AMENDED

(Note: The amendments made are in the last two pages of this staff report shown in ***bold italics***.)

DATE: July 11, 2012
TO: STA Board
FROM: Robert Macaulay, Director of Planning
RE: OneBayArea Grant (OBAG) Project Selection

Background:

The Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) is the long-range transportation plan for the 9-county Bay Area. It is prepared every 4 years by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC). The RTP sets out a 25-year vision for the region's transportation system, establishes goals and milestones for achieving that vision, and lists projects that are designed to help meet those goals.

Senate Bill (SB) 375 was legislation enacted with the intent to help implement the state's goals for reduction of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions from cars and light trucks, and coordinate regional land use and transportation planning. SB 375 requires the development of Sustainable Community Strategies (SCS) that act as the land use element of the RTP. The SCS and RTP must result in projected reductions of GHG emissions to levels set by the state, and accommodate all of the projected growth in housing for the time period of the RTP/SCS. The Bay Area SCS is being developed by the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) and MTC, with input from other regional agencies.

In late December 2011, MTC released a preview of updated guidelines for the OneBayArea Grant (OBAG) program. OBAG is a new program developed by MTC and ABAG for the allocation of the region's federal Surface Transportation Program (STP) and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds. Historically, these have been titled federal cycle funds. The OBAG proposal will combine funds for local streets and roads maintenance, Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC), regional bicycle network and Congestion Management Agency (CMA) Planning activities. The draft OBAG program proposed to direct $16 million to Solano County for the three year federal Cycle 2 funding. Safe Routes to Schools (SR2S) is eligible for OBAG funding, but will also be receiving funds that are specifically allocated to SR2S.

On February 8, 2012, the STA held a workshop with the STA's Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to discuss the OBAG process, and to prepare local jurisdictions to identify top funding priorities. On February 29, 2012, TAC members had an opportunity to present preliminary project proposals for further OBAG funding consideration. On March 28, 2012, the STA discussed the process for agencies to formally submit OBAG priorities. On April 9, STA staff sent out a memo to all TAC and Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium members detailing how project submittals should be made.

On April 4th, MTC staff released additional proposed amendments to the OBAG guidelines. One of the most significant changes is the proposal to add a fourth year to the OBAG cycle, and to add one additional year of funding for the CMAs. For STA, the funding would increase from $16 million over 3 years to $19 million over 4 years.

At its meeting of April 11, 2012, the STA Board approved an initial allocation plan for anticipated OBAG funds. That allocation plan assumed a 3-year funding cycle, and allocated $5.2 million to the Dixon West B Street Undercrossing and to funding STA Planning and SNCI staff. With the addition of a 4th year to the OBAG funding cycle and using the same formula, the existing commitments total $6.2 million.

Discussion:

On May 17, the MTC and ABAG governing bodies met to consider the OBAG Guidelines and other RTP/SCS issues. The two Boards approved the SCS Land Use Scenario and the RTP Transportation Investment Scenario, but made three amendments in recognition of some of the concerns raised by the Bay Area CMAs: shifting $70 million from the Smart Driving regional program to Project Development Area (PDA) Implementation, with administrative details to be worked out later; and, designating $660 million in transit reserve funds for potential North Bay and East Bay New Starts transit programs, provided San Francisco, Peninsula and Santa Clara transit projects are fully funded first. MTC also adopted the OBAG Guidelines, but modified the land use and housing requirements to provide the CMAs additional time to develop workable PDA Investment Strategies in consultation with MTC/ABAG. The MTC Resolution 4035, including the OBAG guidelines, is included as Attachment A.

Appendix A-5 of Resolution 4035 includes MTC's guidance on the CMAs for issuing an OBAG Call for Projects. MTC is requiring a "Unified Call for Projects", and extensive public involvement and outreach in order to demonstrate compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. The outreach requirements are found in MTC's Public Participation Plan (Attachment D to Resolution 4035), dated December 3, 2010, and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Additional Nondiscrimination Guidelines published on the FHWA website. According to the FHWA website,

> In addition to the Title VI requirements, there are two Executive Orders that provide guidance on public outreach. These are Executive Order #12898 ("*Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations*") and Executive Order #13166 ("*Improving Access To Services For Persons With Limited English Proficiency*"). Executive Order #12898 (Environmental Justice) directs federal agencies to develop strategies to address disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of their programs on minority and low-income populations. Executive Order # 13166 (Limited-English-Proficiency) directs federal agencies to evaluate services provided and implement a system that ensures that Limited English Proficiency persons are able to meaningfully access the services provided consistent with and without unduly burdening the fundamental mission of each federal agency. Additionally, each federal agency shall ensure that recipients of federal financial assistance provide meaningful access to their Limited-English-Proficiency applicants and beneficiaries. Because OBAG uses federal funds, MTC is required to prove compliance with the Executive Orders as well, and has requested the CMAs establish a public process compliant with their requirements when programming funds.

In order to show compliance with these requirements and to allow programming of the Existing Commitments approved by the STA Board in April, STA is recommending a two-step approach: certification of the adequacy of the eligibility of the Existing Commitments at the July Board meeting, and simultaneously issuing a Unified Call for Projects in July.

Certification of Existing Commitments. Attachment B is the draft STA Resolution certifying that the existing commitments (Dixon West B Street Undercrossing, Planning fund and SNCI funds) meet the OBAG eligibility requirements. The Resolution contains its own exhibits that provide the supporting documentation for the findings made in the Resolution. By adopting the Resolution at this time, STA and the City of Dixon can ensure that funds for the Dixon West B Street Undercrossing are programmed in the current Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP), and that construction can proceed in a timely manner (FY 2012-13).

> *Programming $2.5M of Eastern Solano CMAQ and Transportation Enhancements (TE) funds for Dixon West B St. Undercrossing Project.* On April 20, 2011, the STA Board approved a fund plan committing $4.949 M in current and future discretionary funding for the City of Dixon's West B Undercrossing Project as outlined in Attachment C. The STA Board has subsequently approved the programming of funds as described in the fund strategy, including $925,000 in Transportation Development Act (TDA) article 4/8 funds, $125,000 of TDA Article 3 funds, of $668,000 in federal earmark funds, $1.321M in State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) TE funds, accepted $1.151M in local City of Dixon funds as part of a STA project delivery agreement, and applied for $450,000 of State Safe Routes to School Grant funds. The last remaining STA Board funding programming action required to completely fund this project is $2.5M in Cycle 2 discretionary funds.
>
> Should the STA be unsuccessful in obtaining the $450,000 of State SR2S grant funds for this project, STA staff recommends programming $1.141 million of OBAG TE funding and $1.394 million of OBAG CMAQ funding for a total of $2.535 million in OBAG funding. Should the STA be successful in obtaining the State SR2S grant, STA staff recommends programming less OBAG CMAQ funding at $944,000 for a total of $2.085M OBAG funds. Unprogrammed OBAG CMAQ funding saved by the State SR2S grant would be programmed as part of other OBAG projects at a later date.
>
> As part of this programming action, since the STA is the project sponsor and implementing agency for the City of Dixon's West B Street Undercrossing project, the STA Board must adopt a resolution of local support for federal funds (Attachment E, to be provided under separate cover).

Unified Call for Project. STA's Unified Call for Projects will meet the requirements established by MTC in the OBAG Guidelines. The Call for Projects will be issued on July 13, and project submittals from member agencies will be due on August 22. All of the STA advisory committees will also be asked to identify their funding priorities in response to the Call for Projects. The Call for Projects cover letter and supporting attachments are included as Attachment F.

Included in the Call for Projects is a public outreach plan that includes all of the STA committee meetings discussed above, as well as direct consultation with the representatives of a local Native American tribe. The meeting of the Lifeline Committee will be specifically designed to reach out to community-based organizations that deal with transit-dependent and low income communities. In addition, STA will post information about the Call for Projects on the STA website and Facebook page, and will provide the information in Spanish and Pilipino (the two most-spoken non-English languages in Solano County).

As requested by the STA Board, a workshop on funding allocation will be held for the STA Board. The tentative time for this workshop is early to mid September. The input received from the public and STA advisory committees will be provided to the STA Board at this workshop to help guide the Board in identifying funding policies.

At its meeting of June 27, 2012, the TAC recommended that the STA Board certify that the Existing Commitments meet the requirements of the MTC OBAG guidelines, and that the STA Board issue Unified Call for Projects using the documents found in Attachments D and F. The TAC members discussed and supported early delivery and programming of LS&R funds. However, because some jurisdictions do not meet the OBAG Complete Streets and/or Housing Element requirements, STA is not able to certify OBAG compliance for LS&R projects at this time. These projects will be subject to a LS&R Call for Projects, with submittals due by August 10, 2012. LS&R submittals will be reviewed by the STA TAC at its meeting of August 29, 2012, and the STA Board at its meeting of September 12, 2012.

As a part of the RTP process, MTC is required to prepare an Environmental Impact Report (EIR). Prior to preparation of the Draft EIR (DEIR), MTC is required to issue a Notice of Preparation (NOP). An NOP is a notice to interested parties that a DEIR will be prepared, and a request that those interested parties comment on what the scope and content of the DEIR should be.

MTC issued the RTP NOP in June, and has requested comments back by July 11, 2012. Several of the Bay Area Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) have prepared letters in response to the RTP NOP.

Attachment H contains the draft comments prepared by STA staff. This letter focuses on key areas of interest to STA and its member agencies. Staff is recommending Board authorization for the STA Chair to forward the RTP NOP comment letter to MTC.

Recommendation:

Approve the following:

1. Adopt Resolution No. 2012-12, provided as Attachment B, certifying that the Solano Existing OBAG Projects meet the requirements of the MTC OBAG Guidelines;
2. Issuance of a Unified Call for Projects for Solano OBAG projects as provided in Attachment F;
3. The programming of Cycle 2 OneBayArea Grant (OBAG) funds for the Dixon West B Street Undercrossing project as follows: $1.141 M of Transportation Enhancements (TE) funds; and, $1.394 M of Congestion Management and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds for a total of $2.535 M;
4. Adopt Resolution No. 2012-13 of Local Support for Federal Funding provided as Attachment E, authorizing the filing of an application for federal funding and

committing the necessary non-federal match and stating the assurance to complete the project; and

5. Approve issuance of a Local Streets and Roads Call for Projects for Solano OBAG funds as provided in Attachment G.
6. ***Approve transmittal of the comments found in Attachment H to MTC in response to the RTP Notice of Preparation.***

Attachments:

A. MTC Resolution 4035
B. Draft Resolution 2012-12 certifying compliance with the Metropolitan Transportation Commission OneBayArea Grant Guidelines for the Solano Transportation Authority Existing Commitments
C. Dixon West B Street Fund Strategy, approved April 20, 2011
D. STA Board Commitments for OBAG funding, approved April 11, 2012
E. Resolution 2012-13, Resolution of Local Support for Federal Funds (To be provided under separate cover)
F. STA OBAG Unified Call for Projects
G. Local Streets and Roads Call for Projects for Solano OBAG funds
H. ***STA Comments to MTC in Response to the Plan Bay Area NOP***

This page intentionally left blank.

ATTACHMENT A

Date: May 17, 2012
W.I.: 1512
Referred by: Planning

<u>ABSTRACT</u>

Resolution No. 4035

This resolution adopts the Project Selection Policies and Programming for federal Surface Transportation Authorization Act following the Safe, Accountable, Flexible and Efficient Transportation Equity Act (SAFETEA), and any extensions of SAFETEA in the interim. The Project Selection Policies contain the project categories that are to be funded with various fund sources including federal surface transportation act funding available to MTC for its programming discretion to be included in the federal Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

The resolution includes the following attachments:

Attachment A – Project Selection Policies
Attachment B-1 – Regional Program Project List
Attachment B-2 – OneBayArea Grant (OBAG) Project List

Further discussion of the Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policies is contained in the memorandum to the Joint Planning Committee dated May 11, 2012.

Date: May 17, 2012
W.I.: 1512
Referred By: Planning

RE: Federal Cycle 2 Program covering FY 2012-13, FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16: Project Selection Policies and Programming

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
RESOLUTION NO. 4035

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for the San Francisco Bay Area pursuant to Government Code Section 66500 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, MTC is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area region and is required to prepare and endorse a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) which includes federal funds; and

WHEREAS, MTC is the designated recipient for federal funding administered by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) assigned to the MPO/RTPA of the San Francisco Bay Area for the programming of projects (regional federal funds); and

WHEREAS, the federal funds assigned to the MPOs/RTPAs for their discretion are subject to availability and must be used within prescribed funding deadlines regardless of project readiness; and

WHEREAS, MTC, in cooperation with the Association of Bay Area Governments, (ABAG), the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), the Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC), California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs), transit operators, counties, cities, and interested stakeholders, has developed criteria, policies and procedures to be used in the selection of projects to be funded with various funding including regional federal funds as set forth in Attachments A, B-1 and B-2 of this Resolution, incorporated herein as though set forth at length; and

WHEREAS, using the policies set forth in Attachment A of this Resolution, MTC, in cooperation with the Bay Area Partnership and interested stakeholders, has or will develop a program of projects to be funded with these funds for inclusion in the federal Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), as set forth in Attachments B-1 and B-2 of this Resolution, incorporated herein as though set forth at length; and

WHEREAS the federal TIP and subsequent TIP amendments and updates are subject to public review and comment; now therefore be it

RESOLVED that MTC approves the "Project Selection Policies and Programming" for projects to be funded with Cycle 2 Program funds as set forth in Attachments A, B-1 and B-2 of this Resolution; and be it further

RESOLVED that the federal funding shall be pooled and redistributed on a regional basis for implementation of Project Selection Criteria, Policies, Procedures and Programming, consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP); and be it further

RESOLVED that the projects will be included in the federal TIP subject to final federal approval; and be it further

RESOLVED that the Executive Director or his designee can make technical adjustments and other non-substantial revisions, including updates to fund distributions to reflect final 2014-2022 FHWA figures; and be it further

RESOLVED that the Executive Director or designee is authorized to revise Attachments B-1 and B-2 as necessary to reflect the programming of projects as the projects are selected and included in the federal TIP; and be it further

RESOLVED that the Executive Director shall make available a copy of this resolution, and such other information as may be required, to the Governor, Caltrans, and to other such agencies as may be appropriate.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

_____

Adrienne J. Tissier, Chair

The above resolution was entered into
by the Metropolitan Transportation
Commission at the regular meeting
of the Commission held in Oakland,
California, on May 17, 2012

Date: May 17, 2012
W.I.: 1512
Referred by: Planning

Attachment A
Resolution No. 4035

Cycle 2 Program
Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy

For
FY 2012-13, FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16

Cycle 2 Program
Policy and Programming

Table of Contents

BACKGROUND....1
CYCLE 2 REVENUE ESTIMATES AND FEDERAL PROGRAM ARCHITECTURE....1
NEW FUNDING APPROACH FOR CYCLE 2—THE ONE BAY AREA GRANT....2
CYCLE 2 GENERAL PROGRAMMING POLICIES....3
REGIONAL PROGRAMS....8
ONEBAYAREA GRANT PROGRAMMING POLICIES....11
CYCLE 2 COUNTY ONE BAY AREA GRANT PROJECT GUIDANCE....14
PROGRAM SCHEDULE....18

Appendices

Appendix A-1 Cycle 2 Regional and County Programs
Appendix A-2 Cycle 2 Planning Activities
Appendix A-3 Safe Routes to School County Fund Distribution
Appendix A-4 OBAG County Fund Distribution
Appendix A-5 OBAG Call for Projects Guidance
Appendix A-6 PDA Investment and Growth Strategy

BACKGROUND

Anticipating the end of the federal Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA) on September 30, 2009, MTC approved Cycle 1 commitments (Resolution 3925) along with an overall framework to guide upcoming programming decisions for Cycle 2 to address the new six-year surface transportation authorization act funding. However, the successor to SAFETEA has not yet been enacted, and SAFETEA has been extended through continuing resolutions. Without the new federal surface transportation act, MTC may program funds forward based on reasonable estimates of revenues. It is estimated that roughly $795 million is available for programming over the upcoming four-year Cycle 2 period.

Cycle 2 covers the four years from FY 2012-13 to FY 2015-2016 pending the enactment of the new authorization and/or continuation of SAFETEA.

This attachment outlines how the region will use Cycle 2 funds for transportation needs in the MTC region. Funding decisions continue to implement the strategies and objectives of the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Transportation 2035, which is the Bay Area's comprehensive roadmap to guide transportation investments in surface transportation including mass transit, highway, local road, bicycle and pedestrian projects over the long term. The program investments recommended for funding in Cycle 2 are an outgrowth of the transportation needs identified by the RTP and also take into consideration the preferred transportation investment strategy of the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS).

Appendix A-1 provides an overview of the Cycle 2 Program commitments which contain a regional program component managed by MTC and a county program component to be managed by the counties.

CYCLE 2 REVENUE ESTIMATES AND FEDERAL PROGRAM ARCHITECTURE

MTC receives federal funding for local programming from the State for local programming in the MTC region. Among the various transportation programs established by SAFETEA, this includes regional Surface Transportation Program (STP) Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program and to a lesser extent, Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) and Transportation Enhancement (TE) funds. The STP/CMAQ/RTIP/TE programming capacity in Cycle 2 amounts to $795 million. The Commission programs the STP/CMAQ funds while the California Transportation Commission programs the RTIP and TE Funds. Furthermore, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) is contributing Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) funding to Cycle 2. Below are issues to be addressed as the region implements Cycle 2 programming, particularly in light that approval of Cycle 2 will precede approval of the new federal transportation act.

Revenues: A revenue growth rate of 3% over prior federal apportionments is assumed for the first year – FY 2012-13. Due to continued uncertainties with federal funding, the estimated revenues for the later years of the program, FY 2013-14 through FY 2015-16, have not been escalated, but held steady at the estimated FY 2012-13 apportionment amount. If there are significant reductions in federal apportionments over the Cycle 2 time period, as in the past, MTC will reconcile the revenue levels following enactment of the New Act by making adjustments later if needed, by postponement of projects or adjustments to subsequent programming cycles.

Fund Sources: Development of the new federal surface transportation authorization will need to be closely monitored. New federal programs, their eligibility rules, and how funding is distributed to the states and regions could potentially impact the implementation of the Cycle 2 Regional and One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Programs. It is anticipated that any changes to the federal programs would likely overlap to a large extent with projects that are currently eligible for funding under Title 23 of the United States Code, though the actual fund sources will likely no longer be referred as STP/CMAQ/TE in the manner we have grown accustomed. Therefore, reference to specific fund sources in the Cycle 2 programming is a proxy for replacement fund sources for which MTC has programming authority.

NEW FUNDING APPROACH FOR CYCLE 2—THE ONEBAYAREA GRANT

For Cycle 2, the OneBayArea Grant (OBAG) is a new funding approach that better integrates the region's federal transportation program with California's climate law (Senate Bill 375, Steinberg, 2008) and the Sustainable Communities Strategy. Funding distribution to the counties will encourage land-use and housing policies that support the production of housing with supportive transportation investments. This is accomplished through the following policies:

- Using transportation dollars to reward jurisdictions that accept housing allocations through the Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) process and produce housing.
- Supporting the Sustainable Communities Strategy for the Bay Area by promoting transportation investments in Priority Development Areas (PDAs) and by initiating a pilot program in the North Bay counties that will support open space preservation in Priority Conservation Areas (PCA).
- Providing a higher proportion of funding to local agencies and additional investment flexibility by eliminating required program targets. A significant amount of funding that was used for regional programs in Cycle 1 is shifted to local programs (the OneBayArea Grant). The OBAG program allows investments in transportation categories such as Transportation for Livable Communities, bicycle and pedestrian improvements, local streets and roads preservation, and planning and outreach activities, while also providing targeted funding opportunities for Safe Routes to School (SR2S) and Priority Conservation Areas.

Project List

Attachment B of Resolution 4035 contains the list of projects to be programmed under the Cycle 2 Program. Attachments B-1 and B-2 are listings of projects receiving Cycle 2 funding, and reflects the programs and projects included in the regional and OBAG programs respectively. The listing is subject to project selection actions (conducted by MTC for most of the regional programs and by the CMAs for funds distributed to them). MTC staff will update Attachments B-1 and B-2 as projects are selected by the Commission and CMAs and are included in the federal TIP.

OneBayArea Grant Fund Distribution Formula

The formula used to distribute OneBayArea Grant funding to the counties takes into consideration the following factors: population, past housing production, future housing commitments as determined by the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) Regional Housing Needs

Assessment (RHNA) and added weighting to acknowledge very low and low income housing. The formula breakdown is as follows with distributions derived from each jurisdiction's proportionate share of the regional total for each factor:

OBAG Fund Distribution Factors

Factor Weighting	Percentage
Population	50%
RHNA* (total housing units)	12.5%
RHNA (low/very low income housing units)	12.5%
Housing Production** (total housing units)	12.5%
Housing Production (low/very low income housing units)	12.5%

* RHNA 2014-2022
**Housing Production Report 1999-2006

The objective of this formula is to provide housing incentives to complement the region's Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS) which together with a Priority Development Area (PDA) focused investment strategy will lead to transportation investments that support focused development. The proposed One Bay Area Grant formula also uses actual housing production data from 1999-2006, which has been capped such that each jurisdiction receives credit for housing up to its RHNA allocation. Subsequent funding cycles will be based on housing production from ABAG's next housing report to be published in 2013. The formula also recognizes jurisdictions' RHNA and past housing production (uncapped) contributions to very low and low income housing units. The resulting OBAG fund distribution for each county is presented in Appendix A-4. Funding guarantees are also incorporated in the fund distribution to ensure that all counties receive as much funding under the new funding model as compared to what they would have received under the Cycle 1 framework.

The Commission, working with ABAG, will revisit the funding distribution formula for the next cycle (post FY2015-16) to further evaluate how to best incentivize housing production across all income levels and other Plan Bay Area performance objectives.

CYCLE 2 GENERAL PROGRAMMING POLICIES

The following programming policies apply to all projects funded in Cycle 2:

1. **Public Involvement.** MTC is committed to a public involvement process that is proactive and provides comprehensive information, timely public notice, full public access to key decisions, and opportunities for continuing involvement. MTC provides many methods to fulfill this commitment, as outlined in the *MTC Public Participation Plan*, Resolution No. 3821. The Commission's adoption of the Cycle 2 program, including policy and procedures meet the provisions of the MTC *Public Participation Plan*. MTC's advisory committees and the Bay

Area Partnership have been consulted in the development of funding commitments and policies for this program; and opportunities to comment have been provided to other stakeholders and members of the public.

Furthermore, investments made in the Cycle 2 program must be consistent with federal Title VI requirements. Title VI prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, income, and national origin in programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance. Public outreach to and involvement of individuals in low income and minority communities covered under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and the Executive Order pertaining to Environmental Justice is critical to both local and regional decisions. Additionally, when CMAs select projects for funding at the county level, they must consider equitable solicitation and selection of project candidates in accordance with federal Title VI requirements (as set forth in Appendix A-5).

2. **Commission Approval of Programs and Projects and the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).** Projects approved as part of the Cycle 2 Program must be amended into the federal TIP. The federally required TIP is a comprehensive listing of all San Francisco Bay Area surface transportation projects that receive federal funds, and/or are subject to a federally required action, such as federal environmental clearance, and/or are regionally significant for air quality conformity or modeling purposes. It is the project sponsor's responsibility to ensure their project is properly programmed in the TIP in a timely manner. Where CMAs are responsible for project selection the Commission will revise the TIP to include the resulting projects and Attachment B to this Resolution may be amended by MTC staff to reflect these revisions. Where responsibility for project selection in the framework of a Cycle 2 funding program is assigned to MTC, TIP amendments and a revision to Attachment B will be reviewed and approved by the Commission.

3. **Minimum Grant Size.** The objective of a grant minimum requirement is to maximize the efficient use of federal funds and minimize the number of federal-aid projects which place administrative burdens on project sponsors, CMAs, MTC, Caltrans, and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) staff. Funding grants per project must therefore be a minimum of $500,000 for counties with a population over 1 million (Alameda, Contra Costa, and Santa Clara counties) and $250,000 for counties with a population under one million (Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Solano, and Sonoma counties).

   To provide flexibility, alternatively an averaging approach may be used. A CMA may program grant amounts no less than $100,000 for any project, provided that the overall average of all grant amounts within their OBAG program meets the county minimum grant amount threshold.

   Given the typical smaller scale of projects for the Safe Routes to School (SRTS) program, a lower threshold applies to the regional Safe Routes to School Program projects which have a minimum grant size of $100,000.

4. **Air Quality Conformity.** In the Bay Area, it is the responsibility of MTC to make an air quality conformity determination for the TIP in accordance with federal Clean Air Act requirements and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conformity regulations. MTC evaluates the impact of the TIP on regional air quality during the biennial update of the TIP. Since the 2011 air quality conformity finding has been completed for the 2011 TIP, no non-exempt projects that were not incorporated in the finding will be considered for funding in the Cycle 2 Program until

the development of the 2013 TIP during spring 2013. Additionally, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has designated the Bay Area as a non-attainment area for PM 2.5. Therefore, based on consultation with the MTC Air Quality Conformity Task Force, projects deemed "Projects of Air Quality Concern" must complete a hot-spot analysis required by the Transportation Conformity Rule. Generally Projects of Air Quality Concern (POAQC) are those projects that result in significant increases in the number of or emissions from diesel vehicles.

5. **Environmental Clearance.** Project sponsors are responsible for compliance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code Section 2l000 et seq.), the State Environmental Impact Report Guidelines (l4 California Code of Regulations Section l5000 et seq.), and the National Environmental Protection Act (42 USC Section 4-1 et seq.) standards and procedures for all projects with federal funds.

6. **Application, Resolution of Local Support**. Project sponsors must submit a completed project application for each project proposed for funding through MTC's Funding Management System (FMS). The project application consists of two parts: 1) an application submittal and/or TIP revision request to MTC staff, and 2) Resolution of Local Support approved by the project sponsor's governing board or council. A template for the resolution of local support can be downloaded from the MTC website using the following link: http://www.mtc.ca.gov/funding/STPCMAQ/STP_CMAQ_LocalSupportReso.doc

7. **Project Screening and Compliance with Regional and Federal Requirements.** MTC staff will perform a review of projects proposed for the Cycle 2 Program to ensure 1) eligibility; 2) consistency with the RTP; and 3) project readiness. In addition, project sponsors must adhere to directives such as "Complete Streets" (MTC Routine Accommodations for Bicyclists and Pedestrians); and the Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy as outlined below; and provide the required matching funds. Project sponsors should note that fund source programs, eligibility criteria, and regulations may change as a result of the passage of new surface transportation authorization legislation. In this situation, MTC staff will work to realign new fund sources with the funding commitments approved by the Commission.

   - Federal Project Eligibility: STP has a wide range of projects that are eligible for consideration in the TIP. Eligible projects include, federal-aid highway and bridge improvements (construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, and operational), mitigation related to an STP project, public transit capital improvements, pedestrian, and bicycle facilities, and transportation system management, transportation demand management, transportation control measures, surface transportation planning activities, and safety. More detailed eligibility requirements can be found in Section 133 of Title 23 of the United States Code.

     CMAQ funding applies to new or expanded transportation projects, programs, and operations that help reduce emissions. Eligible project categories that meet this basic criteria include: Transportation activities in approved State Implementation Plan (SIP), Transportation Control Measures (TCMs), alternative fuels, traffic flow improvements, transit expansion projects, bicycle and pedestrian facilities and programs, travel demand management, outreach and rideshare activities, telecommuting programs, intermodal freight, planning and project development activities, Inspection and maintenance

programs, magnetic levitation transportation technology deployment program, and experimental pilot projects. For more detailed guidance see the *CMAQ Program Guidance* (FHWA, November 2008).

In the event that the next surface transportation authorization materially alters these programs, MTC staff will work with project sponsors to match projects with appropriate federal fund programs. MTC reserves the right to assign specific fund sources based on availability and eligibility requirements.

- RTP Consistency: Projects included in the Cycle 2 Program must be consistent with the adopted Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), according to federal planning regulations. Each project included in the Cycle 2 Program must identify its relationship with meeting the goals and objectives of the RTP, and where applicable, the RTP ID number or reference.

- Complete Streets (MTC Routine Accommodations of Pedestrians and Bicyclists) Policy): Federal, state and regional policies and directives emphasize the accommodation of bicyclists, pedestrians, and persons with disabilities when designing transportation facilities. MTC's Complete Streets policy (Resolution No. 3765) created a checklist that is intended for use on projects to ensure that the accommodation of non-motorized travelers are considered at the earliest conception or design phase. The county Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) ensure that project sponsors complete the checklist before projects are considered by the county for funds and submitted to MTC. CMAs are required to make completed checklists available to their Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) for review prior to CMAs' project selection actions for Cycle 2.

  Other state policies include, Caltrans Complete Streets Policy Deputy Directive 64 R1 which stipulates: pedestrians, bicyclists and persons with disabilities must be considered in all programming, planning, maintenance, construction, operations, and project development activities and products and SB 1358 California Complete Streets Act, which requires local agency general plan circulation elements to address all travel modes.

- Project Delivery and Monitoring. Cycle 2 funding is available in the following four federal fiscal years: FY 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, and FY 2015-16. Funds may be programmed in any one of these years, conditioned upon the availability of federal apportionment and obligation authority (OA). This will be determined through the development of an annual obligation plan, which is developed in coordination with the Partnership and project sponsors. However, funds MUST be obligated in the fiscal year programmed in the TIP, with all Cycle 2 funds to be obligated no later than March 31, 2016. Specifically, the funds must be obligated by FHWA or transferred to Federal Transit Administration (FTA) within the federal fiscal year that the funds are programmed in the TIP.

  All Cycle 2 funding is subject to the Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy and any subsequent revisions (MTC Resolution No. 3606 at http://www.mtc.ca.gov/funding/delivery/MTC_Res_3606.pdf) . Obligation deadlines, project substitutions and redirection of project savings will continue to be governed by

the MTC Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy. All funds are subject to obligation, award, invoicing, reimbursement and project close out requirements. The failure to meet these deadlines may result in the de-programming and redirection to other projects.

To further facilitate project delivery and ensure all federal funds in the region are meeting federal and state regulations and deadlines, every recipient of Cycle 2 funding will need to identify a staff position that serves as the single point of contact for the implementation of all FHWA-administered funds within that agency. The person in this position must have sufficient knowledge and expertise in the federal-aid delivery process to coordinate issues and questions that may arise from project inception to project close-out. The agency is required to identify the contact information for this position at the time of programming of funds in the federal TIP. This person will be expected to work closely with FHWA, Caltrans, MTC and the respective CMA on all issues related to federal funding for all FHWA-funded projects implemented by the recipient.

Project sponsors that continue to miss delivery milestones and funding deadlines for any federal funds are required to prepare and update a delivery status report on all projects with FHWA-administered funds they manage, and participate if requested in a consultation meeting with the county CMA, MTC and Caltrans prior to MTC approving future Cycle programming or including any funding revisions for the agency in the federal TIP. The purpose of the status report and consultation is to ensure the local public agency has the resources and technical capacity to deliver FHWA federal-aid projects, is fully aware of the required delivery deadlines, and has developed a delivery timeline that takes into consideration the requirements and lead-time of the federal-aid process within available resources.

By applying for and accepting Cycle 2 funding, the project sponsor is acknowledging that it has and will maintain the expertise and staff resources necessary to deliver the federal-aid project within the funding timeframe.

- Local Match. Projects funded with STP or CMAQ funding requires a non-federal local match. Based on California's share of the nation's federal lands, the local match for STP and CMAQ is currently 11.47% of the total project cost. The FHWA will reimburse up to 88.53% of the total project cost. Project sponsors are required to provide the required match, which is subject to change.

- Fixed Program and Specific Project Selection. Projects are chosen for the program based on eligibility, project merit, and deliverability within established deadlines. The Cycle 2 program is project specific and the funds programmed to projects are for those projects alone. The Cycle 2 Program funding is fixed at the programmed amount; therefore, any cost increase may not be covered by additional Cycle 2 funds. Project sponsors are responsible for securing the necessary match, and for cost increases or additional funding needed to complete the project including contingencies.

REGIONAL PROGRAMS

The programs below comprise the Regional Program of Cycle 2, administered by the Commission. Funding amounts for each program are included in Attachment A-1. Individual projects will be added to Attachment B as they are selected and included in the federal TIP.

1. Regional Planning Activities

This program provides funding to the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), the San Francisco Bay Area Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC), and MTC to support regional planning activities. (Note that in the past this funding category included planning funding for the CMAs. Starting with Cycle 2, CMAs will access their OneBayArea Grant to fund their planning activities rather than from this regional program category). Appendix A-2 details the fund distribution.

2. Regional Operations

This program includes projects which are administered at the regional level by MTC, and includes funding to continue regional operations programs for Clipper®, 511 Traveler information (including 511 Rideshare, 511 Bicycle, 511 Traffic, 511 Real-Time Transit and 511 transit), Freeway Service Patrol / SAFE and Incident Management. Information on these programs is available at http://www.mtc.ca.gov/services/.

3. Freeway Performance Initiative

This program builds on the proven success of recent ramp metering projects that have achieved significant delay reduction on Bay Area freeways and arterials at a fraction of the cost of traditional highway widening projects. Several corridors are proposed for metering projects, targeting high congestion corridors. These projects also include Traffic Operations System elements to better manage the system as well as implementing the express lane network. This category also includes funding for performance monitoring activities, regional performance initiatives implementation, Regional Signal Timing Program, Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS), freeway and arterial performance initiative projects and express lanes.

4. Pavement Management Program

This continues the region's Pavement Management Program (PMP) and related activities including the Pavement Technical Assistance Program (PTAP). MTC provides grants to local jurisdictions to perform regular inspections of their local streets and roads networks and to update their pavement management systems which is a requirement to receive certain funding. MTC also assists local jurisdictions in conducting associated data collection and analysis efforts including local roads needs assessments and inventory surveys and asset management analysis that feed into regional planning efforts. MTC provides, training, research and development of pavement and non-pavement preservation management techniques, and participates in the state-wide local streets and roads needs assessment effort.

5. Priority Development Area (PDA) Activities

Funding in this regional program implements the following three regional programs:

Affordable TOD fund: This is a continuation of MTC's successful Transit Oriented Development (TOD) fund into Cycle 2 which successfully has leveraged a significant amount of outside funding. The TOD fund provides financing for the development of affordable housing and other vital

community services near transit lines throughout the Bay Area. Through the Fund, developers can access flexible, affordable capital to purchase or improve available property near transit lines for the development of affordable housing, retail space and other critical services, such as child care centers, fresh food outlets and health clinics.

PDA Planning Grants: MTC and ABAG's PDA Planning Grant Program will place an emphasis on affordable housing production and preservation in funding agreements with grantees. Grants will be made to jurisdictions to provide support in planning for PDAs in areas such as providing housing, jobs, intensified land use, promoting alternative modes of travel to the single occupancy vehicle, and parking management. These studies will place a special focus on selected PDAs with a greater potential for residential displacement and develop and implement community risk reduction plans. Also program funds will establish a new local planning assistance program to provide staff resources directly to jurisdictions to support local land-use planning for PDAs.

MTC will commence work with state and federal government to create private sector economic incentives to increase housing production.

PDA Planning Assistance: Grants will be made to local jurisdictions to provide planning support as needed to meet regional housing goals.

6. Climate Change Initiatives

The proposed funding for the Cycle 2 Climate Initiative Program is to support the implementation of strategies identified in Plan Bay Area to achieve the required CO2 emissions reductions per SB375 and federal criteria pollutant reductions. Staff will work with the Bay Area Air Quality Management District to implement this program.

7. Safe Routes to Schools

Within the Safe Routes to School Program (SR2S program) funding is distributed among the nine Bay Area counties based on K-12 total enrollment for private and public schools as reported by the California Department of Education for FY 2010-11. Appendix A-3 details the county fund distribution. Before programming projects into the TIP the CMAs shall provide the SR2S recommended county program scope, budget, schedule, agency roles, and federal funding recipient. CMAs may choose to augment this program with their own Cycle 2 OBAG funding.

8. Transit Capital Rehabilitation

The program objective is to assist transit operators to fund major fleet replacements, fixed guideway rehabilitation and other high-scoring capital needs, consistent with the FTA Transit Capital Priorities program. This includes a set-aside of $1 million to support the consolidation and transition of Vallejo and Benicia bus services to Soltrans

9. Transit Performance Initiative: This new pilot program implements transit supportive investments in major transit corridors that can be carried out within two years. The focus is on making cost-effective operational improvements on significant trunk lines which carry the largest number of passengers in the Bay Area including transit signal prioritization, passenger circulation improvements at major hubs, and boarding/stop improvements. Specific projects are included in Attachment B.

10. Priority Conservation Area*:* This $10 million program is regionally competitive. The first $5 million would be dedicated to the North Bay counties of Marin, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma.

Eligible projects would include planning, land/easement acquisition, open space access projects, and farm-to-market capital projects. Priority would be given to projects that can partner with state agencies, regional districts and private foundations to leverage outside funds, particularly for land acquisition and open space access. An additional $5 million will be available outside of the North Bay counties for sponsors that can provide a 3:1 match. Program guidelines will be developed over the next several months. Prior to the call for projects, a meeting will be held with stakeholders to discuss the program framework and project eligibility. The program guidelines will be approved by the Commission following those discussions. Note that tribal consultation for Plan Bay Area highlighted the need for CMAs in Sonoma and Contra Costa counties to involve tribes in PCA planning and project delivery.

ONEBAYAREA GRANT PROGRAMMING POLICIES

The policies below apply to the OneBayArea Grant Program, administered by the county Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) or substitute agency:

- Program Eligibility: The congestion management agency may program funds from its One Bay Area Grant fund distribution to projects that meet the eligibility requirements for any of the following transportation improvement types:
  - Local Streets and Roads Preservation
  - Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements
  - Transportation for Livable Communities
  - Safe Routes To School/Transit
  - Priority Conservation Area
  - Planning and Outreach Activities

- Fund Source Distribution: OBAG is funded primarily from three federal fund sources: STP, CMAQ and TE. Although the new federal surface transportation authorization act now under consideration may alter the actual fund sources available for MTC's programming discretion it is anticipated that any new federal programs would overlap to a large extent with existing programs. The CMAs will be provided a breakdown of specific OBAG fund sources, with the understanding that actual fund sources may change as a result of the new federal surface transportation act. In this situation, MTC staff will work with the CMAs to realign new fund sources with the funding commitments approved by the Commission. Furthermore, due to strict funding availability and eligibility requirements, the CMAs must adhere to the fund source limitations provided. Exceptions may be granted by MTC staff based on actual fund sources available and final apportionment levels.

  In determining the fund source distribution to the counties, each county was first guaranteed at least what they would otherwise received in Cycle 2 under the original Cycles 1 & 2 framework as compared to the original July 8, 2011 OBAG proposal. This resulted in the county of Marin receiving an additional $1.1 million, county of Napa receiving $1.3 million each, and the county of Solano receiving $1.4 million, for a total of $3.8 million (in CMAQ funds) off the top to hold these counties harmless. The Transportation Enhancement (TE) funds were then distributed based on the county TE shares available for OBAG as approved in the 2012 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP). STP funds were then assigned to the CMA planning and outreach activities. The remaining STP funds assigned to OBAG were then distributed to each county based on the OBAG distribution formula. The remaining funds were distributed as CMAQ per the OBAG distribution formula. The hold harmless clause resulted in a slight deviation in the OBAG formula distribution for the overall funding amounts for each county.

- Priority Development Area (PDA) Policies
  - PDA minimum: CMAs in larger counties (Alameda, Contra Costa, San Mateo, San Francisco, and Santa Clara) shall direct at least 70% of their OBAG

investments to the PDAs. For North Bay counties (Marin, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma) this minimum target is 50% to reflect the more rural nature of these counties. A project lying outside the limits of a PDA may count towards the minimum provided that it directly connects to or provides proximate access to a PDA. Depending on the county, CMA planning costs would partially count towards PDA targets (70% or 50%) in line with its PDA funding target. At MTC staff discretion, consideration may be given to counties that provided higher investments in PDAs in Cycle 1 as part of an overall Cycle 1 and 2 investment package. Priority Conservation Area (PCA) investments do not count towards PDA targets and must use "anywhere" funds. The PDA/'anywhere' funding split is shown in Appendix A-4.

- PDA Boundary Delineation: Refer to http://geocommons.com/maps/141979 which provides a GIS overlay of the PDAs in the Bay Area to exact map boundaries including transportation facilities. As ABAG considers and approves new PDA designations this map will be updated.
- Defining "proximate access to PDAs": The CMAs make the determination for projects to count toward the PDA minimum that are not otherwise geographically located within a PDA. For projects not geographically within a PDA, CMAs are required to map projects and designate which projects are considered to support a PDA along with policy justifications. This analysis would be subject to public review when the CMA board acts on OBAG programming decisions. This should allow decision makers, stakeholders, and the public to understand how an investment outside of a PDA is to be considered to support a PDA and to be credited towards the PDA investment minimum target. MTC staff will evaluate and report to the Commission on how well this approach achieves the OBAG objectives prior to the next programming cycle.
- PDA Investment & Growth Strategy: By May 1, 2013, CMAs shall prepare and adopt a PDA Investment & Growth Strategy to guide transportation investments that are supportive of PDAs. An existing Investment and Growth Strategy adopted by the County will be considered as meeting this requirement if it satisfies the general terms in Appendix A-6. See Appendix A-6 for details.

▶ Performance and Accountability Policies: Jurisdictions need to comply with the following policies in order to be eligible recipients of OBAG funds.

- To be eligible for OBAG funds, a jurisdiction will need to address complete streets policies at the local level through the adoption of a complete streets policy resolution no later than January 31, 2013. A jurisdiction can also meet this requirement through a general plan that complies with the Complete Streets Act of 2008. Staff will provide minimum requirements based on best practices for the resolution. As discussed below, jurisdictions will be expected to have a general plan that complies within the Complete Streets Act of 2008 to be eligible for the next round of funding.

- A jurisdiction is required to have its general plan housing element adopted and certified by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) for 2007-14 RHNA prior to January 31, 2013. If a jurisdiction submits its housing element to the state on a timely basis for review, but the State's comment letter identifies deficiencies that the local jurisdictions must address in order to receive HCD certification, then the local jurisdiction may submit a request to the Joint MTC Planning / ABAG Administrative Committee for a time extension to address the deficiencies and resubmit its revised draft housing element to HCD for re-consideration and certification.
- For the OBAG cycle subsequent to FY 2015-16, jurisdictions must adopt housing elements by October 31, 2014 (based on an April 2013 SCS adoption date); therefore, jurisdictions will be required to have General Plans with approved housing elements and that comply with the Complete Streets Act of 2008 by that time to be eligible for funding. This schedule allows jurisdictions to meet the housing and complete streets policies through one general plan amendment.
- OBAG funds may not be programmed to any jurisdiction out of compliance with OBAG policies and other requirements specified in this attachment. The CMA will be responsible for tracking progress towards these requirements and affirming to MTC that a jurisdiction is in compliance prior to MTC programming OBAG funds to its projects in the TIP.
- For a transit agency project sponsor under a JPA or district (not under the governance of a local jurisdiction), the jurisdiction where the project (such as station/stop improvements) is located will need to comply with these policies before funds may be programmed to the transit agency project sponsor. However, this is not required if the project is transit/rail agency property such as, track, rolling stock or transit maintenance facility.
- CMAs will provide documentation for the following prior to programming projects in the TIP:
    - The approach used to select OBAG projects including outreach and a board adopted list of projects
    - Compliance with MTC's complete streets policy
    - A map delineating projects selected outside of PDAs indicating those that are considered to provide proximate access to a PDA including their justifications as outlined on the previous page. CMA staff is expected to use this exhibit when it presents its program of projects to explain the how "proximate access" is defined to their board and the public.
- MTC staff will report on the outcome of the CMA project selection process in late 2013. This information will include, but not be limited to, the following:
    - Mix of project types selected;
    - Projects funded within PDAs and outside of PDAs and how proximity and direct connections were used and justified through the county process;
    - Complete streets elements that were funded;
    - Adherence to the performance and accountability requirements;

- Amount of funding to various jurisdictions and how this related to the distribution formula that includes population, RHNA housing allocations and housing production, as well as low-income housing factors.
- Public participation process.

- The CMAs will also be required to present their PDA Growth Strategy to the Joint MTC Planning / ABAG Administrative Committee.

▶ Project Selection: County congestion management agencies or substitute agencies are given the responsibility to develop a project selection process along with evaluation criteria, issue a call for projects, conduct outreach, and select projects

- Public Involvement: The decision making authority to select projects for federal funding accompanies responsibilities to ensure that the process complies with federal statutes and regulations. In order to ensure that the CMA process for administering OBAG is in compliance, CMAs are required to lead a public outreach process as directed by Appendix A-5.
- Unified Call for Projects: CMAs are requested to issue one unified call for projects for their One Bay Area grant, with a final project list due to MTC by June 30, 2013. CMA staff need to ensure that all projects are submitted using the Fund Management System (FMS) no later than July 30, 2013. The goal of this process is to reduce staff time, coordinate all programs to respond to larger multi-modal projects, and provide project sponsors the maximum time to deliver projects.
- Project Programming Targets and Delivery Deadlines: CMAs must program their block grant funds over the four-year period of Cycle 2 (FY 2012-13 through FY 2015-16). The expectation is that the CMA planning activities \ project would use capacity of the first year to provide more time for delivery as contrasted to other programs which tend to have more complex environmental and design challenges, but this is not a requirement. The funding is subject to the provisions of the Regional Project Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution 3606 or its successor) including the Request for Authorization (RFA) submittal deadline and federal authorization/obligation deadline. Furthermore the following funding deadlines apply for each county, with earlier delivery strongly encouraged:
    - Half of the OBAG funds, including all funds programmed for the PE phase, must be obligated (federal authorization/E-76) by March 31, 2015.
    - All remaining OBAG funds must be obligated by March 31, 2016.

CYCLE 2 COUNTY ONE BAY AREA GRANT PROJECT GUIDANCE

The categories below comprise the Cycle 2 County One Bay Area Grant Program, administered by the county congestion management agencies. Project selection should ensure that all of the eligibility requirements below are met. MTC staff will work with CMAs and project sponsors to resolve any eligibility issues which may arise, including air quality conformity exceptions and requirements.

1. CMA Planning and Outreach

This category provides funding to the nine county Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) to support regional planning, programming and outreach activities. Such efforts include: county-based planning efforts for development of the RTP/SCS; development of PDA growth strategies; development and implementation of a complete streets compliance protocol; establishing land use and travel forecasting process and procedures consistent with ABAG/MTC; ensuring the efficient and effective delivery of federal-aid local projects; and undertaking the programming of assigned funding and solicitation of projects. The base funding level reflects continuing the Transportation 2035 commitment level by escalating at 3% per year from the base amount in FY 2011-12. In addition, the CMAs may request additional funding from their share of OBAG to enhance or augment additional activities at their discretion. All funding and activities will be administered through an interagency agreement between MTC and the respective CMA. Actual amounts for each CMA as augmented, are shown in Appendix A-2

2. Local Streets and Roads Preservation

This category is for the preservation of local streets and roads on the federally-eligible system. To be eligible for funding of any Local Streets and Roads (LSR) preservation project, the jurisdiction must have a certified Pavement Management Program (StreetSaver® or equivalent). The needs analysis ensures that streets recommended for treatment are cost effective. Pavement projects should be based on the needs analysis resulting from the established Pavement Management Program (PMP) for the jurisdiction. MTC is responsible for verifying the certification status. The certification status can be found at www.mtcpms.org/ptap/cert.html. Specific eligibility requirements are included below:

Pavement Rehabilitation:
Pavement rehabilitation projects including pavement segments with a PCI below 70 should be consistent with segments recommended for treatment within the programming cycle by the jurisdiction's PMP.

Preventive Maintenance: Only projects where pavement segments have a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) of 70 or above are eligible for preventive maintenance. Furthermore, the local agency's Pavement Management Program (PMP) must demonstrate that the preventive maintenance strategy is a cost effective method of extending the service life of the pavement.

Non-Pavement:
Eligible non-pavement activities and projects include rehabilitation or replacement of existing features on the roadway facility, such as storm drains, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), curbs, gutters, culverts, medians, guardrails, safety features, signals, signage, sidewalks, ramps and features that bring the facility to current standards. The jurisdiction must still have a certified PMP to be eligible for improvements to non-pavement features.

Activities that are not eligible for funding include: Air quality non-exempt projects (unless granted an exception by MTC staff), capacity expansion, new roadways, roadway extensions, right of way acquisition (for future expansion), operations, routine maintenance, spot application, enhancements that are above and beyond repair or replacement of existing assets (other than bringing roadway to

current standards), and any pavement application not recommended by the Pavement Management Program unless otherwise allowed above.

Federal-Aid Eligible Facilities: Federal-aid highways as defined in 23 U.S.C. 101(a)(5) are eligible for local streets and roads preservation funding. A federal-aid highway is a public road that is not classified as a rural minor collector or local road or lower. Project sponsors must confirm the eligibility of their roadway through the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) prior to the application for funding.

Federal Aid Secondary (FAS) Program Set-Aside: While passage of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) of 1991 dissolved the Federal Aid Secondary (FAS) program, California statutes provide the continuation of minimum funding to counties, guaranteeing their prior FAS shares. The first three years of Cycle 2 were covered up-front under the Cycle 1 FAS program (covering a total 6-year period). The fourth year of Cycle 2 will be covered under the OBAG. Funding provided to the counties by the CMAs under OBAG will count toward the continuation of the FAS program requirement.

3. Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements

The Bicycle and Pedestrian program may fund a wide range of bicycle and pedestrian improvements including Class I, II and III bicycle facilities, bicycle education, outreach, sharing and parking, sidewalks, ramps, pathways and pedestrian bridges, user safety and supporting facilities, and traffic signal actuation.

According to CMAQ eligibility requirements, bicycle and pedestrian facilities must not be exclusively recreational and reduce vehicle trips resulting in air pollution reductions. Also to meet the needs of users, hours of operation need to be reasonable and support bicycle / pedestrian needs particularly during commute periods. For example the policy that a trail be closed to users before sunrise or after sunset limits users from using the facility during the peak commute hours, particularly during times of the year with shorter days. These user restrictions indicate that the facility is recreational rather than commute oriented. Also, as contrasted with roadway projects, bicycle and pedestrian projects may be located on or off the federal-aid highway system.

4. Transportation for Livable Communities

The purpose of Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) projects is to support community-based transportation projects that bring new vibrancy to downtown areas, commercial cores, high-density neighborhoods, and transit corridors, enhancing their amenities and ambiance and making them places where people want to live, work and visit. The TLC program supports the RTP/SCS by investing in improvements and facilities that promote alternative transportation modes rather than the single-occupant automobile.

General project categories include the following:

- Station Improvements such as plazas, station access pocket parks, bicycle parking
- Complete streets improvements that encourage bicycle and pedestrian access
- Transportation Demand Management projects including carsharing, vanpooling traveler coordination and information or Clipper®-related projects

- Connectivity projects connecting high density housing/jobs/mixed use to transit, such as bicycle/pedestrian paths and bridges and safe routes to transit.
- Density Incentives projects and non-transportation infrastructure improvements that include density bonuses, sewer upgrade, land banking or site assembly (these projects require funding exchanges to address federal funding eligibility limitations)
- Streetscape projects focusing on high-impact, multi-modal improvements or associated with high density housing/mixed use and transit (bulb outs, sidewalk widening , cross walk enhancements, audible signal modification, mid block crossing and signal, new stripping for bicycle lanes and road diets, pedestrian street lighting, medians, pedestrian refugees, way finding signage, pedestrian scaled street furniture including bus shelters, tree grates, benches, bollards, magazine racks, garbage and recycling bins, permanent bicycle racks, signal modification for bicycle detection, street trees, raised planters, planters, costs associated with on- site storm water management, permeable paving)
- Funding for TLC projects that incentivize local PDA Transit Oriented Development Housing

5. Safe Routes to School

The county Safe Routes to School Program continues to be a regional program. The funding is distributed directly to the CMAs by formula through the Cycle 2 regional program (see Appendix A-3). However, a CMA may use OBAG funding to augment this amount. Eligible projects include infrastructure and non-infrastructure projects that facilitate reduction in vehicular travel to and from schools. It is important to note that CMAQ is used to fund this program which is targeted towards air quality improvement rather than children's health or safety. Nevertheless CMAQ eligibility overlaps with Safe Routes to School Program projects that are eligible under the federal and state programs with few exceptions which are noted below. Refer to the following link for detailed examples of eligible projects which is followed by CMAQ funding eligibility parameters: http://mtc.ca.gov/funding/STPCMAQ/7_SR2S_Eligibility_Matrix.pdf

Non-Infrastructure Projects

Public Education and Outreach Activities

- Public education and outreach can help communities reduce emissions and congestion by inducing drivers to change their transportation choices.
- Activities that promote new or existing transportation services, developing messages and advertising materials (including market research, focus groups, and creative), placing messages and materials, evaluating message and material dissemination and public awareness, technical assistance, programs that promote the Tax Code provision related to commute benefits, and any other activities that help forward less-polluting transportation options.
- Air quality public education messages: Long-term public education and outreach can be effective in raising awareness that can lead to changes in travel behavior and ongoing emissions reductions; therefore, these activities may be funded indefinitely.
- Non-construction outreach related to safe bicycle use
- Travel Demand Management Activities including traveler information services, shuttle services, carpools, vanpools, parking pricing, etc.

Infrastructure Projects

Bicycle/Pedestrian Use:

- Constructing bicycle and pedestrian facilities (paths, bike racks, support facilities, etc.) that are not exclusively recreational and reduce vehicle trips
- Programs for secure bicycle storage facilities and other facilities, including bicycle lanes, for the convenience and protection of bicyclists, in both public and private areas new construction and major reconstructions of paths, tracks, or areas solely for the use by pedestrian or other non-motorized means of transportation when economically feasible and in the public interest
- Traffic calming measures

Exclusions found to be ineligible uses of CMAQ funds:

- Walking audits and other planning activities (STP based on availability will be provided for these purposes upon CMA's request)
- Crossing guards and vehicle speed feedback devices, traffic control that is primarily oriented to vehicular traffic rather than bicyclists and pedestrians
- Material incentives that lack an educational message or exceeding a nominal cost.

6. Priority Conservation Areas

This is an outgrowth of the new regional program pilot for the development of Priority Conservation Area (PCA) plans and projects to assist counties to ameliorate outward development expansion and maintain their rural character. A CMA may use OBAG funding to augment grants received from the regionally competitive program or develop its own county PCA program Generally, eligible projects will include planning, land / easement acquisition, open space access projects, and farm-to-market capital projects.

PROGRAM SCHEDULE

Cycle 2 spans apportionments over four fiscal years: FY 20012-13, FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16. Programming in the first year will generally be for the on-going regional operations and regional planning activities which can be delivered immediately, allowing the region to meet the obligation deadlines for use of FY 2012-13 funds. This strategy, at the same time, provides several months during FY 2012-13 for program managers to select projects and for MTC to program projects into the TIP to be obligated during the remaining second, third and fourth years of the Cycle 2 period. If CMAs wish to program any OBAG funds in the first year, MTC will try to accommodate requests depending on available federal apportionments and obligation limitations, as long as the recipient has meet the OBAG requirements.

Appendix A-1

Cycle 2
Regional and County Programs
FY 2012-13 through FY 2015-16
May 2012

Proposed Cycle 2 Funding Commitments

Regional Program (millions $ - rounded)		4-Year Total
Regional Categories		
1	Regional Planning Activities	$7
2	Regional Operations	$95
3	Freeway Performance Initiative	$96
4	Pavement Management Program	$7
5	Priority Development Activities	$40
6	Climate Initiatives	$20
7	Safe Routes To School	$20
8	Transit Capital Rehabilitation	$150
9	Transit Performance Initiative	$30
10	Priority Conservation Area	$10
	Regional Program Total:*	**$475**
		60%

One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) (millions $ - rounded)		4-Year Total
Counties		
1	Alameda	$63
2	Contra Costa	$44
3	Marin	$10
4	Napa	$6
5	San Francisco	$38
6	San Mateo	$26
7	Santa Clara	$87
8	Solano	$18
9	Sonoma	$23
	OBAG Total:*	**$320**
		40%

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\tmp-4035_OBAG\[tmp-4035_Appendices to Att-A.xlsx]A-1 Cycle 2 Funding

Cycle 2 Total Total:*	**$795**

* Amounts may not total due to rounding
* OBAG amounts are draft estimates until final adoption of RHNA, expected July 2012.

Appendix A-2

Cycle 2
Planning & Outreach
FY 2012-13 through FY 2015-16
May 2012

OBAG - County CMA Planning

County	Agency	Cycle 2 OBAG County CMA Planning 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	STP Total
Alameda	ACTC	$916,000	$944,000	$973,000	$1,003,000	$3,836,000
Contra Costa	CCTA	$725,000	$747,000	$770,000	$794,000	$3,036,000
Marin	TAM	$638,000	$658,000	$678,000	$699,000	$2,673,000
Napa	NCTPA	$638,000	$658,000	$678,000	$699,000	$2,673,000
San Francisco	SFCTA	$667,000	$688,000	$709,000	$731,000	$2,795,000
San Mateo	SMCCAG	$638,000	$658,000	$678,000	$699,000	$2,673,000
Santa Clara	VTA	$1,014,000	$1,045,000	$1,077,000	$1,110,000	$4,246,000
Solano	STA	$638,000	$658,000	$678,000	$699,000	$2,673,000
Sonoma	SCTA	$638,000	$658,000	$678,000	$699,000	$2,673,000
County CMAs Total:		$6,512,000	$6,714,000	$6,919,000	$7,133,000	$27,278,000

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\tmp-4035_OBAG\[tmp-4035_Appendices to Att-A.xlsx]A-2 Cycle 2 Planning

Regional Agency Planning

Regional Agency		Cycle 2 Regional Agency Planning 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	STP Total
ABAG	ABAG	$638,000	$658,000	$678,000	$699,000	$2,673,000
BCDC	BCDC	$320,000	$330,000	$340,000	$351,000	$1,341,000
MTC	MTC	$638,000	$658,000	$678,000	$699,000	$2,673,000
Regional Agencies Total:		$1,596,000	$1,646,000	$1,696,000	$1,749,000	$6,687,000

$33,965,000

Appendix A-3

Cycle 2
Safe Routes to School County Distribution
FY 2012-13 through FY 2015-16
May 2012

Safe Routes To School County Distribution

County	Public School Enrollment (K-12) *	Private School Enrollment (K-12) *	Total School Enrollment (K-12) *	Percentage	Total Funding
					$20,000,000
Alameda	214,626	24,537	239,163	21%	$4,293,000
Contra Costa	166,956	16,274	183,230	16%	$3,289,000
Marin	29,615	5,645	35,260	3%	$633,000
Napa	20,370	3,036	23,406	2%	$420,000
San Francisco	56,454	23,723	80,177	7%	$1,439,000
San Mateo	89,971	16,189	106,160	10%	$1,905,000
Santa Clara	261,945	38,119	300,064	27%	$5,386,000
Solano	67,117	2,855	69,972	6%	$1,256,000
Sonoma	71,049	5,787	76,836	7%	$1,379,000
Total:	978,103	136,165	1,114,268	100%	$20,000,000

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\tmp-4035_OBAG\[tmp-4035_Appendices to Att-A.xlsx]A-3 REG SR2S

* From California Department of Education for FY 2010-11

Appendix A-4

Cycle 2
OBAG County Fund Distribution
FY 2012-13 through FY 2015-16
May 2012

OBAG Geographic Funding Distribution

County	OBAG Funds	PDA / Anywhere Split	PDA	Anywhere
Alameda	$63,732,000	70/30	$44,612,000	$19,120,000
Contra Costa	$44,787,000	70/30	$31,351,000	$13,436,000
Marin	$10,047,000	50/50	$5,024,000	$5,023,000
Napa	$6,653,000	50/50	$3,327,000	$3,326,000
San Francisco	$38,837,000	70/30	$27,186,000	$11,651,000
San Mateo	$26,246,000	70/30	$18,372,000	$7,874,000
Santa Clara	$87,284,000	70/30	$61,099,000	$26,185,000
Solano	$18,801,000	50/50	$9,401,000	$9,400,000
Sonoma	$23,613,000	50/50	$11,807,000	$11,806,000
Total:	**$320,000,000**		**$212,179,000**	**$107,821,000**

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\tmp-4035_OBAG\[tmp-4035_Appendices to Att-A.xlsx]A-4 OBAG PDA

OBAG amounts are draft estimates until final adoption of RHNA, expected July 2012.

Appendix A-5: One Bay Area Grant Call for Projects Guidance

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) has delegated OBAG project selection to the nine Bay Area Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) as they are best suited for this role because of their existing relationships with local jurisdictions, elected officials, transit agencies, community organizations and stakeholders, and members of the public within their respective counties. In order to meet federal requirements that accompany the decision-making process regarding federal transportation funding, MTC expects the CMAs to plan and execute an effective public outreach and local engagement process to solicit candidate projects to be submitted to MTC for consideration for inclusion in the Cycle 2 One Bay Area Grant Program. CMAs will also serve as the main point of contact for local sponsoring agencies and members of the public submitting projects for consideration for inclusion in the 2013 Transportation Improvement Program.

CMAs will conduct a transparent process for the Call for Projects while complying with federal regulations by carrying out the following activities:

1. **Public Involvement and Outreach**
   - ***Conduct countywide outreach to stakeholders and the public to solicit project ideas.*** CMAs will be expected to implement their public outreach efforts in a manner consistent with MTC's Public Participation Plan (MTC Resolution No. 3821), which can be found at http://www.onebayarea.org/get_involved.htm. CMAs are expected at a minimum to:
     - Execute effective and meaningful local engagement efforts during the call for projects by working closely with local jurisdictions, elected officials, transit agencies, community-based organizations, and the public through the project solicitation process.
     - Explain the local Call for Projects process, informing stakeholders and the public about the opportunities for public comments on project ideas and when decisions are to be made on the list of projects to be submitted to MTC;
     - Hold public meetings and/or workshops at times which are conducive to public participation to solicit public input on project ideas to submit;
     - Post notices of public meetings and hearing(s) on their agency website; include information on how to request language translation for individuals with limited English proficiency. If agency protocol has not been established, please refer to MTC's Plan for Assisting Limited English Proficient Populations at http://www.mtc.ca.gov/get_involved/lep.htm
     - Hold public meetings in central locations that are accessible for people with disabilities and by public transit;
     - Offer language translations and accommodations for people with disabilities, if requested at least three days in advance of the meeting.

   - ***Document the outreach effort undertaken for the local call for projects.*** CMAs are to provide MTC with:
     - A description of how the public was involved in the process for nominating and/or commenting on projects selected for OBAG funding. Specify whether public input was gathered at forums held specifically for the OBAG project solicitation or as part of a separate planning or programming outreach effort;

- A description of how the public engagement process met the outreach requirements of MTC's Public Participation Plan, including how the CMA ensured full and fair participation by all potentially affected communities in the project submittal process.
- A summary of comments received from the public and a description of how public comments informed the recommended list of projects submitted by the CMA.

2. Agency Coordination

- ***Work closely with local jurisdictions, transit agencies, MTC, Caltrans, federally recognized tribal governments, and stakeholders to identify projects for consideration in the* OBAG *Program.*** CMAs will assist with agency coordination by:
  - Communicating this Call for Projects guidance to local jurisdictions, transit agencies, federally recognized tribal governments, and other stakeholders

3. Title VI Responsibilities

- ***Ensure the public involvement process provides underserved communities access to the project submittal process as in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.***
  - Assist community-based organizations, communities of concern, and any other underserved community interested in having projects submitted for funding;
  - Remove barriers for persons with limited-English proficiency to have access to the project submittal process;
  - For Title IV outreach strategies, please refer to MTC's Public Participation Plan found at: http://www.onebayarea.org/get_involved.htm
  - Additional resources are available at
    i. http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/civilrights/programs/tvi.htm
    ii. http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/DBE_CRLC.html#TitleVI
    iii. http://www.mtc.ca.gov/get_involved/rights/index.htm

Appendix A-6: PDA Investment & Growth Strategy

MTC shall consult with the CMAs and amend the scope of activities identified below, as necessary, to minimize administrative workload and to avoid duplication of effort. This consultation may result in specific work elements shifting to MTC and/or ABAG. Such changes will be formalized through a future amendment to this appendix.

The purpose of a PDA Investment & Growth Strategy is to ensure that CMAs have a transportation project priority-setting process for OBAG funding that supports and encourages development in the region's PDAs, recognizing that the diversity of PDAs will require different strategies. Some of the planning activities noted below may be appropriate for CMAs to consider for jurisdictions or areas not currently designated as PDAs if those areas are still considering future housing and job growth. Regional agencies will provide support, as needed, for the PDA Investment & Growth Strategies. The following are activities CMAs need to undertake in order to develop a project priority-setting process:

(1) <u>Engaging Regional/Local Agencies</u>

- Develop or continue a process to regularly engage local planners and public works staff. Encourage community participation throughout the planning process and in determining project priorities
- Participate as a TAC member in local jurisdiction planning processes funded through the regional PDA Planning Program or as requested by jurisdictions. Partner with MTC and ABAG staff to ensure that regional policies are addressed in PDA plans.
- Help develop protocols with MTC, ABAG and Air District staff to assess toxic-air contaminants and particulate matter, as well as related mitigation strategies, as part of regional PDA Planning Program.

(2) <u>Planning Objectives</u> – to Inform Project Priorities

- Keep apprised of ongoing transportation and land-use planning efforts throughout the county
- Encourage local agencies to quantify infrastructure needs and costs as part of their planning processes
- Encourage and support local jurisdictions in meeting their housing objectives established through their adopted Housing Elements and RHNA.
    - *Short-term*: By May 1, 2013, analyze progress of local jurisdictions in implementing their housing element objectives and identify current local housing policies that encourage affordable housing production and/or community stabilization.
    - *Long-term*: Starting in May 2014 and for subsequent updates, PDA Investment & Growth Strategies will assess performance in producing sufficient housing for all income levels through the RHNA process and, where appropriate, assist local jurisdictions in implementing local policy changes to facilitate achieving these goals[1]. The locally crafted policies should be targeted to the specific circumstances of each PDA. For example, if the PDA currently does not provide for a mix of income-levels, any recommend policy changes should be aimed at promoting affordable housing. If the PDA currently is mostly low-income housing, any needed policy changes should be aimed at community stabilization. This analysis will be coordinated with related work conducted through the Housing and Urban Development (HUD) grant awarded to the region in fall 2011.

(3) <u>Establishing Local Funding Priorities</u> - Develop funding guidelines for evaluating OBAG projects that support multi-modal transportation priorities based on connections to housing, jobs and commercial activity. Emphasis should be placed on the following factors when developing project evaluation criteria:

[1] Such as inclusionary housing requirements, city-sponsored land-banking for affordable housing production, "just cause eviction" policies, policies or investments that preserve existing deed-restricted or "naturally" affordable housing, condo conversion ordinances that support stability and preserve affordable housing, etc.

- **Projects located in high impact project areas**. Key factors defining high impact areas include:
  a. Housing – PDAs taking on significant housing growth in the SCS (total number of units and percentage change), including RHNA allocations, as well as housing production
  b. Jobs in proximity to housing and transit (both current levels and those included in the SCS),
  c. Improved transportation choices for all income levels (reduces VMT), proximity to quality transit access, with an emphasis on connectivity (including safety, lighting, etc.)
  d. Consistency with regional TLC design guidelines or design that encourages multi-modal access: http://www.mtc.ca.gov/planning/smart_growth/tlc/2009_TLC_Design_Guidelines.pdf
  e. Project areas with parking management and pricing policies
- **Projects located in Communities of Concern (COC) –** favorably consider projects located in a COC see: http://geocommons.com/maps/110983
- **PDAs with affordable housing preservation and creation strategies –** favorably consider projects in jurisdictions with affordable housing preservation and creation strategies or policies
- **PDAs that overlap with Air District CARE Communities and/or are in proximity to freight transport infrastructure –** Favorably consider projects located in PDAs with highest exposure to particulate matter and toxic air contaminants where jurisdictions employ best management practices to mitigate exposure.

Process/Timeline

CMAs develop PDA Investment & Growth Strategy	June 2012 – May 2013
PDA Investment & Growth Strategy Presentations by CMAs to Joint MTC Planning and ABAG Administrative Committee	Summer/Fall 2013
CMAs amend PDA Investment & Growth Strategy to incorporate follow-up to local housing production and policies	May 2014
CMAs submit annual progress reports related to PDA Growth Strategies, including status of jurisdiction progress on development/adoption of housing elements and complete streets ordinances.	May 2014, Ongoing

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\RESOLUTIONS\MTC Resolutions\RES-4035_Attach-A.doc

Attachment B-1

MTC Resolution No. 4035, Attachment B-1
Adopted: 05/17/12-C
Revised:

Cycle 2
Regional Programs Project List
FY 2012-13 through FY 2015-16
May 2012

Regional Programs Project List

Project Category and Title	County	Implementing Agency	Total STP/CMAQ	Total Other RTIP/TE/TFCA	Total Cycle 2
CYCLE 2 PROGRAMMING			**$435,187,000**	**$40,000,000**	**$475,187,000**
1. REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES (PL)					
ABAG Planning	Region-Wide	ABAG	$2,673,000	$0	$2,673,000
BCDC Planning	Region-Wide	BCDC	$1,341,000	$0	$1,341,000
MTC Planning	Region-Wide	MTC	$2,673,000	$0	$2,673,000
1. REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES (PL)		**TOTAL:**	**$6,687,000**	**$0**	**$6,687,000**
2. REGIONAL OPERATIONS (RO)					
Clipper® Fare Media Collection	Region-Wide	MTC	$21,400,000	$0	$21,400,000
511 - Traveler Information	Region-Wide	MTC	$48,770,000	$0	$48,770,000
SUBTOTAL			$70,170,000	$0	$70,170,000
FSP/Incident Management	Region-Wide	MTC/SAFE	$25,130,000	$0	$25,130,000
SUBTOTAL			$25,130,000	$0	$25,130,000
2. REGIONAL OPERATIONS (RO)		**TOTAL:**	**$95,300,000**	**$0**	**$95,300,000**
3. FREEWAY PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (FPI)					
Regional Performance Initiatives Implementation	Region-Wide	MTC	$5,750,000	$0	$5,750,000
Regional Performance Initiatives Corridor Implementation	Region-Wide	MTC	$8,000,000	$0	$8,000,000
Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)	Region-Wide	MTC	$5,000,000	$0	$5,000,000
SUBTOTAL			$18,750,000	$0	$18,750,000
Ramp Metering and TOS Elements					
FPI - Specific projects TBD by Commission	TBD	TBD	$43,250,000	$34,000,000	$77,250,000
SUBTOTAL			$43,250,000	$34,000,000	$77,250,000
3. FREEWAY PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (FPI)		**TOTAL:**	**$62,000,000**	**$34,000,000**	**$96,000,000**
4. PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (PMP)					
Pavement Technical Advisory Program (PTAP)	Region-Wide	MTC	$6,000,000	$0	$6,000,000
Pavement Management Program (PMP)	Region-Wide	MTC	$1,200,000	$0	$1,200,000
4. PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (PMP)		**TOTAL:**	**$7,200,000**	**$0**	**$7,200,000**
5. PRIORTY DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES (PDA)					
PDA Planning					
Specific projects TBD by Commission	TBD	TBD	$25,000,000	$0	$25,000,000
SUBTOTAL			$25,000,000	$0	$25,000,000
Transit Oriented Affordable Development (TOD)					
Specific projects TBD by Commission	Region-Wide	MTC	$15,000,000	$0	$15,000,000
SUBTOTAL			$15,000,000	$0	$15,000,000
5. PRIORTY DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES (PDA)		**TOTAL:**	**$40,000,000**	**$0**	**$40,000,000**
6. CLIMATE CHANGE INITIATIVES (CCI)					
Climate Strategies	TBD	TBD	$14,000,000	$6,000,000	$20,000,000
6. CLIMATE CHANGE INITIATIVES (CCI)		**TOTAL:**	**$14,000,000**	**$6,000,000**	**$20,000,000**
7. SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL (SR2S)					
Specific projects TBD by CMAs					
SR2S - Alameda	Alameda	ACTC	$4,293,000	$0	$4,293,000
SR2S - Contra Costa	Contra Costa	CCTA	$3,289,000	$0	$3,289,000
SR2S - Marin	Marin	TAM	$633,000	$0	$633,000
SR2S - Napa	Napa	NCTPA	$420,000	$0	$420,000
SR2S - San Francisco	San Francisco	SFCTA	$1,439,000	$0	$1,439,000
SR2S - San Mateo	San Mateo	SMCCAG	$1,905,000	$0	$1,905,000
SR2S - Santa Clara	Santa Clara	SCVTA	$5,386,000	$0	$5,386,000
SR2S - Solano	Solano	STA	$1,256,000	$0	$1,256,000
SR2S - Sonoma	Sonoma	SCTA	$1,379,000	$0	$1,379,000
7. SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL (SR2S)		**TOTAL:**	**$20,000,000**	**$0**	**$20,000,000**
8. TRANSIT CAPITAL PROGRAM (TCP)					
Specific projects TBD by Transit Operators			$149,000,000	$0	$149,000,000
SolTrans - Preventive Maintenance	Solano	SolTrans	$1,000,000	$0	$1,000,000
8. TRANSIT CAPITAL PROGRAM (TCP)		**TOTAL:**	**$150,000,000**	**$0**	**$150,000,000**
9. TRANSIT PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (TPI)					
AC Transit - Line 51 Corridor Speed Protection and Restoration	Alameda	AC Transit	$10,515,624	$0	$10,515,624
SFMTA - Mission Mobility Maximization	San Francisco	SFMTA	$7,016,395	$0	$7,016,395
SFMTA - N-Judah Mobility Maximization	San Francisco	SFMTA	$3,750,574	$0	$3,750,574
SFMTA - Bus Stop Consolidation and Roadway Modifications	San Francisco	SFMTA	$4,133,031	$0	$4,133,031
SCVTA - Light Rail Transit Signal Priority	Santa Clara	SCVTA	$1,587,176	$0	$1,587,176
SCVTA - Steven Creek - Limited 323 Transit Signal Priority	Santa Clara	SCVTA	$712,888	$0	$712,888
Unprogrammed Transit Performance Initiative Reserve	TBD	TBD	$2,284,312	$0	$2,284,312
9. TRANSIT PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (TPI)		**TOTAL:**	**$30,000,000**	**$0**	**$30,000,000**
10. PRIORITY CONSERVATION AREA (PCA)					
Specific projects TBD by Commission	TBD	TBD	$10,000,000	$0	$10,000,000
10. PRIORITY CONSERVATION AREA (PCA)		**TOTAL:**	**$10,000,000**	**$0**	**$10,000,000**
Cycle 2 Total		**TOTAL:**	**$435,187,000**	**$40,000,000**	**$475,187,000**

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\tmp-4035_OBAG\[tmp-4035_Attach_B-1.xlsx]T4 Cycle 2 Attach B-1 PENDING

Attachment B-2

MTC Resolution No. 4035, Attachment B-2
Adopted: 05/17/12-C
Revised:

Cycle 2
OBAG Project List
FY 2012-13 through FY 2015-16
May 2012

OBAG Program Project List

Project Category and Title	Implementing Agency	Total STP/CMAQ	Total Other RTIP-TE	Total Cycle 2
CYCLE 2 COUNTY OBAG PROGRAMMING		**$301,964,000**	**$18,036,000**	**$320,000,000**
ALAMEDA COUNTY				
Specific projects TBD by Alameda CMA	TBD	$56,170,000	$3,726,000	$59,896,000
CMA Planning Activities - Alameda	ACTC	$3,836,000	$0	$3,836,000
ALAMEDA COUNTY	**TOTAL:**	**$60,006,000**	**$3,726,000**	**$63,732,000**
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY				
Specific projects TBD by Contra Costa CMA	TBD	$39,367,000	$2,384,000	$41,751,000
CMA Planning Activities - Contra Costa	CCTA	$3,036,000	$0	$3,036,000
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	**TOTAL:**	**$42,403,000**	**$2,384,000**	**$44,787,000**
MARIN COUNTY				
Specific projects TBD by Marin CMA	TBD	$6,667,000	$707,000	$7,374,000
CMA Planning Activities - Marin	TAM	$2,673,000	$0	$2,673,000
MARIN COUNTY	**TOTAL:**	**$9,340,000**	**$707,000**	**$10,047,000**
NAPA COUNTY				
Specific projects TBD by Napa	TBD	$3,549,000	$431,000	$3,980,000
CMA Planning Activities - Napa	NCTPA	$2,673,000	$0	$2,673,000
NAPA COUNTY	**TOTAL:**	**$6,222,000**	**$431,000**	**$6,653,000**
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY				
Specific projects TBD by San Francisco CMA	TBD	$34,132,000	$1,910,000	$36,042,000
CMA Planning Activities - San Francisco	SFCTA	$2,795,000	$0	$2,795,000
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY	**TOTAL:**	**$36,927,000**	**$1,910,000**	**$38,837,000**
SAN MATEO COUNTY				
Specific projects TBD by San Mateo CMA	TBD	$21,582,000	$1,991,000	$23,573,000
CMA Planning Activities - San Mateo	SMCCAG	$2,673,000	$0	$2,673,000
SAN MATEO COUNTY	**TOTAL:**	**$24,255,000**	**$1,991,000**	**$26,246,000**
SANTA CLARA COUNTY				
Specific projects TBD by Santa Clara CMA	TBD	$78,688,000	$4,350,000	$83,038,000
CMA Planning Activities - Santa Clara	SCVTA	$4,246,000	$0	$4,246,000
SANTA CLARA COUNTY	**TOTAL:**	**$82,934,000**	**$4,350,000**	**$87,284,000**
SOLANO COUNTY				
Specific projects TBD by Solano CMA	TBD	$14,987,000	$1,141,000	$16,128,000
CMA Planning Activities - Solano	STA	$2,673,000	$0	$2,673,000
SOLANO COUNTY	**TOTAL:**	**$17,660,000**	**$1,141,000**	**$18,801,000**
SONOMA COUNTY				
Specific projects TBD by Sonoma CMA	TBD	$19,544,000	$1,396,000	$20,940,000
CMA Planning Activities - Sonoma	SCTA	$2,673,000	$0	$2,673,000
SONOMA COUNTY	**TOTAL:**	**$22,217,000**	**$1,396,000**	**$23,613,000**
Cycle 2 Total	**TOTAL:**	**$301,964,000**	**$18,036,000**	**$320,000,000**

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\tmp-4035_OBAG\[tmp-4035_Attach_B-2.xlsx]T4 Cycle 2 Attach B-2 PENDING

This page intentionally left blank.

RESOLUTION NO. 2012-12

RESOLUTION OF THE SOLANO TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CERTIFYING COMPLIANCE WITH THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION ONEBAYAREA GRANT GUIDELINES FOR THE SOLANO TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY EXISTING COMMITMENTS

WHEREAS, ON May 17, 2012, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) issued guidelines for funding projects and programs through the OneBayArea Grant (OBAG); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of the OBAG Guidelines, the Solano Transportation Authority (STA), as the Congestion Management Agency for Solano County, is designated as the entity that will certify proposed projects and programs for consistency with the OBAG Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, the City of Dixon meets the OBAG Criteria, as demonstrated by the following:

i. The City of Dixon has a Housing Element certified by the California Department of Housing and Community Development as of August 19, 2009, as shown in Exhibit A.
ii. The City of Dixon is compliant with the California Complete Streets Act of 2008, as shown in the language from the Dixon General Plan provided in Exhibit B.
iii. The Dixon West B Street Pedestrian Undercrossing has undergone public hearings and public outreach that is consistent with Title VI of the Civil Rigs Act of 1964, as shown in Exhibit C; and

WHEREAS, the STA meets the OBAG Criteria for existing programming commitments, as demonstrated by the following;

i. STA is a CMA, and is neither required to nor able to adopt a Housing Element or Complete Streets policy.
ii. STA is a CMA able to receive and use Surface Transportation Program funds for planning activities.
iii. STA is a CMA able to receive and use Congestion Mitigation for Air Quality funds for rideshare activities, including the Solano Napa Commuter Information (SNCI).
iv. The STA Existing Commitments has undergone public hearings and public outreach that is consistent with Title VI of the Civil Rigs Act of 1964, as shown in Exhibit D.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Solano Transportation Authority certifies to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission that the STA Existing Commitments, consisting of the Dixon West B Street Pedestrian Undercrossing and the programming of STP funds for STA Planning and CMAQ funds for SNCI are consistent with OBAG Guidelines.

_____
Jack Batchelor, Jr, Chair
Solano Transportation Authority

Passed by the Solano Transportation Authority (STA) Board on this 11th day of July 2012 by the following vote:

Ayes: _____
Nos: _____
Absent: _____
Abstain: _____

Attest: _____

Johanna Masiclat
Clerk of the Board

I, Daryl K. Halls, the Solano Transportation Authority Executive Director, certify that the above and foregoing resolution was regularly introduced, passed, and adopted by said Authority at a regular meeting held this 11th day of July 2012.

_____

Daryl K. Halls, Executive Director
Solano Transportation Authority

Exhibit A
City of Dixon Housing Element
Certification by the California Department of Housing and Community Development

HOUSING ELEMENT COMPLIANCE REPORT
06/01/2012 3:41 p.m.

County	Jurisdiction	Record Type	Date Received	Date Reviewed	Compliance Status
SANTA CRUZ	CAPITOLA	ADOPTED	2/26/2010	4/6/2010	IN
	SANTA CRUZ	ADOPTED	4/8/2011	5/27/2011	IN
	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	ADOPTED	2/3/2010	5/4/2010	IN
	SCOTTS VALLEY	ADOPTED	12/9/2009	3/9/2010	IN
	WATSONVILLE	ADOPTED	2/25/2010	4/30/2010	IN
SHASTA	ANDERSON	ADOPTED	12/21/2009	1/12/2010	IN
	REDDING	ADOPTED	2/8/2010	5/3/2010	IN
	SHASTA COUNTY	ADOPTED	3/28/2011	4/14/2011	IN
	SHASTA LAKE	ADOPTED	6/17/2010	7/7/2010	IN
SIERRA	LOYALTON	ADOPTED	10/30/2009	11/19/2009	IN
	SIERRA COUNTY	DRAFT	8/24/2009	10/21/2009	OUT
SISKIYOU	DORRIS	ADOPTED	11/8/2010	12/20/2010	IN
	DUNSMUIR	ADOPTED	6/7/2010	8/5/2010	IN
	ETNA	ADOPTED	3/22/2010	5/12/2010	IN
	FORT JONES	ADOPTED	3/14/2012	-	IN REVIEW
	MONTAGUE	ADOPTED	5/17/2010	7/26/2010	IN
	MOUNT SHASTA	ADOPTED	2/16/2010	3/24/2010	IN
	SISKIYOU COUNTY	ADOPTED	5/17/2010	7/6/2010	IN
	TULELAKE	ADOPTED	4/28/2011	6/13/2011	IN
	WEED	ADOPTED	7/28/2011	8/10/2011	IN
	YREKA	ADOPTED	11/30/2009	2/23/2010	IN
SOLANO	BENICIA	DRAFT	8/10/2009	10/8/2009	OUT
	DIXON	ADOPTED	7/27/2009	8/19/2009	IN
	FAIRFIELD	ADOPTED	6/19/2009	7/2/2009	IN
	RIO VISTA	ADOPTED	9/6/2011	9/9/2011	IN
	SOLANO COUNTY	ADOPTED	8/23/2010	9/1/2010	IN
	SUISUN CITY	ADOPTED	7/9/2009	10/7/2009	IN
	VACAVILLE	ADOPTED	4/30/2010	7/21/2010	IN
	VALLEJO	ADOPTED	9/6/2011	9/21/2011	IN
SONOMA	CLOVERDALE	ADOPTED	10/5/2009	10/19/2009	IN
	COTATI	ADOPTED	8/14/2003	9/12/2003	IN
	HEALDSBURG	ADOPTED	2/4/2010	2/23/2010	IN
	PETALUMA	ADOPTED	6/22/2009	7/31/2009	IN
	ROHNERT PARK	ADOPTED	6/9/2010	9/7/2010	IN
	SANTA ROSA	DRAFT	5/1/2012	5/22/2012	IN
	SEBASTOPOL	ADOPTED	10/25/2010	12/20/2010	IN
	SONOMA	DRAFT	3/15/2010	5/12/2010	OUT
	SONOMA COUNTY	ADOPTED	6/24/2009	6/26/2009	IN
	WINDSOR	ADOPTED	7/27/2009	10/23/2009	IN
STANISLAUS	CERES	ADOPTED	4/5/2012	-	IN REVIEW
	HUGHSON	ADOPTED	8/27/2009	9/28/2009	IN
	MODESTO	ADOPTED	7/1/2011	7/26/2011	IN
	NEWMAN	ADOPTED	3/18/2010	6/16/2010	IN
	OAKDALE	ADOPTED	9/13/2010	12/7/2010	IN
	PATTERSON	ADOPTED	4/26/2010	7/21/2010	OUT
	RIVERBANK	ADOPTED	9/21/2009	10/19/2009	IN
	STANISLAUS COUNTY	DRAFT	2/9/2012	4/4/2012	OUT
	TURLOCK	ADOPTED	3/2/2012	3/23/2012	IN
	WATERFORD	DRAFT	11/16/2004	1/14/2005	OUT
SUTTER	LIVE OAK	ADOPTED	6/26/2009	8/13/2009	IN

Exhibit B
City of Dixon Complete Streets Act of 2008 Compliance

Air Quality Policy 1-9: Promote street design, including designation of dedicated bicycle lanes and improvement of the sidewalk network, which provides an environment which encourages biking and walking.

Implementation Program 1-9-1: Evaluate Engineering Standards for the following: (1) opportunities to improve pedestrian and bicycle safety and access, such as traffic signal crosswalk activation buttons at the back of curbs, curb "bulb-outs" to reduce pedestrian/vehicle conflicts, lighted crosswalks, pedestrian refuge islands, and pedestrian countdown heads on signal lights as part of new development areas and where feasible in existing development, and (2) compliance with "complete street" regulatory requirements to ensure streets accommodate the travel of all modes of traffic and users, including bicycles, pedestrians, the disabled, vehicles, and, where appropriate, trucks.

Exhibit C

City of Dixon West B Street Pedestrian Undercrossing
Public Outreach in Compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

Date of Meeting	Agenda Item	Action	Public Outreach
January 5, 2012 BAC April 11, 2012 Board	Solano County Bicycle Priority Projects List and Fiscal Year (FY 2012-13) Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 Allocation	Approve/Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the following for FY 2012-13 TDA Article 3 funding: 1. $125,000 allocation for the Dixon West B Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Undercrossing Project 2. Retain TDA Article 3 funds as local match for future priority projects	Meeting notices and packets on STA web site; STA Board meeting notice posted at local City Halls/County Administrative Office
February , 2012 PAC April 11, 2012 Board	Solano County Pedestrian Priority Projects List and Fiscal Year (FY 2012-13) Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 Allocation	Approve/Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the following for FY 2012-13 TDA Article 3 funding: 1. $125,000 allocation for the Dixon West B Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Undercrossing Project 2. $15,000 allocation for the STA Bicycle and Pedestrian Wayfinding Signage Program Phase 1 3. Retain TDA Article 3 funds as local match for future priority projects	Meeting notices and packets on STA web site; STA Board meeting notice posted at local City Halls/County Administrative Office
November 22, 2011 BAC	Countywide Bicycle Transportation Plan	Adopt/Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the	Meeting notice and packet on STA web site

December 14, 2011 Board		Solano Countywide Bicycle Transportation Plan; Dixon West B Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Undercrossing identified as a priority project	
May 18, 2011 PAC January 11, 2012 Board	Countywide Pedestrian Transportation Plan	Adopt/Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the Solano Countywide Pedestrian Transportation Plan; Dixon West B Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Undercrossing identified as a priority project	Meeting notice and packet on STA web site
April 20, 2011	City of Dixon's Intermodal Station West B Street Undercrossing Project Funding Plan	Approve a fund plan committing $4.949 million current and future discretionary funding for the City of Dixon's West B Undercrossing Project	Meeting notices and packets on STA web site; STA Board meeting notice posted at local City Halls/County Administrative Office
March 9, 2011	Solano Rail Crossing Inventory and Improvement Plan	Adopt the Solano Rail Crossing Inventory and Improvement Plan; Dixon West B Undercrossing identified as top priority project	Meeting notice and packet on STA web site; STA Board meeting notice posted at local City Halls/County Administrative Office

Exhibit D

STA Existing Commitments
Public Outreach in Compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

Date of Meeting	Agenda Item	Action	Public Outreach
July 13, 2011 STA Board	VIII.E – SNCI FY 2011-12 work plan IX.A – STA FY 2011-12 Budget Revision and FY 2012-13 Proposed Budget	Approve the SNCI Work Plan and STA budgets, including funding of SNCI and Planning activities from MTC Block Grant funds	Meeting notices and packets on STA web site; STA Board meeting notice posted at local City Halls/County Administrative Office
April 11, 2012 STA Board	X.D – STA OBAG Projects and Priorities	Approve the STA OBAG Priorities, including funding of SNCI and Planning activities from OBAG STP Funds	
July 11, 2012 STA Board	VIII.B – STA FY 2012-13 Budget Revision and FY 2013-14 Proposed Budget	Approve the STA budget, including funding SNCI and Planning activities from OBAG STP funds	Meeting notices and packets on STA web site; STA Board meeting notice posted at local City Halls/County Administrative Office

ATTACHMENT C

City of Dixon's West B Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Undercrossing Fund Strategy

FUNDING INFORMATION

Funding Sources	Program Year	Upcoming Deadlines	Fund Sources Total
TDA 4/8	2011-12	VV/Dix Fund Swap	$325,000
TDA 4/8	2012-13	VV/Dix Fund Swap	$325,000
TDA 4/8	2013-14	VV/Dix Fund Swap	$325,000
TDA 3	2012-13	Due March 2012	$125,000
YSAQMD CAF	2012-13	Due March 2012	$100,000
E-CMAQ, if Cycle 2	2012-13	TBD	$2,000,000
MTC-STA SR2S, if Cycle 2	2012-13	TBD	$600,000
STIP-TE, if reauthorized	2012-13	Reprogram, Apr 26	$649,000
State SR2S Grant	2012-13	TBD	$500,000
		TOTAL	$4,949,000
		SHORTFALL	$1,151,000
		Project Phase Total:	**$6,100,000**

ATTACHMENT D

Existing Commitments and TAC LS&R Maintenance Recommendation for OBAG Funds

Existing Commitments	Planning	**$2,254,500** ($751,500/ year)
	SNCI	**$400,000** ($133,000/ year)
	Dixon West B Undercrossing	**$2,500,000**
Total Existing Commitments		**$5,154,500**
Total Available OBAG Funds		$16,000,000
Available for Projects and LS&R		**$10,845,500**

RESOLUTION NO. 2012-13

RESOLUTION OF THE SOLANO TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING THE FILING OF AN APPLICATION FOR FUNDING ASSIGNED TO MTC AND COMMITTING ANY NECESSARY MATCHING FUNDS AND STATING THE ASSURANCE TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT

WHEREAS, SOLANO TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY (herein referred to as APPLICANT) is submitting an application to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) for $2,535,000 in funding assigned MTC for programming discretion, including by not limited to federal funding administered by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) such as Surface Transportation Program (STP) funding, Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) funding and/or Transportation Alternatives (TA) funding (herein collectively referred to as REGIONAL DISCRETIONARY FUNDING) for the CITY OF DIXON WEST B STREET PEDESTRIAN UNDERCROSSING PROJECT (herein referred to as PROJECT) for the MTC Resolution, No. 4039, Federal Cycle 2 Program covering FY 2012-13, FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16: Project Selection Policies and Programming (herein referred to as PROGRAM); and

WHEREAS, the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (Public Law 112-141, July 6, 2012) and any extensions or successor legislation for continued funding (collectively, MAP 21) authorize various federal funding programs including, but not limited to the Surface Transportation Program (STP) (23 U.S.C. § 133), the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ) (23 U.S.C. § 149) and the Transportation Alternatives Program (TA) (23 U.S.C. § 213); and

WHEREAS, state statutes, including California Streets and Highways Code 182.6 and 182.7 provide various funding programs for the programming discretion of the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to MAP-21, and any regulations promulgated thereunder, eligible project sponsors wishing to receive federal funds for a project shall submit an application first with the appropriate Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), for review and inclusion in the MPO's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

WHEREAS, MTC is the MPO and RTPA for the nine counties of the San Francisco Bay region; and

WHEREAS, MTC has adopted a Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3606, revised) that sets out procedures governing the application and use of federal funds; and

WHEREAS, APPLICANT is an eligible project sponsor for REGIONAL DISCRETIONARY FUNDING; and

WHEREAS, as part of the application for REGIONAL DISCRETIONARY FUNDING, MTC requires a resolution adopted by the responsible implementing agency stating the following:

1. the commitment of any required matching funds of at least 11.47%; and
2. that the sponsor understands that the REGIONAL DISCRETIONARY FUNDING is fixed at the programmed amount, and therefore any cost increase cannot be expected to be funded with additional REGIONAL DISCRETIONARY FUNDING; and
3. that the project will comply with the procedures, delivery milestones and funding deadlines specified in the Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3606, revised); and
4. the assurance of the sponsor to complete the project as described in the application, and if approved, as included in MTC's federal Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and
5. that the project will comply with all the project-specific requirements as set forth in the PROGRAM.; and

6. that the project (transit only) will comply with MTC Resolution No. 3866, revised, which sets forth the requirements of MTC's Transit Coordination Implementation Plan to more efficiently deliver transit projects in the region.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the APPLICANT is authorized to execute and file an application for funding for the PROJECT for REGIONAL DISCRETIONARY FUNDING under MAP-21 for continued funding; and be it further

RESOLVED that the APPLICANT by adopting this resolution does hereby state that:

1. APPLICANT will provide $329,000 in matching funds; and
2. APPLICANT understands that the REGIONAL DISCRETIONARY FUNDING for the project is fixed at the MTC approved programmed amount, and that any cost increases must be funded by the APPLICANT from other funds, and that APPLICANT does not expect any cost increases to be funded with additional REGIONAL DISCRETIONARY FUNDING; and
3. APPLICANT understands the funding deadlines associated with these funds and will comply with the provisions and requirements of the Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution No. 3606, revised) and APPLICANT has, and will retain the expertise, knowledge and resources necessary to deliver federally-funded transportation projects, and has assigned, and will maintain a single point of contact for all FHWA-funded transportation projects to coordinate within the agency and with the respective Congestion Management Agency (CMA), MTC, Caltrans and FHWA on all communications, inquires or issues that may arise during the federal programming and delivery process for all FHWA-funded transportation projects implemented by APPLICANT; and
4. PROJECT will be implemented as described in the complete application and in this resolution and, if approved, for the amount approved by MTC and programmed in the federal TIP; and
5. APPLICANT and the PROJECT will comply with the requirements as set forth in MTC programming guidelines and project selection procedures for the PROGRAM; and
6. APPLICANT (for a transit project only) agrees to comply with the requirements of MTC's Transit Coordination Implementation Plan as set forth in MTC Resolution 3866, revised; and therefore be it further

RESOLVED that APPLICANT is an eligible sponsor of REGIONAL DISCRETIONARY FUNDING funded projects; and be it further

RESOLVED that APPLICANT is authorized to submit an application for REGIONAL DISCRETIONARY FUNDING for the PROJECT; and be it further

RESOLVED that there is no legal impediment to APPLICANT making applications for the funds; and be it further

RESOLVED that there is no pending or threatened litigation that might in any way adversely affect the proposed PROJECT, or the ability of APPLICANT to deliver such PROJECT; and be it further

RESOLVED that APPLICANT authorizes its Executive Director, General Manager, or designee to execute and file an application with MTC for REGIONAL DISCRETIONARY FUNDING for the PROJECT as referenced in this resolution; and be it further

RESOLVED that a copy of this resolution will be transmitted to the MTC in conjunction with the filing of the application; and be it further

RESOLVED that the MTC is requested to support the application for the PROJECT described in the resolution and to include the PROJECT, if approved, in MTC's federal TIP**.**

_____
Jack Batchelor, Jr, Chair
Solano Transportation Authority

Passed by the Solano Transportation Authority (STA) Board on this 11th day of July 2012 by the following vote:

Ayes: _____
Nos: _____
Absent: _____
Abstain: _____

Attest: _____
Johanna Masiclat
Clerk of the Board

I, Daryl K. Halls, the Solano Transportation Authority Executive Director, certify that the above and foregoing resolution was regularly introduced, passed, and adopted by said Authority at a regular meeting held this 11th day of July 2012.

_____
Daryl K. Halls, Executive Director
Solano Transportation Authority

ATTACHMENT F

July 13, 2012

Re: Solano Transportation Authority
One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Call for Projects

To Interested Applicants:

The Solano Transportation Authority (STA) is currently seeking input on how to allocate an estimated $ ____ (____ million) in federal fund for transportation projects. The funding is available for the following Fiscal Years (FY): 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16. These funds are available through the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) OneBayArea Grant (OBAG) program.

Attached you will find a copy of the STA's guidelines for project selection, guidelines on allowable uses of the available federal funds, and the STA's public outreach schedule. This information is also available electronically online at the STA's website: www.solanolinks.com. Please note that all projects or programs submitted for funding must be submitted by a public agency.

STA OBAG funding applications are due ***no later than 3 p.m., Wednesday, August 22, 2012*** to:

Solano Transportation Authority
Attention: Robert Macaulay, Director of Planning
One Harbor Center, Suite 130
Suisun, CA 94585

Please contact Robert Macaulay, Director of Planning, at 707 424-6075 or rmacaulay@sta-snci.com for more information.

Sincerely,

Daryl K. Halls
Executive Director

Exhibits:

A. Minimum Standards for Proposed OBAG Project or Program Eligibility
B. Allowable Uses of Available Federal Funds
C. STA OBAG Public Outreach Schedule

Exhibit A
Solano Transportation Authority
Minimum Standards for Proposed OBAG Project or Program Eligibility

1. Project is located in a jurisdiction that meets the OBAG eligibility requirements regarding Complete Streets and a certified Housing Element
2. Inclusion in a draft or adopted STA plan
3. Commitment by a public agency to deliver the project or program
4. Deliverable within the OBAG funding cycle (2012 through 2016)
5. Advances one or more OBAG goals

Exhibit B
Allowable Uses of Available Federal Funds

FUND SOURCE: Surface Transportation Program

Generally may fund all OBAG project categories: New roadway and facility construction, Local Streets and Roads (LS&R) maintenance, Congestion Management Agency (CMA) Planning, Safe Routes to School, Transportation for Livable Communities, Bicycle and Pedestrian categories including Planning Studies. New roadway and facility improvements (construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, and operational), must be federal-aid eligible roadways. STP funds can also be used for mitigation related to an STP project, public transit capital improvements, and transportation system management, transportation demand management, transportation control measures, and safety. More detailed eligibility requirements can be found in Section 133 of Title 23 of the United States Code

FUND SOURCE: Congestion Mitigation for Air Quality

CMAQ funding applies to new or expanded transportation projects, programs, and operations that help reduce emissions. Generally may fund following OBAG project categories that result in air quality improvement: Safe Routes to School, Transportation for Livable Communities, Bicycle and Pedestrian categories (excluding Planning Studies). This includes Transportation activities in approved State Implementation Plan (SIP), Transportation Control Measures (TCMs), alternative fuels, traffic flow improvements, transit expansion projects, travel demand management, outreach and rideshare activities, telecommuting programs, intermodal freight, planning and project development activities directly related to the delivery of a project, inspection and maintenance programs, and experimental pilot projects. For more detailed guidance see the CMAQ Program Guidance (FHWA, November 2008).

Exhibit C
STA OBAG Public Outreach Schedule

July 11	STA Board adopts OBAG Call for Projects Guidelines and Schedule and Issues a Call for Projects STA Board approves public process for OBAG Committed Funding
August through September	Committee Meetings: Bicycle Advisory Committee (September 6) Pedestrian Advisory Committee (September 5) Paratransit Coordinating Council Safe Routes to Schools Advisory Committee Senior and Persons with Disabilities Steering Committee (July 19) Lifeline Committee/ Community Based Organizations Tribal Consultation Public Workshop
September September 26	Board Workshop on OBAG Project Selection Criteria TAC and Consortium Review of Draft Project List
October 10	STA Board Public Hearing on Draft Project List
November 28	TAC and Consortium Review of Final Project List
December 12	Board Approval of Final OBAG Project List
January 2013	Submittal of STA OBAG Project List and Supporting Documentation to MTC

This page intentionally left blank.

July 13, 2012

Re: Solano Transportation Authority
Local Streets and Roads Call for Projects

To Interested Applicants:

The Solano Transportation Authority (STA) is currently seeking input on how to allocate an estimated $ ____ (____ million) in federal fund for transportation projects. The funding is available for the following Fiscal Years (FY): 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16. These funds are available through the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) OneBayArea Grant (OBAG) program.

Attached you will find a copy of the STA's guidelines for Local Streets and Roads (LS&R) project selection, guidelines on allowable uses of the available federal funds, and the STA's public outreach schedule. This information is also available electronically online at the STA's website: www.solanolinks.com. Please note that all projects or programs submitted for funding must be submitted by a public agency.

STA OBAG funding applications are due ***no later than 3 p.m., Friday, August 10, 2012*** to:

Solano Transportation Authority
Attention: Robert Macaulay, Director of Planning
One Harbor Center, Suite 130
Suisun, CA 94585

Please contact Robert Macaulay, Director of Planning, at 707 424-6075 or rmacaulay@sta-snci.com for more information.

Sincerely,

Daryl K. Halls
Executive Director

Exhibits:

A. Minimum Standards for Proposed Local Streets and Roads Eligibility
B. Allowable Uses of Available Federal Funds
C. STA Local Streets and Roads Public Outreach Schedule

Exhibit A

Solano Transportation Authority

Minimum Standards for Proposed Local Streets and Roads Eligibility

1. Project is located in a jurisdiction that meets the OBAG eligibility requirements regarding Complete Streets and a certified Housing Element
2. Qualifies as a Surface Transportation Program eligible Local Streets and Roads maintenance project
3. Commitment by a public agency to deliver the project or program
4. Deliverable within the OBAG funding cycle (2012 through 2016)

Exhibit B

Allowable Uses of Available Federal Funds

FUND SOURCE: Surface Transportation Program

Generally may fund all OBAG project categories: New roadway and facility construction, Local Streets and Roads (LS&R) maintenance, Congestion Management Agency (CMA) Planning, Safe Routes to School, Transportation for Livable Communities, Bicycle and Pedestrian categories including Planning Studies. New roadway and facility improvements (construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, and operational), must be federal-aid eligible roadways. STP funds can also be used for mitigation related to an STP project, public transit capital improvements, and transportation system management, transportation demand management, transportation control measures, and safety. More detailed eligibility requirements can be found in Section 133 of Title 23 of the United States Code

Exhibit C
STA Local Streets and Roads Public Outreach Schedule

July 11	STA Board adopts Local Streets and Roads Call for Projects Guidelines and Schedule and Issues a Local Streets and Roads Call for Projects
July and August August 10 August 29	Local jurisdictions identify Local Streets and Roads projects Local Streets and Roads project submittals due TAC and Consortium Review Local Streets and Roads projects and make recommendation to STA Board
September 12	STA Board Public Hearing on approval of Local Streets and Roads projects Submittal of STA OBAG Local Streets and Roads Project List and Supporting Documentation to MTC

ATTACHMENT H

Solano Transportation Authority
. . . working for you!

SOLANO TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

Member Agencies:
Benicia • Dixon • Fairfield • Rio Vista • Suisun City • Vacaville • Vallejo • Solano County

One Harbor Center, Suite 130, Suisun City, CA 94585-2473 • Telephone (707) 424-6075 / Facsimile (707) 424-6074
Email: staplan@sta-snci.com • Website: sta.ca.gov

July 10, 2012

Ashley Nguyen, EIR Project Manager
Metropolitan Transportation Commission
101 Eighth Street
Oakland, CA 94607

RE: Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for Plan Bay Area

Dear Ms. Nguyen:

The Solano Transportation Authority (STA) is the Congestion Management Agency (CMA) for Solano County. STA offers the following comments in response to the Notice of Preparation (NOP) of a Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for Plan Bay Area, issued by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC).

1. STA concurs with the NOP's assessment of issues that may potentially be impacted by the Plan Bay Area. Similarly, STA agrees with MTC's conclusion that there will not be impacts to the categories of Hazardous Materials, Recreation and Mineral Resources, and that no further analysis is required. Because the Plan intentionally attempts to direct land use development, including uses that either generate or consume local tax revenues, it would be appropriate to consider impacts to public finances and the Public Service that are funded by those local tax revenues as a part of the DEIR.

2. STA generally concurs with the alternatives that are proposed for analysis in the Plan Bay Area DEIR: No Project, Jobs-Housing Connection (the Project), Lower Concentration of PDA Growth, Eliminate Inter-Regional Commute and Environment, Equity and Jobs. The Project and the 4 Alternatives will provide broad range of alternatives and data to allow MTC and the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) to make informed choices when approving the final Plan Bay Area document. As noted below, however, there are two additional alternatives that should be considered in preparation of the DEIR.

3. Alternative 1, No Project, should be modified so that it is implementation of the existing Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), known as Transportation 2035 (T2035). The No Project alternative as currently proposed assumes delivery of only projects that have either received full funding or environmental clearance as of May 1, 2011. It is reasonable and prudent to assume additional funds will come in to the region beyond those committed to projects that meet the May 1, 2011 funding cut-off, and that those funds will be adequate to complete the fiscally-constrained project list contained in T2035. It is also reasonable and prudent to assume that those projects will be able to obtain all necessary permits, including environmental clearance. The No Project alternative should therefore assume build-out of the fiscally constrained transportation network envisioned in the T2035 project list.

4. Alternative 4, Eliminate Inter-Regional Commute, appears to assume a much higher residential growth rate than does the Project. The land use scenario for this Alternative assumes higher growth rates near employment centers at the edge of the region. This should include job centers in Solano County communities. STA is concerned that the limits to High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) and Express lanes in the Alternative 4 transportation investment package will significantly impact projected congestion in Solano County and other suburban portions of the Bay Area. STA therefore recommends that this alternative include build-out of the HOV / Express Lane network in the transportation investment package.

5. At a DEIR Scoping Meeting held in Solano County on June 25, 2012, several comments were made by members of the public that should receive consideration in preparation of the Plan Bay Area DEIR.

   Those comments are:
   - The DEIR should include an Alternative with a lower rate of employment and residential growth, based on an assumption that the Bay Area and regional economy do not see a significant economic recovery.

   - The DEIR should address, either as part of one of the Alternatives or in a separate segment, what would be the impacts to the transportation system if projected sea level rise does not occur. For example, would this free up funds no longer needed for sea level rise mitigation that could allow additional projects or programs to be implemented?

6. Since the NOP asks the question "Are we applying the appropriate policy levers to better encourage sustainable development," the DEIR should include a definition of "sustainable development" that can be used to perform this measure.

7. A land use strategy that should be considered in the DEIR is the implementation of existing local general plans. In light of the difficulty of using regional transportation funds to incentivize local land use decisions, the DEIR should consider implementation of current local general plans as a likely and feasible alternative.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Plan Bay Area DEIR NOP. STA looks forward to working with MTC as the DEIR is developed and issued for public comment.

Sincerely,

Jack Batchelor, Chair
Solano Transportation Authority

Cc: STA Board Members
Steve Heminger, MTC Executive Director
Amy Worth, MTC Chair
Jim Spering, MTC Commission