid, or alternative fuel vehicles not carrying the requisite number of passengers otherwise required for the use of an HOV lane, if the vehicle displays a valid identifier issued by the Department of Motor Vehicles. A violation of provisions relating to HOV lane use by vehicles with those identifiers is a crime. This bill would extend the operation of those provisions to January 1, 2018, or until the Secretary of State receives that specified notice. Would permit the department to issue a valid identifier to a vehicle that meets California's transitional zero emission (TZEV) standards. Last Amended on 6/24/2013	
SB 408 De León D Transportation funds.	SENATE 2 YEAR 5/10/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (3). (Last location was RLS. on 2/28/2013)	Existing law establishes a policy for expenditure of certain state and federal funds available to the state for transportation purposes. Under this policy, the Department of Transportation and the California Transportation Commission develop a fund estimate of available funds for purposes of adopting the state transportation improvement program, which is a listing of capital improvement projects. After deducting expenditures for administration, operation, maintenance, local assistance, safety, rehabilitation, and certain environmental enhancement and mitigation expenditures, the remaining funds are available for capital improvement projects. This bill would provide that the remaining funds are available for the study, development and implementation of capital improvement projects.	
SB 436 Jackson D California Environmental Quality Act: notice.	ASSEMBLY APPR. 6/25/2013 - From committee: Do pass and re-refer to Com. on APPR. Re-referred to Com. on APPR	The California Environmental Quality Act, commonly referred to as CEQA, requires a lead agency to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report, also known as an EIR, on a project, as defined, that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment, as defined, or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires the lead agency to call at least one scoping meeting for a project that may affect highways or other facilities under the jurisdiction of the Department of Transportation if the meeting is requested by the department, or for a project of statewide, regional, or areawide significance. CEQA requires the lead agency to provide to specified entities a notice of at least one scoping meeting. Would require a lead agency to conduct at least one public scoping meeting for the specified projects. Last Amended 4/3/2013	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>SB 444 Hueso D</p> <p>State Highway Route 86: relinquishment.</p>	<p>SENATE 2 YEAR 5/3/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (2). (Last location was T. & H. on 4/11/2013)</p>	<p>Existing law gives the Department of Transportation full possession and control of all state highways. Existing law describes the authorized routes in the state highway system and establishes a process for adoption of a highway on an authorized route by the California Transportation Commission. Existing law also authorizes the commission to relinquish certain state highway segments to local agencies. This bill would authorize the commission to relinquish to the Cities of Brawley, El Centro, and Imperial and the County of Imperial specified portions of State Highway Route 86 under certain conditions. This bill would also redesignate a specified portion of State Highway Route 86 as a part of State Highway Route 78 following relinquishment. This bill would require the relinquishments to be done at no cost to the state, unless the commission makes a finding of need. Last Amended on 4/4/2013</p>	
<p>SB 525 Galgiani D</p> <p>California Environmental Quality Act: exemptions.</p>	<p>SENATE 2 YEAR 5/3/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (2). (Last location was E.Q. on 3/11/2013)</p>	<p>The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify completion of, an environmental impact report (EIR) on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment, or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. Existing law exempts certain activities from CEQA, including a project for the institution or increase of passenger or commuter services on rail or highway rights-of-way already in use, including modernization of existing stations and parking facilities. This bill would provide that a project by the San Joaquin Regional Rail Commission and the High-Speed Rail Authority to improve the existing tracks, structure, bridges, signaling systems, and associated appurtenances located on the existing railroad right-of-way used by the Altamont Commuter Express service qualifies for this exemption from CEQA.</p>	
<p>SB 557 Hill D</p> <p>High-speed rail.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY TRANS. 6/17/2013 - Referred to Com. on TRANS.</p>	<p>Existing law creates the High-Speed Rail Authority with specified powers and duties relating to the development and implementation of an intercity high-speed rail system. Existing law, pursuant to the Safe, Reliable, High-Speed Passenger Train Bond Act for the 21st Century, authorizes \$9.95 billion in general obligation bonds for high-speed rail development and other related purposes. Existing law appropriates specified funds from the High-Speed Passenger Train Bond Fund and from federal funds for high-speed rail and connecting rail projects. This bill would add detail to provisions governing the expenditure of certain of those appropriated funds. The bill would specify that of the \$1,100,000,000 appropriated for early high-speed rail improvement projects in the Budget Act of 2012, \$600,000,000 and \$500,000,000 shall be allocated solely for purposes of specified memoranda of understanding approved by the High-Speed Rail Authority for the Metropolitan Transportation Commission region and the southern California region, respectively. The bill would limit fund transfer authority between certain appropriations to temporary transfers for account management purposes. The bill would restrict use of certain appropriated funds, to the extent they are allocated to the San Francisco-San Jose segment of the high-speed rail system, to implement a rail system in that segment that primarily consists of a 2-track blended system to be used jointly by high-speed trains and Caltrain commuter trains, with the system to be contained substantially within the existing Caltrain right-of-way. These provisions would be effective until a specified time, and would be inoperative thereafter. This bill contains other related provisions. Last Amended on 5/2/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>SB 613 DeSaulnier D</p> <p>Bay Area Toll Authority.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY TRANS. 6/17/2013 - Referred to Com. on TRANS.</p>	<p>Existing law designates the Metropolitan Transportation Commission as the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay Area. Existing law creates the Bay Area Toll Authority, governed by the same board as the commission, with specified powers and duties relative to the administration of certain toll revenues from state-owned toll bridges within the geographic jurisdiction of the commission. Existing law authorizes the authority to do all acts necessary or convenient for the exercise of its powers and the financing of projects, including the authorization to acquire, construct, manage, maintain, lease, or operate any public facility or improvements and to invest any money not required for immediate necessities as the authority deems advisable. This bill would prohibit the authority from purchasing or otherwise acquiring office space and office facilities in addition to the office space and office facilities located at 390 Main Street in San Francisco. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.</p> <p>Last Amended on 4/23/2013</p>	
<p>SB 617 Evans D</p> <p>California Environmental Quality Act.</p>	<p>SENATE 2 YEAR 5/31/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a) (8). (Last location was INACTIVE FILE on 5/30/2013)</p>	<p>(1)The California Environmental Quality Act, referred to as CEQA requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report, referred to as an EIR on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. Would additionally require the above mentioned notices to be filed with both the Office of Planning and Research and the county clerk and be posted by the county clerk for public review. Would require the county clerk to post the notices within one business day, as defined, of receipt and stamp on the notice the date on which the notices were actually posted. By expanding the services provided by the lead agency and the county clerk, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill would require the county clerk to post the notices for at least 30 days. Would require the Office of Planning and Research to post the notices on a publicly available online database established and maintained by the office. The bill would require the office to stamp the notices with the date on which the notices were actually posted for online review and would require the notices to be posted for at least 30 days. Would authorize the office to charge an administrative fee not to exceed \$10 per notice filed. Would specify that a time period or limitation period specified by CEQA does not commence until the notice is actually posted for public review by the county clerk or is available in the online database, whichever is later.</p> <p>Amended on 5/28/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>SB 628 Beall D</p> <p>Infrastructure financing: transit priority projects.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY H. & C.D. 6/26/2013 - Action From L. GOV.: Do pass.To H. & C.D..</p>	<p>Existing law establishes the Transit Priority Project Program, and authorizes a city or county to participate in the program by adopting an ordinance indicating its intent to participate in the program and by forming an infrastructure financing district. Existing law requires a city or county that elects to participate in the program to amend, if necessary, its general plan, and any related specific plan, to authorize participating developers to build at an increased height of a minimum of 3 stories within the newly created infrastructure financing district. Existing law exempts from these provisions a city or county that has adopted specified language in its charter, or by ordinance or resolution. Under existing law, a transit priority project that meets specified criteria is designated as a sustainable community's project, and is thus exempt from certain environmental review requirements.</p> <p>This bill would eliminate the requirement of voter approval for the creation of an infrastructure financing district, the issuance of bonds, and the establishment or change of the appropriations limit with respect to a transit priority project. The bill would require a city or county that uses infrastructure financing district bonds to finance its transit priority project to use at least 25% of the associated property tax increment revenues for the purposes of increasing, improving, and preserving the supply of lower and moderate-income housing available in the district and occupied by persons and families of moderate-, low-, very low, and extremely low income. The bill would require the district to implement these affordable housing provisions in accordance with specified provisions of the Community Redevelopment Law, to the extent not inconsistent with the bill. This bill would require the adoption of an ordinance that would require the replacement of designated low-income dwelling units, upon their removal from the district, within 2 years of their displacement. The bill would require the metropolitan planning organization to certify that the project proposed by the district is consistent with the region's sustainable community's strategy, prior to the implementation of the project.</p> <p>Last Amended on 6/17/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>SB 633 Pavley D CEQA.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY NAT. RES. 6/17/2013 - Referred to Com. on NAT. RES.</p>	<p>The California Environmental Quality Act, referred to as CEQA, requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify completion of, an environmental impact report, referred to as an EIR, on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment, or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA prescribes certain requirements for the review of draft EIRs, as specified. CEQA prohibits a lead agency or responsible agency from requiring a subsequent or supplemental EIR when an EIR has been prepared for a project pursuant to its provisions, unless one or more of specified events occurs, including, among other things, that new information, which was not known and could not have been known at the time the EIR was certified as complete, becomes available. CEQA requires the Office of Planning and Research to prepare and develop, and the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency to certify and adopt guidelines for the implementation of CEQA. CEQA requires the office to review the guidelines once every 2 years and recommend proposed changes or amendments to the guidelines to the secretary. CEQA requires the guidelines to include a list of classes of projects that have been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and to exempt those classes of projects from CEQA, referred to as categorical exemptions.</p> <p>This bill would specify that the new information that becomes available was not known and could not have been known by the lead agency or any responsible agency at the time the EIR was certified as complete. The bill would authorize the office, by July 1, 2015, to revise the guidelines to include as a categorical exemption projects involving minor temporary uses of land and public gatherings that have been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment. The bill would require the secretary, by January 1, 2016, to certify and adopt the proposed revisions to the guidelines. Because a lead agency would be required to determine whether a project would fall within this categorical exemption, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.</p> <p>Last Amended on 5/6/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>SB 731 Steinberg D</p> <p>Environment: California Environmental Quality Act and sustainable communities strategy.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY NAT. RES. 6/17/2013 - Referred to Coms. on NAT. RES. and L. GOV.</p>	<p>The California Environmental Quality Act, or CEQA, requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report, or EIR, on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA requires the Office of Planning and Research to develop and prepare, and the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency to certify and adopt, guidelines for the implementation of CEQA by public agencies. CEQA establishes a procedure for the preparation and certification of the record of proceedings upon the filing of an action or proceeding challenging a lead agency's action on the grounds of noncompliance with CEQA. CEQA establishes time periods within which a person is required to bring a judicial action or proceeding to challenge a public agency's action taken pursuant to CEQA. This bill would provide that aesthetic impacts of a residential, mixed-use residential, or employment center project, as defined, within a transit priority area, as defined, shall not be considered significant impacts on the environment. The bill would require the office to prepare and propose, and the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency to certify and adopt, revisions to the guidelines for the implementation of CEQA establishing thresholds of significance for noise, and for the transportation and parking impacts of residential, mixed-use residential, or employment center projects within transit priority areas. The bill would require the lead agency, in making specified findings, to make those findings available to the public at least 15 days prior to the approval of the proposed project and to provide specified notice of the availability of the findings for public review. Because the bill would require the lead agency to make the draft finding available for public review and to provide specified notices to the public, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill would require the lead agency, at the request of a project applicant for specified projects, to, among other things, prepare a record of proceedings concurrently with the preparation of negative declarations, mitigated negative declarations, EIRs, or other environmental documents for specified projects. Because the bill would require a lead agency to prepare the record of proceedings as provided, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill would authorize the tolling of the time period in which a person is required to bring a judicial action or proceeding challenging a public agency's action taken pursuant to CEQA through a tolling agreement that does not exceed 4 years. The bill would authorize the extension of the tolling agreement. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. Last Amended on 5/24/2013</p>	
<p>SB 751 Yee D</p> <p>Meetings: publication of action taken.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY APPR. 6/26/2013 - Do pass and be re- referred to the Committee on Appropriations.</p>	<p>The Ralph M. Brown Act requires all meetings of the legislative body of a local agency, as defined, to be open and public and prohibits the legislative body from taking action by secret ballot, whether preliminary or final. This bill would additionally require the legislative body of a local agency to publicly report any action taken and the vote or abstention on that action of every member present. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. Last Amended on 6/17/2013</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>SB 787 Berryhill R</p> <p>Environmental quality: the Sustainable Environmental Protection Act.</p>	<p>SENATE 2 YEAR 5/3/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was E.Q. on 5/1/2013)</p>	<p>The California Environmental Quality Act, or CEQA, requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report, or EIR, on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. This bill would enact the Sustainable Environmental Protection Act and would specify the environmental review required pursuant to CEQA for projects related to specified environmental topical areas. For a judicial action or proceeding filed challenging an action taken by a lead agency on the ground of noncompliance with CEQA, the bill would prohibit a cause of action that (1) relates any topical area or criteria for which compliance obligations are identified or (2) challenges the environmental document if: (A) the environmental document discloses compliance with applicable environmental law, (B) the project conforms with the use designation, density, or building intensity in an applicable plan, as defined, and (C) the project approval incorporates applicable mitigation requirements into the environmental document. The bill would provide that the Sustainable Environmental Protection Act only applies if the lead agency or project applicant has agreed to provide to the public in a readily accessible electronic format an annual compliance report prepared pursuant to the mitigation monitoring and reporting program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. Last Amended on 4/18/2013</p>	
<p>SB 788 Committee on Transportation and Housing.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY NAT. RES. 6/18/2013 - From Com.: re-refer to Com. on NAT. RES. To consent calendar. (June 17). Re-refer to NAT. RES.</p>	<p>(1) The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify completion of, an environmental impact report on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment, or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. Existing law exempts certain activities from CEQA, including a project for the institution or increase of passenger or commuter services on rail or highway rights-of-way already in use, including modernization of existing stations and parking facilities. This bill would define the term “highway” for these purposes. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws. Last Amended on 6/10/2013</p>	
<p>SB 791 Wyland R</p> <p>Motor vehicle fuel tax: rate adjustment.</p>	<p>SENATE T. & H. 4/29/2013 - Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.</p>	<p>Existing law, as of July 1, 2010, exempts the sale of, and the storage, use or other consumption of, motor vehicle fuel from specified sales and use taxes and increases the excise tax on motor vehicle fuel, as provided. Existing law requires the State Board of Equalization to annually adjust the excise tax rate for the state's next fiscal year so that the revenues from the sales and use tax exemption and motor vehicle fuel excise tax increase are revenue neutral. This bill would eliminate the requirement that the State Board of Equalization adjust the rate of the excise tax on motor vehicle fuel, and instead would require the Department of Finance to annually calculate that rate and report that calculated rate to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee. The rate for the state's next fiscal year would remain the same as the rate of the current fiscal year or would decrease, as provided. This bill would further state that the rate may increase upon a further act by the Legislature. Last Amended on 4/4/2013</p>	<p>Oppose 5/8/13</p>

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p><u>SB 792</u> <u>DeSaulnier D</u></p> <p>Regional entities: Bay Area.</p>	<p>SENATE 2 YEAR 5/24/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/23/2013)</p>	<p>Existing law creates the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, the Bay Area Toll Authority, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, and the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, with various powers and duties relative to all or a portion of the 9-county San Francisco Bay Area region with respect to transportation, air quality, and environmental planning, as specified. Another regional entity, the Association of Bay Area Governments, is created as joint powers agency comprised of cities and counties under existing law with regional planning responsibilities. Existing law provides for a joint policy committee of certain member agencies in this 9-county area to collaborate on regional coordination. Existing law requires regional transportation planning agencies, as part of the regional transportation plan in urban areas, to develop a sustainable communities strategy pursuant to Senate Bill 375 of the 2007-08 Regular Session coordinating transportation, land use, and air quality planning, with specified objectives. This bill would require the Metropolitan Transportation Commission to report biannually to the Legislature and the public at large on the progress in implementing the policies and programs of the sustainable communities strategy. The bill would also require the joint policy committee to prepare a regional organization plan for the affected member agencies. The regional organization plan would include a plan for consolidating certain functions that are common to the member agencies. The regional organization plan would also include a statement relative to the expected reduction of overhead, operation, and management costs. The bill would require a member agency affected by the plan to submit a copy of the plan to its board on or before December 31, 2014, and would require the member agencies to report to the Senate Transportation and Housing Committee on the adoption and implementation of the plan on or before December 31, 2015. The bill would also require the joint policy committee to develop and adopt public and community outreach and inclusive public participation programs and to maintain an Internet Web site. The bill would also require the joint policy committee to appoint an advisory committee on economic competitiveness with specified members from the business community and other organizations to adopt goals and policies related to the inclusion of economic development opportunities in the plans of the member agencies. By imposing new duties on the joint policy committee, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.. Last Amended on 5/14/2013</p>	
<p><u>SB 798</u> <u>De León D</u></p> <p>California Green Infrastructure Bank Act.</p>	<p>SENATE 2 YEAR 5/3/2013 - Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was G. & F. on 3/11/2013)</p>	<p>The Bergeson-Peace Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank Act authorizes the California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, governed by a board of directors, to make loans and provide other assistance to public and private entities for various types of economic development projects, among other things. The activities of the bank under these provisions are funded from the California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank Fund, which is continuously appropriated for these purposes. This bill would enact the California Green Infrastructure Bank Act (act). The bill would establish the California Green Infrastructure Bank (bank) as a public corporation and would make it responsible for administering the act. The bill would make the bank under the direction of an executive director to be appointed by the Governor subject to Senate confirmation. Under the bill, the bank would be governed and its corporate power exercised by a board of directors consisting of 5 members, including 3 members appointed by the Governor subject to Senate confirmation and the Senate Committee on Rules and the Speaker of the Assembly would each appoint one member.</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
<p>SB 811 Lara D</p> <p>State Highway Route 710.</p>	<p>ASSEMBLY TRANS. 6/24/2013 - From committee with author's amendments. Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on TRANS.</p>	<p>Existing law provides that the Department of Transportation has full possession and control of the state highway system. Existing law imposes various requirements for the development and implementation of transportation projects. This bill would impose various additional requirements on the department, or on another agency, if that agency agrees to assume responsibility as the lead agency with respect to the I-710 expansion project in the County of Los Angeles from State Highway Route 60 in East Los Angeles to Ocean Boulevard in Long Beach. The bill would require the lead agency, in consultation with all interested community organizations, to consider, within the environmental review process for the project, alternatives to address the air quality, public health, and mobility impacts the project will have on neighboring communities. The bill would require the final environmental document approved by the lead agency to include an investment in identified mitigation measures for the affected communities and the Los Angeles River. The bill would require the lead agency to submit a report in that regard to the Legislature at least 90 days prior to approving the final environmental document for the project. The bill would make legislative findings and declarations. Last Amended on 6/24/2013</p>	
<p>SCA 4 Liu D</p> <p>Local government transportation projects: special taxes: voter approval.</p>	<p>SENATE T. & H. 6/25/2013 - Set for hearing July 9.</p>	<p>The California Constitution conditions the imposition of a special tax by a city, county, or special district upon the approval of 2/3 of the voters of the city, county, or special district voting on that tax, except that certain school entities may levy an ad valorem property tax for specified purposes with the approval of 55% of the voters within the jurisdiction of these entities. This measure would provide that the imposition, extension, or increase of a special tax by a local government for the purpose of providing funding for local transportation projects requires the approval of 55% of its voters voting on the proposition, if the proposition proposing the tax includes certain requirements. This measure would prohibit a local government from expending any revenues derived from a special transportation tax approved by 55% of the voters at any time prior to the completion of a statutorily identified capital project funded by revenues derived from another special tax of the same local government that was approved by a 2/3 vote. The measure would also make conforming and technical, nonsubstantive changes. Last Amended on 5/21/2013</p>	<p>Support 2/13/13</p>
<p>SCA 6 DeSaulnier D</p> <p>Initiative measures: funding source.</p>	<p>SENATE THIRD READING 5/24/2013 - Read second time. Ordered to third reading.</p>	<p>The California Constitution provides that the electors may propose statutes or amendments to the state Constitution through the initiative process by presenting to the Secretary of State a petition that sets forth the text of the proposed statute or amendment to the Constitution and is certified to have been signed by a certain number of electors. This measure would prohibit an initiative measure that would result in a net increase in state or local government costs, other than costs attributable to the issuance, sale, or repayment of bonds, from being submitted to the electors or having any effect unless and until the Legislative Analyst and the Director of Finance jointly determine that the initiative measure provides for additional revenues in an amount that meets or exceeds the net increase in costs.</p>	

Bill ID/Topic	Location	Summary	Position
SCA 8 Corbett D Transportation projects: special taxes: voter approval.	SENATE T. & H. 6/25/2013 - Set for hearing July 9.	The California Constitution conditions the imposition of a special tax by a city, county, or special district upon the approval of 2/3 of the voters of the city, county, or special district voting on that tax, except that certain school entities may levy an ad valorem property tax for specified purposes with the approval of 55% of the voters within the jurisdiction of these entities. This measure would provide that the imposition, extension, or increase of a special tax by a local government for the purpose of providing funding for transportation projects requires the approval of 55% of its voters voting on the proposition, if the proposition proposing the tax includes certain requirements. The measure would also make conforming and technical, nonsubstantive changes. Last Amended on 5/21/2013	Support 2/3/13
SCA 9 Corbett D Local government: economic development: special taxes: voter approval.	SENATE RLS. 6/19/2013 - From committee: Be adopted and re-refer to Com. on RLS. (Ayes 3. Noes 0. Page 1421.) (June 18). Re-referred to Com. on RLS.	The California Constitution conditions the imposition of a special tax by a city, county, or special district upon the approval of 2/3 of the voters of the city, county, or special district voting on that tax, except that certain school entities may levy an ad valorem property tax for specified purposes with the approval of 55% of the voters within the jurisdiction of these entities. This measure would provide that the imposition, extension, or increase of a special tax by a local government for the purpose of providing funding for community and economic development projects, as specified, requires the approval of 55% of its voters voting on the proposition, if the proposition proposing the tax contains specified requirements. The measure would also make conforming and technical, nonsubstantive changes. Last Amended on 5/21/2013	
SCA 11 Hancock D Local government: special taxes: voter approval.	SENATE RLS. 6/19/2013 - From committee: Be adopted and re-refer to Com. on RLS. (Ayes 3. Noes 0. Page 1422.) (June 18). Re-referred to Com. on RLS.	The California Constitution conditions the imposition of a special tax by a local government upon the approval of 2/3 of the voters of the local government voting on that tax, and prohibits a local government from imposing an ad valorem tax on real property or a transactions tax or sales tax on the sale of real property. This measure would instead condition the imposition, extension, or increase of a special tax by a local government upon the approval of 55% of the voters voting on the proposition, if the proposition proposing the tax contains specified requirements. The measure would also make conforming and technical, nonsubstantive changes. Last Amended on 5/21/2013	