



**INTERCITY TRANSIT CONSORTIUM
AGENDA**

**10:00 a.m., Wednesday, May 26, 2010
Solano Transportation Authority
One Harbor Center, Suite 130
Suisun City, CA 94585**

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>STAFF PERSON</u>
I. CALL TO ORDER	Jeff Matheson, Chair
II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA (10:05 – 10:10 a.m.)	
III. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT (10:10 – 10:15 a.m.)	
IV. REPORTS FROM STA STAFF AND OTHER AGENCIES (10:15 – 10:25 a.m.)	
V. CONSENT CALENDAR <i>Recommendation: Approve the following consent items in one motion.</i> (10:25 – 10:30 a.m.)	
A. Minutes of the Consortium Meeting of April 28, 2010 <i>Recommendation:</i> <i>Approve Consortium Meeting Minutes of April 28, 2010.</i> Pg. 1	Johanna Masielat

CONSORTIUM MEMBERS

<u>Rob Sousa</u>	<u>Jeff Matheson</u> Chair	<u>George Fink</u> Vice-Chair	<u>John Andoh</u>	<u>Brian McLean</u>	<u>Jeanine Wooley</u>	<u>Paul Wiese</u>
Benicia Breeze	Dixon Readi-Ride	Fairfield and Suisun Transit (FAST)	Rio Vista Delta Breeze	Vacaville City Coach	Vallejo Transit	County of Solano

VI. ACTION – FINANCIAL ITEMS

- A. **Fiscal Year (FY) 2010-11 Transportation Development Act (TDA) Matrix – June 2010** Elizabeth Richards
Recommendation:
Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the FY 2010-11 TDA Matrix – June 2010 as shown in Attachment A.
(10:35 – 10:40 p.m.)
Pg. 7
- B. **State Transit Assistance Funds (STAF)** Elizabeth Richards
Recommendation:
Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve STAF allocations as shown on Attachment D.
(10:40 – 10:50 p.m.)
Pg. 11
- C. **Lifeline Transportation Funding Program** Liz Niedziela
Recommendation:
Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to authorize the programming of \$616,070 in STAF/Lifeline funds in FY 2009-10 and FY 2010-11 to fund the Lifeline Projects as specified in Attachment E.
(10:50 – 11:00 p.m.)
Pg. 19

VII. ACTION – NON-FINANCIAL ITEMS

- A. **2010 Commute Profile** Elizabeth Richards
Recommendation:
Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the 2010 Solano/Napa Commute Profile.
(11:00 – 11:10 p.m.)
Pg. 27

VIII. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

- A. **SNCI Monthly Issues** Judy Leaks
Informational
(11:10 – 11:15 a.m.)
Pg. 37

NO DISCUSSION

- B. **STA Funding Opportunities Report** Sara Woo
Informational
Pg. 41
- C. **STA Board Meeting Highlights of May 12, 2010** Johanna Masielat
Informational
Pg. 47

IX. INTERCITY TRANSIT OPERATIONS DISCUSSION

Group

X. LOCAL TRANSIT ISSUES

XI. ADJOURNMENT

The next regular meeting of the SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium is scheduled at **10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, June 30, 2010.**

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.



INTERCITY TRANSIT CONSORTIUM
Minutes of the meeting of
April 28, 2010

I. CALL TO ORDER

Vice Chair Matheson called the regular meeting of the SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium to order at approximately 10:00 a.m. in the Solano Transportation Authority Conference Room.

Consortium Present:

Jeff Matheson	Dixon Redit-Ride, Chair
Wayne Lewis	Fairfield and Suisun Transit, Vice Chair
John Andoh	Rio Vista Delta Breeze
Brian McLean	Vacaville City Coach
Jeanine Wooley	Vallejo Transit
Matt Tuggle	County of Solano

Also Present:

Daryl Halls	STA
Elizabeth Richards	STA/SNCI
Liz Niedziela	STA/SNCI
Judy Leaks	STA/SNCI
Robert Macaulay	STA
Robert Guerrero	STA
Johanna Masiclat	STA

Others Present: *(In Alphabetical Order by Last Name)*
None.

II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

On a motion by Brian McLean, and a second by Jeanine Wooley, the Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium approved the agenda.

III. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

None presented.

IV. REPORTS FROM CALTRANS, MTC, AND STA STAFF

Caltrans: None presented.

MTC: None presented.

STA: None presented.

Other: Vanessa Fortney, Solano Office of Education, provided an overview of the Homeless Youth and Transit Program.

V. CONSENT CALENDAR

On a motion by Brian McLean, and a second by John Andoh, the Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium unanimously approved consent calendar item A and B.

A. Minutes of the Consortium Meeting of February 24, 2010

Recommendation:

Approve Consortium Meeting Minutes of February 24, 2010.

B. Safe Routes to Transit Plan Scope of Work

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to authorize the Executive Director to issue a Request for Qualifications and enter into a Consultant Contract for Safe Routes to Transit Plan based upon the Scope of Work in Attachment A.

VI. ACTION FINANCIAL ITEMS

A. Intercity Transit Funding Agreement Fiscal Year (FY) 2010-11

Elizabeth Richards reviewed the proposed FY 2010-11 Intercity Transit Funding Agreement Cost-Sharing with comparison with the previous two years' contributions.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to:

1. Approve the Transit Operating RM 2 Funding Plan as shown on Attachment B;
2. Approve the FY 2010-11 Cost-Sharing Intercity Transit Funding Agreement amounts as shown on Attachment C; and
3. Authorize the Executive Director to enter into a funding agreement with the seven local funding partners.

On a motion by Brian McLean, and a second by Jeanine Wooley, the Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium approved the recommendation.

B. Fiscal Year (FY) 2010-11 Transportation Development Act (TDA) Matrix – May 2010

Elizabeth Richards reviewed the ITF amounts proposed for intercity transit funding, intercity taxi program and carryover adjustments added to the May version of the TDA matrix. She noted that MTC projects the amount of TDA carryover from FY 2009-10 to FY 2010-11 based on allocations processed through December 31st. She indicated that this category was added because Solano had a couple of sizeable allocations totaling over \$1 million that did not occur before December 31st and should be taken into account when projecting the total TDA balance of funds available for programming in FY 2010-11.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the FY 2010-11 TDA Matrix – May 2010 as shown in Attachment A.

On a motion by Brian McLean, and a second by Wayne Lewis, the Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium approved the recommendation.

VII. ACTION – NON-FINANCIAL ITEMS

A. Approval of STA’s Overall Work Plan (OWP) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2010-11 and FY 2011-12

Daryl Halls cited that at their April 14th meeting, the STA Board unanimously approved a recommendation from the State Transportation Improvement Authority (STIA) regarding additional evaluation of a series of seven new revenue options. He specified that this included adding to the OWP a Public Private Partnership (P3) Feasibility Study focused on several new and/or expanded transit centers.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the STA’s Fiscal Year (FY) 2010-11 and FY 2011-12 Overall Work Program (OWP) as specified in Attachment A.

On a motion by Wayne Lewis, and a second by Brian McLean, the Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium approved the recommendation.

B. Intercity Transit Ridership Study

Elizabeth Richards reviewed the Intercity Transit Ridership Study for Fairfield and Suisun (FAST) Transit, Vallejo Transit, and Vallejo Baylink Ferry/Route 200. She cited that the data collected in October and November 2009 consisted of passengers on/off counts and an on-board survey to assist in collecting data to support the Intercity Transit Funding Agreement and compare across routes and systems.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the 2009 Intercity Transit Ridership Study Reports.

On a motion by Wayne Lewis, and a second by Jeanine Wooley, the Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium approved the recommendation.

C. Unmet Transit Needs Comments for FY 2010-11

Liz Niedziela reviewed MTC's key issues of concern as well as all the responses collected from Solano County's transit operators. She reviewed the schedule to submit the responses to MTC. She stated that if the timeline is not followed, MTC staff who handles the TDA claims may have time constraints handling the Unmet Needs Response along with all the regional TDA claims which peak in June.

After discussion, the Consortium approved the recommendation subject to additional changes made by MTC.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the following:

1. The FY 2010-11 Unmet Transit Needs response as specified in Attachment B; and
2. Authorize the Executive Director to submit the FY 2009-10 Unmet Transit Needs response to MTC.

On a motion by Wayne Lewis, and a second by Brian McLean, the Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium approved the recommendation subject to additional changes made by MTC.

VIII. INFORMATION ITEMS

A. Summary of Local Transportation Funding Options

Daryl Halls cited that on April 14, 2010, the STA Board approved adding a Public Private Partnership Feasibility Study focused on new/expanding transit centers to the STA's Overall Work Plan (OWP) and authorized the Executive Director to conduct a public opinion poll to help gauge the feasibility of voter support for a SB 83 DMV fee expenditure plan.

B. Solano Senior and Disabled Advisory Committee Update

Elizabeth Richards reviewed the status of the committee membership and draft agenda for the initial meeting scheduled at 1:00 p.m., May 18, 2010 at the Solano County of Government Center.

C. SNCI Monthly Issues

Judy Leaks provided an update to the Consortium on transit schedule status, marketing, promotions, and Bike to Work Day on May 13, 2010.

NO DISCUSSION

D. STA Board Meeting Highlights of April 14, 2010

E. STIA Board Meeting Highlights of April 14, 2010

F. STA Funding Opportunities Report

IX. INTERCITY TRANSIT OPERATIONS DISCUSSION

X. LOCAL TRANSIT ISSUES

XI. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 11:05 a.m. The next regular meeting of the SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium is scheduled at **10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, May 26, 2010.**

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.



DATE: May 17, 2010
TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
FROM: Elizabeth Richards, Director of Transit and Rideshare Services
RE: Fiscal Year (FY) 2010-11 Transportation Development Act (TDA) Matrix – June 2010

Background:

The Transportation Development Act (TDA) of 1971 established two sources of funds that provide support for public transportation services statewide – the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) and the Public Transportation Account (PTA). Solano County receives TDA funds through the LTF and State Transit Assistance Funds (STAF) through the PTA. The new TDA and STAF FY 2010-11 revenue projections were approved by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) in February 2010 as required by State statute. The initial estimate is shown on the Solano FY 2010-11 TDA matrix (Attachment A).

The FY 2010-11 TDA fund estimate includes FY 2009-10 commitments through December 31, 2009. For jurisdictions that had claims processed toward the end of the calendar year or in early 2010, the MTC ‘available for allocation’ estimates needed further adjustment to take these later allocations into account. A column has been added to the TDA matrix to take these into account.

MTC is required to use County Auditor estimates for TDA revenues. TDA is generated from a percentage of countywide sales tax and distributed to local jurisdictions based on population share. Given the economic downturn, sales tax and TDA have decreased and will remain suppressed until the economy improves. Staff reemphasizes that these TDA figures are revenue *estimates*. With the existing fiscal uncertainty, the TDA amounts are not guaranteed and should not be 100% claimed to avoid fiscal difficulties if the actual revenues are lower than the projections.

Discussion:

The TDA matrix is developed to guide MTC as they review allocations from Solano jurisdictions and to prevent any jurisdictions’ TDA balances being over-subscribed. Tracking various allocations is essential given the amount of cross claiming of TDA in Solano for various shared cost transit services. One of the major services shared by multiple jurisdictions is the seven major intercity routes covered in the Intercity Transit Funding agreement. The Board approved the shares for FY 2010-11 at their May 2010 Board meeting and these have been included on the TDA matrix. Also in May, the STA Board approved the multiple operators’ TDA shares for the new intercity taxi program.

The City of Vacaville has recently prepared their TDA claim. Vacaville is claiming TDA for both operating and capital needs. Nearly \$1 million is being claimed for operating and \$1.2 million is being claimed for capital. It is has been added to, and is consistent with, the TDA matrix. This is the first TDA claim submitted for FY 2010-11 and is only update at this time.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the FY 2010-11 TDA Matrix – June 2010 as shown in Attachment A.

Attachment:

- A. FY 2010-11 TDA Matrix – June 2010 (An enlarged color copy has been provided to the committee members under separate enclosure and is available upon request by contacting the STA at (707) 424-6075.)

FY2010-11 TDA Matrix -June 2010 version

051710 - v5

FY 2010-11

AGENCY	TDA Est from MTC (1)	Projected Carryover (1)	Available for Allocation (1)	Adjustments for FY10 claims allocated after 12/31/09	Paratransit		Local Service					Intercity										Total	Balance				
					ADA Subsidized Intercity Taxi Phase I (3)	Paratransit	Benicia Breeze	Dixon Read-Ride	FAST	Rio Vista Delta Breeze	Vacaville City Coach	Vallejo Transit	FAST		FAST	Vjo T	Vjo T	Vjo T	FAST	FAST	VJO T			STA Planning	STA/VV STIP swap	Transit Capital	Streets & Roads
													Rt 20	Rt 30	Rt 40	Rt. 78	Rt. 80	Rt 85	Rt. 90	Intercity Subtotal	Intercity Subtotal						
	2/24/2010	2/24/2010	FY 10-11		(3)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(4)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)			
Benicia	856,130	821,354	1,677,484	883,548	12,750								\$ 2,512	\$ 3,048	\$ 8,372	\$ 51,294	\$ (1,665)	\$ (3,382)	\$ 5,483	\$ 19,415	\$ 46,247	\$ 23,847				\$ 985,807	691,677
Dixon	537,755	45,287	583,042	65,199	1,989								\$ 1,577	\$ 38,898	\$ 10,025	\$ 1,379	\$ (338)	\$ (5,509)	\$ 5,739	\$ 56,239	\$ (4,468)	\$ 14,982				\$ 133,941	449,101
Fairfield	3,257,193	2,982,412	6,239,605	876,469	106,080								\$ 68,766	\$ 76,660	\$ 148,334	\$ 10,671	\$ (10,866)	\$ (45,522)	\$173,342	\$ 467,102	\$ (45,717)	\$ 90,994				\$ 1,494,928	4,744,677
Rio Vista	251,603	221,983	473,586	52,805	1,530														0	\$ -	\$ 6,879				\$ 61,214	412,372	
Suisun City	883,029	-48,950	834,079	51,913									\$ 14,572	\$ 16,956	\$ 69,852	\$ 5,146	\$ (1,934)	\$ (19,848)	\$ 62,546	\$ 163,926	\$ (16,636)	\$ 24,031				\$ 223,234	610,845
Vacaville	2,951,487	610,418	3,561,905	161,052	73,644					748,017			\$ 76,541	\$ 87,289	\$ 83,845	\$ 9,119	\$ 440	\$ (11,016)	\$ 64,059	\$ 311,734	\$ (1,457)	\$ 82,601	\$ 750,000	1,274,000	\$ 3,399,591	162,314	
Vallejo	3,704,430	1,947,429	5,651,859	165,460	42,500								\$ 14,908	\$ 36,238	\$ 28,249	\$ 79,785	\$ (18,354)	\$ (29,979)	\$ 20,477	\$ 99,872	\$ 31,452	\$ 103,222				\$ 442,506	5,209,353
Solano County	616,798	467,143	1,083,941	539,101	7,650								\$ 14,178	\$ 19,932	\$ 22,214	\$ 17,485	\$ 19,846	\$ 8,418	\$ 23,772	\$ 80,096	\$ 45,749	\$ 17,203				\$ 689,799	394,142
Total	13,058,425	7,047,076	20,105,501	2,795,547	246,143																					\$ 7,431,020	12,674,481

NOTES:
 Background colors on Rt. Headings denote operator of intercity route
 Background colors denote which jurisdiction is claiming funds

- (1) MTC February 24, 2010 estimate; Reso 3939
- (2) Adjusted for FY10 claims allocated after 12/21/09
- (3) Claimed by Vacaville; amounts as agreed to by local jurisdictions
- (4) Includes flex routes, paratransit, local subsidized taxi
- (5)
- (6)
- (7)
- (8) Net Due and Consistent with FY2010-11 Intercity Transit Funding Agreement and FY2008-09 Reconciliation
- (9) Claimed by STA from all agencies per formula
- (10) Second and final year of swap
- (11) Transit Capital purchases include bus purchases, maintenance facilities, etc.
- (12) TDA funds can be used for repairs of local streets and roads if Solano County does not have transit needs that can reasonably be met.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.



DATE: May 17, 2010
TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
FROM: Elizabeth Richards, Director of Transit and Rideshare Services
RE: State Transit Assistance Funds (STAF) Status

Background:

The Transportation Development Act (TDA) of 1971 established two sources of funds that provide support for public transportation services statewide – the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) and the Public Transportation Account (PTA). Solano County receives TDA funds through the LTF and State Transit Assistance Funds (STAF) through the PTA. State law specifies that STAF funds be used to provide financial assistance for public transportation, including funding for transit planning, operations and capital acquisition projects.

For several years prior to Fiscal Year (FY) 2006-07, Solano County's share of STAF funds had been about \$0.5 million per year. Due to a variety of factors, the STAF funds in FY 2006-07 were unusually high and Solano County received \$3 million of STAF funds. In FY 2007-08, STAF funds were reduced, but higher than the levels normally seen in the years before FY 2006-07.

In FY 2008-09, the STAF revenue estimates decreased from the initial estimates during the course of the development of the State budget. Project lists were prioritized and all projects were funded, but a recently created intercity transit vehicle reserve was eliminated.

STAF funds have been used for a wide range of activities, including providing funds for STA transit programs administration, transit studies, transit marketing activities, matching funds for the purchase of new intercity buses and covering new bus purchase shortfalls on start-up new intercity services when the need arises. STAF funds must be spent in the fiscal year they are allocated.

Discussion:

In FY 2009-10, there was great uncertainty surrounding the State Transit Assistance Funds. The State Budget approved for FY 2009-10 included a provision to completely suspend all STAF funding in FY 2009-10 and for several years forward. A lawsuit was filed by the California Transit Association (CTA) against the State to reinstate flow of State Transit Assistance funds for transit purposes and away from the General Fund to which it had been diverted. The court ruled in favor of the CTA. Before the STAF funds could flow, legislation was passed that stopped the flow of funds from the PTA to the STAF. Subsequently legislation was passed (AB6 and AB9) and included in the budget package signed by the Governor in March 2010 that was a one-time allocation of \$400 million in

State Transit Assistance funds. The allocation was intended to provide public transit funding for both FY 2009-10 and FY 2010-11. The earliest the funding can be made available will be in the latter part of June 2010 (for more details see MTC memo attached (Attachment A). MTC's STAF fund estimates scheduled to be approved in late June are shown on Attachments B (revenue-based) and C (population-based).

As the STAF funding remains volatile and unpredictable, staff has been conservative in recommending allocating to projects with the focus on one time capital oriented investments rather than longer term operating. At this time, only a few projects are proposed to be funded with STAF population-based funding. These are shown on Attachment D.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve STAF allocations as shown on Attachment D.

Attachments:

- A. MTC 5/5/10 Memo RE; Fund Estimate Revision to Incorporate FY 2010-11 State Transit Assistance funds
- B. STAF Fund Estimate (Revenue-Based)
- C. STAF Fund Estimate (Population-Based)
- D. Proposed Solano STAF Population-based FY 2011 Project List

TFWG Item 16



METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION

Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter
101 Eighth Street
Oakland, CA 94607-4700
Tel: 510.464.7700
TDD/TTY: 510.464.7769
Fax: 510.464.7848

Memorandum

TO: Transit Finance Working Group

DATE: May 5, 2010

FR: Theresa Romell, Mathew Adamo

RE: Fund Estimate Revision to Incorporate FY10 – FY11 State Transit Assistance

Included in the AB6 and AB9 budget package signed by the Governor in March, was a one-time allocation of \$400 million in State Transit Assistance (STA) funding. The allocation was intended to provide public transit funding for both Fiscal Years 2009-10 and 2010-11. Since the legislation does not take effect until 90 days after it was signed by the Governor, the earliest that funding can be made available will be in the latter part of June. The State Controller's office does not expect to have the revised revenue factors – the basis for determining each operator's share of the statewide funding – until mid to late June as well.

MTC staff is preparing a revision to the FY 2010-11 Fund Estimate in order to make the region's share of the \$400 million in STA funding (approximately \$147 million) available for allocation. The Fund Estimate revision will be brought to the Commission for approval in June.

Attached to this item you will find a preliminary estimate of the STA shares by agency. It is important to note that the underlying distribution factors used to produce the draft shares are FY 2008-09. As such the official factors used by the State Controller to determine the actual distribution of funds will likely vary from what is shown. Minor variations could be possible on the population-based side as well, depending on whether there is a swing in the region's population share, relative to the State's. MTC will only be able to disburse funds up to the amount that is actually made available by the State Controller, which could be less than the allocated amount based on the final factors. Operators are encouraged to consider this fact when preparing their claim.

Requests for STA Funds

If your agency intends to request an allocation of STA for FY 2009-10, please request that allocation through an e-mail to Bob Bates at bbates@mtc.ca.gov. STA requests for FY 2010-11 should be included in the FY 2010-11 TDA claim. Requests are due to MTC by May 1st, or as soon as possible thereafter, to ensure process time for a June allocation.

Disbursements of STA Funds

In the first or second week of July, the State Controller is expected to make the entire \$400 million statewide in STA funds available in a lump sum payment, rather than in the normal quarterly payments, provided that the State has sufficient cash flow ability to cover the

payments. Therefore, MTC will be able to release funds against allocations once the state has made payment.

Final Adjustment of STA Funds

In keeping with existing practice, MTC intends to revise the FY 2010-11 Fund Estimate in July, in order to reconcile actual funding received in FY 2009-10, with allocations made for that year. At that time, allocations made that exceed actual revenue available will be rescinded and any funds in excess of what had been projected will be made available to claimants, if requested. In conjunction with this annual "reconciliation", MTC will adjust STA revenue estimates and make any necessary rescission or allocations to match the final STA figures.

J:\COMMITTEE\Partnership\Partnership TFWG_Transit Finance WG\2010\10 Memos\05_May\16_Fund Estimate Revision Update.doc

**DRAFT FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE
STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE
REVENUE-BASED FUNDS (PUC 99314)**

*Attachment A
Res No. 3939
Page 11 of 16
June 23, 2010*

	A		B		C		D=Sum(A:C)		E		F=Sum(D:E)	
	6/30/09	Balance (w/interest) ¹	FY 2008-10	Outstanding Commitments ²	FY 2009-10	Revenue Estimate ³	6/30/10	Projected Carryover	FY 2010 & FY 2011	Revenue Estimate ⁴	Total	Available For Allocation
FY 2009-10 Original Base Revenue Estimates	0											2,055,794
FY 2009-10 Actual Base Revenue	0											108,232,749
FY 2009-10 Total Revenue	0											
FY 2009-10 Total Revenue Adjustment	0											
<i>Column</i>												110,288,543
Apportionment Jurisdictions												
Alameda CMA - Corresponding to ACE	1,141,888	1						1,141,888		174,186	1,316,074	
Benicia	3,792,158	12	(3,630,462)				161,696	1		18,245	18,246	
Caltrain	23,930	12					23,930	12		5,131,112	5,292,808	
CCCTA	173,910	33	(179,021)				(5,111)			586,740	586,752	
Dixon	665,406	41					665,406			5,259	29,189	
ECCTA	4,164	5					4,164			261,798	256,687	
Fairfield		1								110,580	775,986	
GGBHTD		1								4,213,035	4,213,068	
Healdsburg		506								3,811	7,975	
LAVTA		400								201,023	201,028	
NCPTA		6	(506)							41,140	41,141	
Rio Vista		30,165								1,311	1,311	
SamTrans		45,947						400		5,222,402	5,222,802	
Santa Rosa		6						6		138,117	138,123	
Sonoma County Transit		1	(79,019)				(48,854)			160,199	111,345	
Union City		31								35,427	35,428	
Vallejo		10,298						45,947		658,003	703,950	
VTA		4	(4,873)					31		15,505,344	15,505,375	
VTA - Corresponding to ACE		41						10,298		243,864	254,162	
WestCAT		41						(4,869)		286,036	281,167	
Petaluma								41		-	41	
SUBTOTAL	5,888,907		(3,893,881)				1,995,026			32,997,631	34,992,657	
AC Transit	43,195		(41,405)				1,790			13,061,448	13,063,238	
BART	1,578		(1,024)				554			26,216,459	26,217,013	
SFMTA	6,690,145		(6,631,721)				58,424			35,957,211	36,015,635	
SUBTOTAL	6,734,918		(6,674,150)				60,768			75,235,117	75,295,885	
GRAND TOTAL	12,623,825		(10,568,031)				2,055,794			108,232,749	110,288,543	

1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.
 2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations as of March 31, 2010.
 3. The FY 2009-10 STA Fund Estimate is based on \$0 in STA statewide per the enacted FY 2009-10 budget.
 4. The FY 2010 & FY 2011 STA Revenue Estimate includes all funds to be released by the state in June 2010, which includes funds for FY 2010 and FY 2011.
- The revenue factors are based on FY 2008-09 and will be updated.

Attachment A Ref No. 3939 Page 12 of 16 June 23, 2010									
DRAFT FY 2010-11 FUND ESTIMATE STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE POPULATION-BASED FUNDS (PUC 99313)									
Column	A		B		C		E		F=Sum(D-E) Total
	6/30/09	FY 2009-10	FY 2008-10	FY 2009-10	6/30/10	FY 2010 & FY 2011	Revenue Estimate ⁵	Revenue Estimate ⁵	
Apportnment Jurisdictions	Balance (w/interest) ¹	Revenue Estimate ^{3,4}	Outstanding Commitments ²	Revenue Estimate ^{3,4}	Projected Carryover	Projected Carryover	Revenue Estimate ⁵	Revenue Estimate ⁵	Available For Allocation
FY 2009-10 Original Base Revenue Estimates (Includes Augmentation) 0 FY 2009-10 Actual Base Revenue (Includes Augmentation) 13,320,000 FY 2009-10 Total Revenue 13,320,000 FY 2009-10 Revenue Adjustment 13,320,000 FY 2009-10 Projected Carryover 20,495,351 FY 2010-11 Base Funds 38,365,036 Res. 3814 Augmentation Transfer FY 2010-11 Subtotal 38,365,036 Res. 3814 Augmentation Transfer Total Funds Available 58,860,387									
Northern Counties/Small Operators									
Marin	141	400,122	(400,122)		141		1,152,454		1,152,595
Napa	419,630	212,498	(630,227)		1,901		612,049		613,950
Solano	934,351	663,367	(548,396)		1,049,322		1,910,669		2,959,991
Sonoma	948,553	746,020	(1,096,625)		597,948		2,148,730		2,746,678
CCCTA	270	770,157	-		770,427		2,988,678		2,988,678
ECCTA	569,302	450,449	(558,263)		461,488		1,297,410		1,758,898
LAVTA	667,586	312,428	(652,661)		327,353		899,875		1,227,228
Union City	44	114,112	(114,112)		44		328,671		328,715
WestCAT	45	108,568	-		108,613		312,703		421,316
Vallejo	104,482	-	-		104,482		104,482		104,482
SUBTOTAL	3,644,404	3,777,721	(4,000,406)		3,421,719		10,880,812		14,302,531
Regional Paratransit									
Alameda	164	483,378	(16,918)		466,624		1,392,253		1,858,877
Contra Costa	85	249,798	-		249,883		719,484		969,367
Marin	18	55,807	(55,807)		18		160,740		160,758
Napa	14	36,445	(36,445)		14		104,970		104,984
San Francisco	134	381,640	(381,640)		134		1,099,221		1,099,355
San Mateo	77	211,315	(211,315)		77		608,642		608,719
Santa Clara	113,783	437,822	-		551,605		1,261,041		1,812,646
Solano	90,902	103,962	(69,677)		194,864		299,438		494,302
Sonoma	40	115,587	-		45,950		332,919		378,869
SUBTOTAL	205,217	2,075,754	(771,802)		1,509,169		5,978,708		7,487,877
Lifeline									
Alameda	5,118,627	573,589	(5,297,210)		395,006		3,069,147		3,464,153
Contra Costa	2,335,141	570,831	(2,206,355)		699,617		1,400,158		2,099,775
Marin	504,391	123,300	(625,160)		2,531		302,434		304,965
Napa	317,579	77,633	(322,931)		72,281		190,422		262,703
San Francisco	2,820,850	689,565	(2,115,945)		1,394,470		1,691,391		3,085,861
San Mateo	1,326,360	324,233	(266,510)		1,384,083		795,290		2,179,373
Santa Clara	4,053,805	990,964	(3,187,730)		1,857,039		2,430,675		4,287,714
Solano	1,027,460	251,166	(749,492)		529,134		616,070		1,145,204
Sonoma	1,176,912	287,699	(535,525)		929,086		705,680		1,634,766
SUBTOTAL	18,681,125	3,888,980	(15,306,858)		7,263,247		11,201,265		18,464,512
BART to Warm Springs	320,373	-	-		320,373		-		320,373
eBART	320,373	-	-		320,373		-		320,373
SamTrans	37,765	-	-		37,765		-		37,765
MTC Regional Coordination Program	8,247,353	3,577,545	(4,202,193)		7,622,705		10,304,251		17,926,956
GRAND TOTAL	31,456,610	13,320,000	(24,281,259)		20,495,351		38,365,036		58,860,387

1. Balance as of 6/30/09 is from MTC FY 2008-09 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.
 2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2009, and FY 2009-10 allocations as of March 31, 2010.
 3. These figures reflect MTC's distribution of \$15.3 million to operators from the MTC Augmentation Fund
 4. The FY 2009-10 STA Fund Estimate is based on \$0 in STA statewide per the enacted FY 2009-10 budget.
 5. The FY 2010 & FY 2011 STA Revenue Estimate includes all funds to be released by the state in June 2010, which includes funds for FY 2010 and FY 2011

State Transit Assistance Funds (STAF)
FY 2010-11

Solano: Population-Based

New Projects

FY2010-11

Benicia Transit Site Plan	Benicia	\$	25,000
P3 (Public Private Partnerships) at Transit Facilities Study	STA	\$	125,000
SolTrans Interim Executive Director	STA	\$	75,000
SolTransit Transitional Costs Match	STA/Vjo/Ben		TBD
Intercity Transit Vehicle Replacement Match Reserve	Vjo/FF		TBD
SR12 Jameson Canyon Innovative Grant Match	TBD		TBD
Total		\$	225,000

Solano: Regional Paratransit

New Projects

FY2010-11

Senior Disabled Transportation Study and Committee Outreach	STA	\$	50,000
SB83 Expenditure Plan	STA	\$	50,000
Total		\$	100,000

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.



DATE: May 18, 2010
TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
FROM: Liz Niedziela, Transit Program Manager/Analyst
RE: Lifeline Transportation Funding Program

Background:

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission's (MTC) Lifeline Transportation Funding Program is intended to improve mobility for residents of low-income communities and, more specifically, to fund solutions identified through the community based transportation plans. Each community's needs are unique and will therefore require different solutions to address local circumstances. In Solano and other counties, these funds have been used to fund Welfare to Work and Community Based Transportation Planning priority projects. MTC delegated the management of the Solano County's Lifeline Program to the Solano Transportation Authority (STA).

MTC allocated Federal Job Access Reverse Commute (JARC), Proposition 1B, and State Transit Assistance Funds (STAF) funds to the Lifeline program. The STAF funding was reduced after the FY 2008-09 State budget was finalized and further reductions were made with the suspension of STAF funds. While the additional cuts to STAF affected Tier 1 of the Lifeline Program, the suspension of STAF funds eliminated Tier 2 completely. The total STAF made available to Solano's Lifeline Program in the last cycle was \$1,044,776 reduced from the original \$1.9 million. STA announced a call for projects and applicants submitted Lifeline applications to be evaluated.

A Lifeline Advisory Committee was appointed to evaluate and prioritize the Lifeline projects. In 2008, Lifeline STAF funds were made available for allocation, the Lifeline Committee reviewed the project applications and developed a consensus recommendation to the STA Board (Attachment A). With anticipated further cuts by the State to the STAF funds, the Lifeline Advisory Committee ranked the recommended projects in a priority order of which projects to be funded first. Projects were evaluated and ranked based on project need, their consistency with the priorities of the Community Based or Welfare to Work Transportation Plans, cost-effectiveness, implementation plan, budget, coordination and outreach and approved by the Board on September and December 2008.

With the reduced amount of STAF/Lifeline funds available, the first two priority projects (Vallejo Transit's Rt. 85 and Rt. 1) could be funded for three years and the third project (Dixon's Weekday/Saturday Redit-Ride service) could be partially funded for one year (Attachment B). Dixon received \$69,776 of the \$384,645 requested.

MTC announced in September 2009 that supplemental STAF/Lifeline funds of \$228,698 designated for Solano have been made available and could be programmed for use. Using the prioritized list approved by the Board, the next project applicant was Dixon Redit-Ride since they were not fully funded. Dixon indicated the funds were still needed and the STA

Board approved Dixon Read-Ride to claim the funding for existing Saturday and Weekday service for the period of three years. After receiving the supplemental STAF funds, Dixon Read-Ride will only be \$86,171 short of the requested amount (Attachment C).

Discussion:

Included in the AB6 and AB9 State Budget package signed by the Governor in March, was a one-time allocation of \$400 million in STAF funding to provide public transit funding for both FY 2009–10 and FY 2010–11. The estimated amount available to the Lifeline Program for Solano is \$616,070 (Attachment D).

MTC received preliminary feedback both from transit operators and from CMA staff responsible for administering the Lifeline program that getting these funds out quickly was of paramount concern given many transit operators' financial challenges.

Fiscal Impact:

STA staff is recommending the programming of STAF Lifeline Funds that have been allocated to Solano County by MTC. There is no impact on the STA budget.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to authorize the programming of \$616,070 in STAF/Lifeline funds in FY 2009-10 and FY 2010-11 to fund the Lifeline Projects as specified in Attachment E.

Attachments:

- A. Prioritized Lifeline Projects for Solano County FY 2008-2011
- B. Lifeline Projects Approved by STA Board December 2008
- C. Supplemental Funding Lifeline Funding Approved by STA Board September 2009
- D. MTC Lifeline FY10 & FY11 STA Funds Memo
- E. Draft STAF Lifeline Projects for FY 2009-10 and FY 2010-11 (To be provided under separate cover.)

Solano County
Recommended Lifeline Projects
2009-2011

STAF Lifeline			Tier 1		Tier 1	Tier 2	Total/Project	
Operator	Project	New/Existing	Year 1	Year 2	Subtotal	Year 3		
1	Vallejo	Route 85	Existing	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 375,000
2	Vallejo	Route 1	Existing	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 600,000
3	Dixon	Saturday/Weekday Service	Existing	\$ 111,617	\$ 136,514	\$ 248,131	\$ 136,514	\$ 384,645
4	Fairfield	Route 30 Saturday Service	Existing	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 100,000
5	STA	Spanish Translation	New	\$ -	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 50,000
6	Fairfield	Downtown Flex Shuttle	New	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 270,000
7	Vallejo	Taxi Scrip	Existing	\$ 55,292	\$ 55,292	\$ 110,584	\$ 20,411	\$ 130,995
Sub total				\$ 581,909	\$ 681,806	\$ 1,263,715	\$ 646,925	\$ 1,910,640
Total by Tier					\$ 1,263,715		\$ 646,925	\$ 1,910,640
Available					\$ 1,263,715		\$ 646,925	\$ 1,910,640
Difference					\$ -		\$ -	\$ -

8	Benicia	Route 22	Existing	\$ 60,000	\$ 60,000		\$ 60,000	\$ 180,000
9	Rio Vista	Route 50	Existing	\$ -	\$ 50,000		\$ 52,000	\$ 102,000

Prop 1B Lifeline			Tier 1			Tier 2	Total/Project	
Operator	Project	New/Existing	Year 1	Year 2	Subtotal	Year 3		
1	Dixon	Van	Existing	\$ 60,000		\$ 60,000		\$ 60,000
2	Fairfield	Shelters	New/Existing	\$ 300,000		\$ 300,000	\$ 110,000	\$ 410,000
3	Vallejo	Shelters	New/Existing	\$ 85,147	\$ 275,863	\$ 361,010	\$ 400,004	\$ 761,014
4	Vacaville	Shelters	New	\$ 109,800		\$ 109,800		\$ 109,800
5	Dixon	Van (local match)	Existing		\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000		\$ 15,000
6	Fairfield	Replacement Vehicles	Existing	\$ 41,600		\$ 41,600		\$ 41,600
7	Fairfield	Downtown Flex Shuttle	New				\$ 60,000	\$ 60,000
Sub total				\$ 596,547	\$ 290,863		\$ 570,004	\$ 1,457,414
Total by Tier					\$ 887,410		\$ 570,004	\$ 1,457,414
Available					\$ 887,410		\$ 570,004	\$ 1,457,414
Difference					\$ -		\$ -	\$ -

LIFELINE FUNDING TIER 1

Funding Source	Grant Recipient	Operating Funds	Project Description	Total
JARC	Vallejo Transit	2 Years	Extending Route 5 to serve Vallejo campus of Solano Community College	\$250,000
JARC	Benicia CAC	2 Years	DRIVES/CARS - Assisting low income families to acquire a car to commute to work	\$30,000
JARC	FAST		Installation of Bike Racks onto MCI express route buses	\$45,000
JARC	FAST	1 Year	Route 2 Frequency for Travis AFB Shuttle	\$91,834
TOTAL JARC				\$416,834
STAF	Vallejo Transit	3 Years	Route 85 - Sustaining Service	\$ 375,000
STAF	Vallejo Transit	3 Years	Route 1 - Sustaining Service	\$ 600,000
STAF	Dixon Read-Ride	3 Years	Saturday Service continued	\$ 69,776
TOTAL STAF				\$ 1,044,776
Prop 1B	Dixon Read-Ride		Bus Replacement	\$ 60,000
Prop 1B	FAST		Bus Stop and Shelter Improvements	\$ 410,000
Prop 1B	Vallejo Transit		Bus Shelters	\$ 761,014
Prop 1B	Vacaville City Coach		Bus Shelters	\$ 109,800
Prop 1B	Dixon Read-Ride		Bus (local match)	\$ 15,000
Prop 1B	FAST		Replacement Vehicle	\$ 41,600
Prop 1B	FAST		Downtown Flex Shuttle	\$ 60,000
TOTAL PROP 1B				\$ 1,457,414
TOTAL Lifeline Funds Awarded				\$ 2,919,024

LIFELINE FUNDING

			Total
JARC	Vallejo Transit	Expanding Route 5 to Vallejo Campus	\$250,000
JARC	Benicia CAC	DRIVES/CARS Programs	\$30,000
JARC	FAST	Installation of MCI Luggage/Bike Racks	\$45,000
JARC	FAST	Route 2 Frequency for Travis AFB Shuttle	\$91,834
TOTAL JARC			\$416,834
STAF	Vallejo Transit	Route 85 - Sustaining	\$ 375,000
STAF	Vallejo Transit	Route 1 - Sustaining	\$ 600,000
STAF	Dixon Read-Ride	Saturday and Weekday Service	\$ 298,474
TOTAL STAF			\$ 1,273,474
Prop 1B	Dixon Read-Ride	Bus Replacement	\$ 60,000
Prop 1B	FAST	Shelters	\$ 419,088
Prop 1B	Vallejo Transit	Shelters	\$ 761,014
Prop 1B	Vacaville City Coach	Shelters	\$ 109,800
Prop 1B	Dixon Read-Ride	Bus (local match)	\$ 15,000
Prop 1B	FAST	Replacement Vehicle	\$ 41,600
Prop 1B	FAST	Downtown Flex Shuttle	\$ 60,000
TOTAL PROP 1B			\$ 1,466,502
TOTAL Lifeline Funds Awarded			\$ 3,156,810

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.



**METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION**

Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter
101 Eighth Street
Oakland, CA 94607-4700
TEL 510.817.5700
TDD/TTY 510.817.5769
FAX 510.817.5848
E-MAIL info@mtc.ca.gov
WEB www.mtc.ca.gov

Memorandum

TO: Transit Finance Working Group

DATE: May 5, 2010

FR: Jennifer Yeamans

RE: Lifeline FY10 & FY11 STA Funds

Background

The Second Cycle Lifeline Transportation Program includes State Transit Assistance (STA), Proposition 1B, and FTA JARC funding for the three-year period FY 09–11, and is administered locally by county Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs). State reductions to the STA program during the Second Cycle resulted in a net loss of \$12.8 million in Years 2 and 3 of the program.

Included in the AB6 and AB9 budget package signed by the Governor in March, was a one-time allocation of \$400 million in STA funding to provide public transit funding for both Fiscal Years 2009–10 and 2010–11. Per the STA Consolidated Policy, the estimated amounts available to the Lifeline Program by county are:

County	FY 10 & 11
Alameda	\$3,069,147
Contra Costa	\$1,400,158
Marin	\$302,434
Napa	\$190,422
San Francisco	\$1,691,391
San Mateo	\$795,290
Santa Clara	\$2,430,675
Solano	\$616,070
Sonoma	\$705,680
Total	\$11,201,265

Staff received preliminary feedback both from transit operators and from CMA staff responsible for administering the Lifeline program that getting these funds out quickly was of paramount concern given many transit operators' financial challenges.

Recommendation

- 1) Conduct a streamlined, interim programming exercise outside of the Cycle 2 Lifeline program to allow transit operators to claim available FY10 & FY11 Lifeline STA funds directly, concurrent with the June revision of the FY11 Fund Estimate. This approach suspends the existing Lifeline program guidelines for a one-year period. As part of this recommendation, transit operators would be asked to provide justification/rationale for how the funds allocated will meet Lifeline goals.
 - In counties with multiple operators, CMAs will work with transit operators to develop a distribution mechanism for the county's available funding. MTC will not approve allocation requests from operators in these counties until board actions confirming the distribution amounts are received from CMAs.

- For transit operators that serve multiple counties, CMAs will be encouraged to coordinate their discussions of distributions to address these agencies’ Lifeline operating needs.

2) Roll FY12 and future STA funds into a later Cycle 3 program, to be programmed in mid-2011 following an outside evaluation of the Lifeline program.

MTC staff is still considering the programming of FY11 Proposition 1B funds for Lifeline, which will not be part of the interim FY10 & FY11 STA programming process. Staff is interested in feedback on this and will present a recommendation for FY11 Prop. 1B Lifeline funds at a later date.

Staff plans to bring this recommendation to the Commission for approval in June concurrent with the revision of the FY11 Fund Estimate.

Next Steps

Below is the proposed schedule for distribution and disbursement of the FY10 & FY11 Lifeline STA funds:

May	CMAs in counties with multiple transit operators initiate discussions with agencies on funding distribution mechanisms for Lifeline transit needs, and notify MTC of planned next steps.
June 23	Commission approves revised FY11 Fund Estimate and MTC policy on STA Lifeline fund distribution for FY10–11.
June 23– September 30	<p>Transit operators in counties with a single operator may submit claims as soon as the revised FY11 Fund Estimate and Lifeline FY10–11 STA policy are approved.</p> <p>Operators in counties with multiple operators may make claims as soon as CMAs’ approved distributions for operators within their counties are received by MTC following Commission adoption of the FY11 Fund Estimate and Lifeline FY10–11 STA policy. CMAs are responsible for notifying transit operators when their board actions have been forwarded to MTC.</p>



DATE: May 17, 2010
TO: STA TAC
FROM: Elizabeth Richards, Director of Transit and Rideshare Services
RE: 2010 Commute Profile

Background:

From 1992 until 2005, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) conducted annual Commute Profile reports for the Bay Area through the regional rideshare program. These reports collected a variety of quantitative and qualitative data at county and regional level that was used for a range of purposes. Commute characteristics were captured such as commute mode splits, average travel distance, time, speed, locations. Commute attitudes were measured such as has a respondent's commute improved or deteriorated and why was one mode selected over another. Programs were evaluated, including did an employer's commute incentives motivate a switch to a non-drive alone mode, how much time does the High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lane save a commuter, has a commuter used 511 or a local rideshare program and were these programs useful. These are just a few examples of the type of data that has been collected through the Commute Profile reports.

The data from the Commute Profile reports have been used for various purposes. It is from this source that Solano's commuter characteristics such as its long commutes and high percentage of car/vanpoolers have been measured. These are used in grant applications, Congestion Management Plan updates and other planning documents and other studies. Although each annual update of the Commute Profile did not always include county level data, Solano's data was consistent thanks to local funding of a Solano specific survey.

When MTC stopped funding the Commute Profile, it languished for a few years. In 2009, a grassroots effort was spearheaded by Valerie Brock Consulting and BART staff to begin producing these reports again. Valerie Brock and a member of BART staff were the primary researchers who had conducted and prepared the Commute Profile since 1992. During that time there was great consistency between the data collection methodology and reports from year to year. Data was collected at the same time each year (in the spring), for instance, so that comparisons between years could be reasonably made. There were consistent core questions while also room for flexibility for county specific questions to deal with specific issues of interest. With these researchers working together again, the consistency could be maintained with the 2008 study.

Discussion:

Since the discontinuation by MTC of the Commute Profile in 2005, STA staff has discussed conducting a similar study for Solano and Napa Counties, the two Counties served by the Solano Napa Commuter Information Program. In December 2009, the STA Board approved entering into a contract with Valerie Brock Consulting to conduct a Commute Profile for Solano and Napa counties.

Work began in January 2010 with the survey design. The data was collected through phone surveys – 400 in Solano and 400 in Napa – of Solano and Napa residents. While commuters' city of residence is collected, the data collected is statistically significant at the county level. The survey design review was coordinated by STA and Napa County Transportation Planning Agency (NCTPA) staff. The survey instrument was targeted at employed residents who work outside their home.

The surveys were conducted in late March 2010. The data has been entered, reviewed for accuracy and compiled. The drafting of a report to present the data is in process. A few key statistics are attached such as county mode split, out of county commuting, and some attitudinal data (see Attachment A). The full draft reports will be presented at the TAC and Consortium meetings and to the STA Board in June.

Fiscal Impact:

This survey was funded with \$26,000 of State Transit Assistance funds (STAF) that is in the FY 2009-10 budget and funding provided by NCTPA.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the 2010 Solano/Napa Commute Profile.

Attachments:

- A. Solano Select Data
- B. Solano/Napa Commute Profile 2010 Study (To be provided under separate cover.)

Commute Profile 2010

Solano County – Selected Tables

May, 2010

Prepared by:

Valerie Brock Consulting

for the Solano Transportation Authority



Methodology

The target population for *Commute Profile* has always been adults over the age of 16 who are employed full-time (30 hours or more) outside the home. Transportation demand management agencies focus on this group because they provide the best opportunity for significant behavior change. For example, bicycling to the grocery store each week helps ease congestion and improve air quality, but bicycling to work every day has a greater impact.

Between March 24 and 27, 2010 an independent telephone interviewing firm administered surveys to 804 people. Phone numbers were randomly generated, and calls were made in the evenings or on weekends.

As in past years, the sample size for each county is at least 400 residents. With 400 completed interviews, each county has a normal sampling error of 5% and a confidence level of 95%. This means that if another 400 residents were randomly called, one could be confident that 95% of the time, the results would be within 5% of the original data. This is the statistical standard commonly used in newspaper polls and the like.

Notes on Data

The data used in this report includes 399 residents of Napa County and 405 residents of Solano County. The respondents are perfectly comparable with prior versions of *Commute Profile*, because the same methodology was used and identical questions were asked. The random nature of the phone survey means that the results are statistically valid.

In a few instances in this report, subsets of the county population are examined. As the sample size decreases, the sampling error increases. Table 1 shows sampling error rates at various sample sizes.

Table 1: Sampling Error Rates

Sample Size (n=)	Sampling Error	Confidence Level
400	5%	95%
270	6%	95%
200	7%	95%
150	8%	95%
120	9%	95%
100	10%	95%

Only 55% of Solano County residents also work in the County. The most common external destination is Contra Costa County (13%), followed by several others.

Only 4% work in Sacramento County and 3% in Yolo County, suggesting that Solano commuters are still more focused on the Bay Area. (Table 2)

Table 2: Work Destinations among Solano County Residents

Work County	2005	2010
Solano	60%	55%
Contra Costa	16%	13%
Varies/other	1%	6%
Napa	3%	5%
Alameda	9%	4%
Sacramento	1%	4%
San Francisco	5%	4%
Sonoma	-	3%
Yolo	-	3%
Marin	4%	2%
San Mateo	1%	2%
	n=349	n=405

Tables 3 and 4 show that the incidence of driving alone is higher than in the past, but not significantly so.

Table 3: Primary Commute Mode – Solano County

Mode	2005	2010
Drive alone	72%	75%
Carpool or vanpool	19%	18%
Walk	1%	3%
Bus	1%	2%
BART	2%	1%
Bicycle	1%	1%
Motorcycle	-	1%
Ferry	1%	-
Telecommute	1%	-
	n=401	n=405

Table 4: Clustered Modes among Solano County Residents over Time

	1995	2000	2005	2010
Drive Alone	73%	72%	72%	75%
Carpool or vanpool	22%	19%	19%	18%
Transit	3%	7%	5%	3%
Other	3%	3%	4%	4%

Secondary and Connecting Modes

Data were also collected and are discussed for each county on “secondary” modes used on a regular basis for a normal commute trip. A secondary mode is defined as a completely separate mode used on days when commuters do not use their primary mode.

Table 5 shows the use of secondary modes; about 6% of respondents have a secondary mode, with driving alone the most prevalent.

Table 5: Secondary Modes

Secondary Mode	Napa	Solano
Drive alone	2%	3%
Carpool	1%	1%
Walk	1%	1%
Bicycle	1%	1%
Bus	<1%	<1%
BART and bus		<1%
	n=399	n=405

(Multiple responses permitted.)

A connecting mode is defined as the mode or modes used in addition to the primary mode on a normal trip to work. For example, someone who rides the bus to a BART station would have BART as the primary mode, and the bus as a connecting mode. The secondary and connecting mode data help to provide a complete picture of commuting behavior.

Table 6: Connecting Modes

Connecting Mode	Napa	Solano
Drive alone	2%	4%
Carpool	3%	3%
BART	1%	2%
Bus	-	2%
Walk	2%	1%
Bicycle	2%	1%
Train (Capitol Corridor)	<1%	1%
Ferry	<1%	1%
Motorcycle	1%	1%
	n=399	n=405

(Multiple responses permitted.)

Carpooling

Analyzing the commuter behavior of carpoolers and vanpoolers can suggest ways to further encourage ridesharing. Among all respondents in both counties, a total of 131 individuals carpool or vanpool.

Most carpoolers travel with two other people in the car, usually a co-worker or household member. Some travel with friends, acquaintances or neighbors; only 5% casual carpool.

Table 7: Carpool Partners

Partner	Carpoolers
Co-workers	64%
Household Members	32%
Friends, acquaintances, neighbors	12%
Non-household relatives	8%
Casual carpool with different people	5%
	n=85

General Outlook

Respondents in Napa and Solano counties reacted surprisingly differently to some of these questions.

Table 8: Overall Direction of California

<i>Generally speaking, do you think things are going in the right direction or wrong direction in the State of California?</i>	Napa	Solano
Right direction	56%	14%
Wrong direction	34%	73%
Don't know	10%	12%
	n=399	n=405

Table 9: Overall Direction of Your County

<i>Generally speaking, do you think things are going in the right direction or wrong direction in your county?</i>	Napa	Solano
Right direction	22%	31%
Wrong direction	64%	56%
Don't know	14%	13%
	n=399	n=405

Table 10: Overall Direction of Your Community

<i>Generally speaking, do you think things are going in the right direction or wrong direction in your local community?</i>	Napa	Solano
Right direction	65%	48%
Wrong direction	27%	44%
Don't know	8%	8%
	n=399	n=405

Table 11: Importance of Issues

<i>Please tell me whether the issue is important to you</i>	Napa	Solano
	% Very Important	
Maintaining streets and roads	68%	63%
Preventing worse traffic congestion	59%	64%
Improving travel safety	57%	64%
Providing commute alternatives such as ridesharing, transit and rail	48%	52%
Improving air quality	64%	62%
Addressing global warming and future sea level rise	53%	45%
Improving the safety of children traveling to school and promoting children walking and biking to school to improve health	76%	76%
Providing transportation for seniors and the disabled	67%	69%
	n=399	n=405

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.



DATE: May 17, 2010
TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
FROM: Judy Leaks, SNCI Program Manager/Analyst
RE: SNCI Monthly Issues

Background:

Each month, the STA's Solano Napa Commuter Information (SNCI) program staff provides an update to the Consortium on several key issues: Napa and Solano transit schedule status, marketing, promotions and events. Other items are included as they become relevant.

Discussion:

Transit Schedules:

The monthly transit schedule matrix was distributed to all Solano and Napa operators the week of May 17. Based on the response received, an updated transit matrix will be provided at the meeting.

Marketing/Promotions:

SNCI staff distributed a Casual Carpool Survey/toll increase notification to casual carpool participants meeting at the Fairfield Transportation Center (FTC) on May 5, 2010. This survey instrument is part of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC)'s bay area-wide survey, pre- and post-toll increase, to determine the impact the state-operated bridge toll increase has on casual carpools (approved toll schedule, effective July 1, 2010 attached). Two (2) locations in Solano County were surveyed, the Curtola Park and Ride lot in Vallejo and the FTC in Fairfield. Over 260 surveys were distributed at the FTC by SNCI staff. MTC staffed the Curtola Park and Ride lot.

The Bike to Work (BTW) promotion is winding down. On May 13 staff hosted ten (10) Energizer Stations throughout Solano County, at least one in each city. Over 325 bicyclists stopped by these energizer stations. Each bicyclist received a musette bag containing treats and had the opportunity to register for regional and local prize drawings. Some of the more successful energizer stations were located at transit transfer points. The Team Bike Challenge will continue through the month of May. There are seven (7) teams vying to win the Challenge in Solano County.

SNCI continues to resupply the commuter info display racks throughout Solano and Napa counties with current SolanoExpress brochures and transit schedules.

Events:

SNCI staffs information booths at events where transit information is distributed along with a range of other commute options information. Staff provided transit and commute alternative

information to employees at the Solano County Benefits Fair in Fairfield. Staff also attended Earth Day events in Fairfield and Napa and staffed the Fairfield-Suisun Chamber Business Expo.

Recommendation:

Informational.

Attachment:

A: Bay Area Toll Authority Toll Increase Information



Toll Increase Information

Effective July 1, 2010: Tolls Increase on Bay Area’s State-Owned Bridges for Seismic Safety

Starting July 1, 2010:

- The two-axle toll rate will increase from \$4 to \$5 on most of the seven state-owned toll bridges in the Bay Area;
- Time-of-Day pricing will be charged during weekday peak periods at the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge;
- Carpools will be charged a discounted toll rate of \$2.50; and
- Carpool vehicles will be required to have a FasTrak toll tag and to use a designated carpool lane to receive the toll discount.

Tolls on State-Owned Bridges (Effective July 1, 2010)

San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge	Monday-Friday	5–10 a.m. & 3–7 p.m.	\$6.00
		All other times	\$4.00
	Weekends	All Day	\$5.00
Antioch, Benicia-Martinez, Carquinez, Dumbarton, Richmond-San Rafael & San Mateo-Hayward bridges	Every day	All Day	\$5.00
Carpools and vehicles with DMV-issued Clean Air decals	Monday-Friday	5–10 a.m. & 3–7 p.m.	\$2.50
Vanpools with 11 to 15 passengers	Vanpools with 11 to 15 passengers and a qualifying non-revenue FasTrak toll tag may cross toll-free on all Bay Area state-owned bridges.		

STARTING JULY 1 CARPOOL TOLL \$2.50



THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.



DATE: May 03, 2010
TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
FROM: Sara Woo, Planning Assistant
RE: Funding Opportunities Summary

Discussion:

Below is a list of funding opportunities that will be available to STA member agencies during the next few months. Attachment A provides further details for each program. Please distribute this information to the appropriate departments within your jurisdiction.

FUND SOURCE		AMOUNT AVAILABLE	APPLICATION DEADLINE
1.	TIGER Grants for Surface Transportation	\$1.5 billion is available nationwide through September 30, 2011 for the Secretary of Transportation to make grants on a competitive basis	N/A ¹
2.	Carl Moyer Off-Road Equipment Replacement Program (for Sacramento Metropolitan Area)	Approximately \$10 million	Application Due On First-Come, First-Served Basis
3.	Carl Moyer Memorial Air Quality Standards Attainment Program (for San Francisco Bay Area)	Approximately \$20 million	Application Due On First-Come, First Served Basis
4.	MTC Innovative Grants Program*	Up to \$31 million	Letters of Interest Due June 1, 2010
5.	MTC Safe Routes to School Creative Grants Program*	Up to \$2 million	Letters of Interest Due June 1, 2010
6.	HUD Sustainable Communities Planning Grant Program*	Approximately \$150 million	June 5, 2010
7.	Caltrans State-legislated Safe Routes to School (SR2S) Program	Up to \$450,000	July 15, 2010

*New funding opportunity

Fiscal Impact:

None.

Recommendation:

Informational.

Attachment:

- A. Detailed Funding Opportunities Summary

¹ Note regarding the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009 (also referred to as “Stimulus Bill”): The ARRA has some competitive grant programs, which are separate from ARRA funds available through Caltrans and MTC. Details and guidelines regarding the competitive ARRA grants are continuing to be developed. Please visit <http://www07.grants.gov/search/basic.do> and browse by category for the most up-to-date information as it may change after the date of this report.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.

The following funding opportunities will be available to the STA member agencies during the next few months. Please distribute this information to the appropriate departments in your jurisdiction.

Fund Source	Application/Program Contact Person**	Application Deadline/Eligibility	Amount Available	Program Description	Additional Information
TIGER Grants for Surface Transportation	All questions must be submitted via e-mail to: TigerTeam@dot.gov Mr. Leslie T. Rogers Regional Administrator U.S. Department of Transportation Region 9 (415) 744-3133	N/A ¹ Eligible Project Sponsors: Public Transportation Agencies	\$1.5 billion is available nationwide through September 30, 2011 for the Secretary of Transportation to make grants on a competitive basis for capital investments in surface transportation infrastructure projects.	This program will provide grants to public transportation agencies for capital investments that will assist in surface transportation and infrastructure projects	Eligible projects: highway or bridge projects, public transit projects, passenger and freight rail transportation projects, and port infrastructure investments. http://www.dot.gov/recovery/ost/
Carl Moyer Off-Road Equipment Replacement Program (for Sacramento Metropolitan Area)	Gary A. Bailey Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (415) 749-4961 gbailey@airquality.org	Application Due On First-Come, First-Served Basis Eligible Project Sponsors: private non-profit organizations, state or local governmental authorities, and operators of public transportation services	Approximately \$10 million	The Off-Road Equipment Replacement Program (ERP), an extension of the Carl Moyer Program, provides grant funds to replace Tier 0, high-polluting off-road equipment with the cleanest available emission level equipment.	Eligible Projects: install particulate traps, replace older heavy-duty engines with newer and cleaner engines and add a particulate trap, purchase new vehicles or equipment, replace heavy-duty equipment with electric equipment, install electric idling-reduction equipment http://www.airquality.org/mobile/movererp/index.shtml

¹ Note regarding the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009 (also referred to as “Stimulus Bill”): The ARRA has some competitive grant programs, which are separate from ARRA funds available through Caltrans and MTC. Details and guidelines regarding the competitive ARRA grants are continuing to be developed. Please visit <http://www07.grants.gov/search/basic.do> and browse by category for the most up-to-date information as it may change after the date of this report.

*New Funding Opportunity

** STA staff, Sara Woo, can be contacted directly at (707) 399-3214 or swoo@sta-snci.com for assistance with finding more information about any of the funding opportunities listed in this report.

Fund Source	Application/Program Contact Person**	Application Deadline/Eligibility	Amount Available	Program Description	Additional Information
Carl Moyer Memorial Air Quality Standards Attainment Program (for San Francisco Bay Area)	Anthony Fournier Bay Area Air Quality Management District (415) 749-4961 afournier@baaqmd.gov	Application Due On First-Come, First Served Basis Eligible Project Sponsors: private non-profit organizations, state or local governmental authorities, and operators of public transportation services	Approximately \$20 million	Carl Moyer Memorial Air Quality Standards Attainment Program provides incentive grants for cleaner-than-required engines, equipment, and other sources of pollution providing early or extra emission reductions.	Eligible Projects: cleaner on-road, off-road, marine, locomotive and stationary agricultural pump engines http://www.baaqmd.gov/Divisions/Strategic-Incentives/Carl-Moyer-Program.aspx
Innovative Grants Program*	Craig Goldblatt MTC (510) 817-5837 cgoldblatt@mtc.ca.gov	Deadline for Letters of Interest Due June 1 @ 4pm Eligible Applicants: Public agencies	Up to \$31 million	The program funds approximately a dozen high-impact innovative projects with the greatest potential to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and to be replicated on a larger-scale around the region.	Eligible Projects: connections to transportation and air quality improvements, parking management and pricing policies, cleaner vehicles, transportation demand management project
Safe Routes to School Creative Grants Program*	Craig Goldblatt MTC (510) 817-5837 cgoldblatt@mtc.ca.gov	Deadline for Letters of Interest Due June 1 @ 4pm Eligible Applicants: Public agencies	Up to \$2 million	The program funds approximately four creative school-related emission reduction strategies and determines their effectiveness and potential replication around the region.	Eligible Projects: Pilot programs, innovative strategies to further best practices, projects that reduce substantial technical, financial, or political barriers

*New Funding Opportunity

** STA staff, Sara Woo, can be contacted directly at (707) 399-3214 or swoo@sta-snci.com for assistance with finding more information about any of the funding opportunities listed in this report.

Fund Source	Application/Program Contact Person**	Application Deadline/Eligibility	Amount Available	Program Description	Additional Information
HUD Sustainable Communities Planning Grant Program	None Available At This Time. Please contact STA staff, Sara Woo, (707) 399-3214 swoo@sta-snci.com	June 5, 2010 Eligible Applicants: Public agencies	Approximately \$150 million	The goal of the program is to support multi-jurisdictional regional planning efforts that integrate housing, economic development and transportation decision-making in a manner that empowers jurisdictions to consider the interdependent challenges of economic growth, social equity and environmental impact simultaneously.	Eligible Projects: Preparation of Regional Plans for Sustainable Development; Implementation incentives for plans already in place; Preparation of more detailed execution plans and programs to implement existing regional sustainable development plans. http://portal.hud.gov/portal/page/portal/HUD/program_offices/sustainable_housing_communities/grant_program
Caltrans State-legislated Safe Routes to School (SR2S) Program	Sylvia Fung Caltrans (510) 286-5226 sylvia_fung@dot.ca.gov	July 15, 2010 Eligible Applicants: City and County agencies only	Up to \$450,000; 10% local match for a total project cost of \$500,000	The program is for reducing injuries and fatalities through capital projects that improve safety for children in grades K-12 who walk or bicycle to school.	Eligible Projects: Capital projects must fall under the broad categories of pedestrian facilities, traffic calming measures, installation of traffic control devices, construction of bicycle facilities and public outreach/education/enforcement. Up to 10% of the construction cost can fund an education/encouragement/enforcement element.

*New Funding Opportunity

** STA staff, Sara Woo, can be contacted directly at (707) 399-3214 or swoo@sta-snci.com for assistance with finding more information about any of the funding opportunities listed in this report.

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK.



**Solano Transportation Authority
Board Meeting Highlights
May 12, 2010
6:00 p.m.**

TO: City Councils and Board of Supervisors
(Attn: City Clerks and County Clerk of the Board)
FROM: Johanna Masielat, STA Clerk of the Board
RE: Summary Actions of the May 12, 2010 STA Board Meeting

Following is a summary of the actions taken by the Solano Transportation Authority at the Board Meeting of May 12, 2010. If you have any questions regarding specific items, please call me at (707) 424-6008.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Pete Sanchez, Chair	City of Suisun City
Harry Price, Vice-Chair	City of Fairfield
Elizabeth Patterson	City of Benicia
Jack Batchelor	City of Dixon
Jan Vick	City of Rio Vista
Len Augustine	City of Vacaville
Osby Davis	City of Vallejo

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:

Jim Spering	County of Solano
-------------	------------------

ACTION – FINANCIAL ITEMS

A. Solano Countywide Bicycle Plan Priority Projects – Cycle 1 Funding

Recommendation:

Approve Cycle 1 Bicycle Projects and funding amounts as specified in Attachment A.

On a motion by Vice-Chair Price, and a second by Board Member Patterson, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation.

B. Countywide Pedestrian Plan Priority Projects: Cycle 1 Funding Recommendation
Recommendation:

Approve the following:

1. Incorporate a 50/50 split in allocating TDA Article 3 funds with Cycle 1 TLC and ECMAQ funding for priority pedestrian projects in the amount specified in Attachment A; and
2. Cycle 1 Pedestrian Projects and funding amounts as specified in Attachment B.

On a motion by Board Member Batchelor, and a second by Vice-Chair Price, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation.

ACTION – NON-FINANCIAL

A. Gordon Water Line Relocation Project, Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR)

Chairman Sanchez opened the Public Hearing at 6:30 p.m.

There being no speakers, the Chair closed the Public Hearing at 6:31 p.m. and referred the matter to the Board for action.

Recommendation:

CONDUCT a public hearing to consider:

1. **CERTIFICATION** of the Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) for the Gordon Water Line Relocation Project;

Then:

2. **APPROVE** Resolution No. 2010-01, including certification of the Environmental Impact Report for the Gordon Water Line Relocation Project, Exhibit A: Findings of Fact and Exhibit B: Mitigation Monitoring Reporting Program; and
3. **DIRECT** the Executive Director to File a Notice of Determination with the County Clerk of Solano County and with the State Office of Planning and Research and Authorize payment of the filing fees.

On a motion by Board Member Batchelor, and a second by Board Member Patterson, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation.

B. Project Technical Report for the Gordon Water Line Relocation Project

Recommendation:

Approve the following:

1. Project Technical Report for the Gordon Water Line Relocation Project;
2. The Gordon Water Line Relocation Project; and
3. Authorize the Executive Director to advertise one or more construction contracts for the Gordon Water Line Relocation Project for a total amount not to exceed \$2.9 million, including construction management services.

On a motion by Vice Chair Price, and a second by Board Member Batchelor, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation.

C. Agreements for Gordon Water Line Relocation Project

Recommendation:

Authorize the Executive Director to finalize and execute separate agreements between STA and the City of Vallejo, USBR and SID as required.

On a motion by Board Member Batchelor, and a second by Board Member Patterson,, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation.

D. Approval of STA's Overall Work Plan (OWP) for Fiscal Years (FY) 2010-11 and 2011-12

Recommendation:

Approve the STA's Fiscal Year (FY) 2010-11 and FY 2011-12 Overall Work Program (OWP) as specified in Attachment A.

On a motion by Vice-Chair Price, and a second by Board Member Batchelor, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation.

E. Intercity Transit Ridership Study

Recommendation:

Approve the 2009 Intercity Transit Ridership Study Reports as shown in Attachments A, B, and C.

On a motion by Vice-Chair Price, and a second by Board Member Patterson, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation.

F. 2030 Napa-Solano Travel Demand Model Update

Recommendation:

Adopt the Napa Solano Travel Demand Model with the revisions specified in the Fehr & Peers technical memorandum dated April 19, 2010, subject to the following amendments:

1. Future use of the model for projects that use select link analysis or develop origin and destination projections, such as the RTIF, shall be reviewed by the MTAC for a determination that these projections are reasonable and defensible prior to public release of the information; and
2. Standard model industry practices of reasonableness shall be applied to project-specific uses of the model through model user agreements. Specifically, that where the calibrated base year model volumes differ from the actual road counts, the model user will consider whether adjustments to the model and/or the forecasts are appropriate, and if they are, explain and document the adjustments and the reasoning behind them.

On a motion by Board Member Patterson, and a second by Board Member Vick, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation.

G. Legislative Update

Recommendation:

Approve the following positions:

- AB 2620 (Eng) - Oppose
- SB 409 (Ducheny) – Support with amendments
- SB 1348 (Steinberg) - Watch
- SB 1418 (Wiggins) – Watch
- SB 1445 (DeSaulnier) – Watch

On a motion by Board Member Patterson, and a second by Board Member Vick, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation.

CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS

On a motion by Vice-Chair Price, and a second by Board Member Spring, the STA Board approved Consent Calendar Items A to L. Since he was not at the March 10th Board meeting, STA Board Alternate Member Ioakimedes abstained from the vote of Item A, STA Board Meeting Minutes of March 10, 2010.

A. STA Board Meeting Minutes of April 14, 2010

Recommendation:

Approve STA Board Meeting Minutes of April 14, 2010.

B. Review Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Draft Minutes for the Meeting of April 28, 2010

Recommendation:

Receive and file.

C. Fiscal Year (FY) 2010-11 Transportation Development Act (TDA) Matrix – May 2010

Recommendation:

Approve the FY 2010-11 TDA Matrix – May 2010 as shown in Attachment A.

D. Intercity Transit Funding Agreement Fiscal Year (FY) 2010-11

Recommendation:

Approve the following:

1. Transit Operating RM 2 Funding Plan as shown on Attachment B;
2. FY 2010-11 Cost-Sharing Intercity Transit Funding Agreement amounts as shown on Attachment C; and
3. Authorize the Executive Director to enter into a funding agreement with the seven local funding partners.

E. Unmet Transit Needs Comments for FY 2010-11

Recommendation:

Approve the following:

1. FY 2010-11 Unmet Transit Needs response as specified in Attachment B; and
2. Authorize the Executive Director to submit the FY 2009-10 Unmet Transit Needs response to MTC.

F. Safe Routes to Transit Plan Scope of Work

Recommendation:

Authorize the Executive Director to issue a Request for Proposals and enter into a Consultant Contract for an amount not-to-exceed \$30,000 for Safe Routes to Transit Plan based upon the Scope of Work in Attachment A.

G. Regional Transportation Impact Fee (RTIF) Project List

Recommendation:

Approve the RTIF Project List, as shown in Attachment A, for use in the RTIF Nexus Study Analysis.

H. On-Call Model Service Contract

Recommendation:

Authorize the Executive Director to enter an agreement with Cambridge Systematics for On-Call Modeling Service as specified in Attachment A for an amount not-to-exceed \$32,000.

I. Interim Executive Director for Solano County Transit MOU/JPA

Recommendation:

Contingent upon the approval by the Solano County Transit Coordinating Committee, authorize the Executive Director to execute a contract with Paratransit, Inc. in an amount not-to-exceed \$75,000 for staff services in accordance with the attached scope of work and schedule.

J. Resolution Determining STA Board to Hear Resolution's of Necessity for I-80 Eastbound Cordelia Truck Scales Relocation Project

Recommendation:

Approve Resolution No. 2010-04 determining that STA Board will hear Resolutions of Necessity for the I-80 Eastbound Cordelia Truck Scales Relocation Project in Solano County.

K. State Route (SR) 12 Jameson Canyon Co-Project Manager - Contract Amendment

Recommendation:

Authorize the Executive Director to amend the contract with Cordoba Consulting Inc. to continue Project Management services on the SR 12 Jameson Canyon Project in an amount not-to-exceed \$265,000 for an additional three year term.

COMMENTS FROM METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION (MTC), CALTRANS, AND STAFF:

A. MTC Report:

None presented.

B. Caltrans Report:

Janet Adams reported that on February 24, 2010, the California Transportation Commission (CTC) approved the 2010 SHOPP which included the \$50 million rehabilitation project on I-80 from Vacaville to Dixon (Meridian Road to East of Route 113 South to Dixon).

C. STA Reports:

1. Overview of Bike to Work Day on May 13, 2010 presented by Judy Leaks

2. Directors Reports:

a. Planning:

Robert Macaulay reported that the Congestion Management Program (CMP) will be updated for purposes related to SB 83.

b. Projects

Janet Adams reported CTC staff recommended a STIP funding delay for the Jepson Parkway project construction funding by 2 additional years to FY 2014-15.

c. Transit and Rideshare

Elizabeth Richards reported on the survey and field work regarding the car/vanpool operations at Curtola Park and Ride in Vallejo and Fairfield Transportation Center (FTC).

INFORMATIONAL – NO DISCUSSION

- A. Senior, Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee Status**
- B. 2011 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)**
- C. STA Safe Routes to School (SR2S) Update**
- D. Funding Opportunities Summary**
- E. STA Board Meeting Schedule for 2010**

ADJOURNMENT

The STA Board meeting was adjourned at 6:55 p.m. The next regular meeting of the STA Board is scheduled for **Wednesday, May 12, 2010, 6:00 p.m., Suisun City Hall Council Chambers.**