



STATE ROUTE 12 CORRIDOR ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Thursday, June 3, 2010

10:00 a.m.

Lodi City Hall

MINUTES

I. INTRODUCTIONS/ SELECTION OF CHAIR

Committee Members

Jan Vick, Mayor, City of Rio Vista; Jim Spring, Solano County Board of Supervisors; Ken Vogel, San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors; Larry Hansen, Lodi City Council

Participating Agency Staff:

Daryl Halls, STA; Andrew Chesley, SJCOG; Robert Macaulay, STA; Wil Ridder, SJCOG; Ross Chittenden, Caltrans District 10; Doahn Nguyen, Caltrans District 4; Danielle Stanislaus, MTC

After introductions of the Corridor Advisory Committee (CAC) members and participating agency staff, Lodi City Council Member Larry Hansen was unanimously elected to chair the CAC. Mr. Hansen noted that it was his understanding that there was to be representation from the Sacramento region on the CAC. The CAC members collectively requested that staff continue to work to secure an elected official from the Sacramento region to participate on the CAC.

II. CORRIDOR ADVISORY COMMITTEE PURPOSE

Mr. Halls explained that after several years of coordination on funding and scope of work, the regional transportation agencies and Caltrans Districts representing Solano, Sacramento, and San Joaquin County had initiated a comprehensive study of the SR 12 corridor between SR 29 and I-5. This study will identify improvement strategies that address near and long-term needs on the SR 12 corridor and will include significant stakeholder involvement. The results of the study are intended to inform future county and regional funding and planning on the SR 12 corridor. Mr. Halls stated that the purpose of the SR 12 Corridor Advisory Committee was to support the development of the study with emphasis on stakeholder involvement and policy considerations for future county and regional funding and planning.

III. THE SR 12 CORRIDOR – Roadway and Traffic Information:

Mr. Ridder presented a handout to the CAC on the SR 12 corridor description and a map identifying average annual daily traffic volumes in each travel direction along the corridor. Mr. Ridder highlighted the unique aspects of the SR 12 corridor that impacted both existing transportation issues and future improvements. These aspects included the surrounding

development, topography, geology, and roadway users. These aspects also vary by location along the corridor with significant differences between the east and west sides of the Sacramento River.

The CAC members requested that staff coordinate with the California Highway Patrol to provide information on accident statistics along the SR 12 corridor at the next meeting.

IV. ON-GOING PROJECTS ON SR 12

- A. San Joaquin County – Mr. Chittenden, Caltrans District 10 Director presented the status of the two projects on SR 12 in San Joaquin County that Caltrans was delivering as lead agency. These projects included the SR 12 Operational Improvements from I-5 to the Potato Slough Bridge and the Bouldin Island Rehabilitation project. The SR 12 Operation Improvements would provide turn lanes and acceleration/deceleration lanes at all of the local intersections of SR 12 in the project area and a re-routing of local access at Tower Park Road. The Bouldin Island Rehabilitation project would provide for standard shoulders and median barrier along the project area. Both projects are scheduled to go to construction in 2011.
- B. Sacramento County – No report.
- C. Solano County – Mr. Eric Cordoba, consultant project manager to STA, provided a report on the status of the Rio Vista Bridge Realignment Study.

The CAC members requested that staff coordinate with Caltrans District 4 for a written summary of on-going projects on SR 12 in Solano County. This summary was requested to be sent by email to the CAC members.

V. SR 12 CORRIDOR STUDY – Scope of Work and Schedule:

Mr. Macaulay provided an overview of the SR 12 Corridor Study scope of work and schedule. The goals and objectives of the study include building upon recent and planned projects/studies, identify near and long-term improvement strategies, and conclude with a multi-jurisdictional corridor management plan. Mr. Macaulay explained that possible improvement strategies could be: 1) “Business as Usual” – simply completing planned and programmed projects; 2) “Super Two Lane” – widening such as passing lanes and shoulders that would improve safety and capacity with minimal impacts to surrounding areas; 3) “Four Lane” – four lane widening to include replacement of three moveable bridges; and 4) “Big Dream” – potential new elevated corridor to best handle soil problems and sea rise. Mr. Macaulay reviewed the major consultant activities of the scope of work including technical studies, development of engineering work, and public outreach.

The CAC members requested that staff provide an updated schedule (11”x17”) at the next meeting.

VI. COMMITTEE MEMBER AND PUBLIC COMMENTS

Mr. David Barnes, representative of the Rio Vista Chamber of Commerce, commented that the potential toll collection being considered as part of the Rio Vista Bridge Realignment Study could

be moved to the outskirts of the City of Rio Vista to collect tolls from more through traffic versus local residents.

Ms. Beverly Rolf, representative of the Tower Park Village, commented that the number of trucks has increased with the development of Flag City.

Representatives of Senator Wolk requested that the SR 12 CAC meetings be held on a quarterly basis to maintain active dialogue for issues on the SR 12 corridor.

The CAC members made the following requests of staff in addition to those previously noted as part of agenda items:

1. Schedule quarterly meetings of the SR 12 CAC
2. Provide meeting minutes for each meeting
3. Rotate meetings between Solano and San Joaquin County
4. Provide an update on transportation impacts of the Delta Plan
5. Provide information on Coast Guard rules for raising the draw bridges