



**INTERCITY TRANSIT CONSORTIUM
AGENDA**

**10:00 a.m., Wednesday, February 23, 2011
Solano Transportation Authority
One Harbor Center, Suite 130
Suisun City, CA 94585**

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>STAFF PERSON</u>
I. CALL TO ORDER	Jeanine Wooley, Vallejo Transit
II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA (10:05 – 10:10 a.m.)	
III. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT (10:10 – 10:15 a.m.)	
IV. REPORTS FROM STA STAFF AND OTHER AGENCIES (10:15 – 10:25 a.m.)	
A. Update on SolTrans Transition Plan	Jeanine Wooley
V. CONSENT CALENDAR <i>Recommendation: Approve the following consent items in one motion.</i> (10:25 – 10:30 a.m.)	
A. Minutes of the Consortium Meeting of January 26, 2011 <i>Recommendation:</i> <i>Approve Consortium Meeting Minutes of January 26, 2011.</i> Pg. 1	Johanna Masiplat

CONSORTIUM MEMBERS

Rob Sousa	Vacant	Philip Kamhi	John Andoh	Brian McLean	Jeanine Wooley	Matt Tuggle
Benicia Breeze	Dixon Read-Ride	Fairfield and Suisun Transit (FAST)	Rio Vista Delta Breeze	Vacaville City Coach	Vallejo Transit	County of Solano

B. Solano County Clean Air Grant Priorities

Robert Guerrero

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to prioritize the Solano Napa Commuter Information (SNCI) Rideshare Incentives Program and Solano Safe Routes to School (SR2S) Program for the following clean air funding amounts:

- 1. \$200,000 from TFCA for SNCI's Rideshare Incentives Program; and*
- 2. \$30,000 from TFCA and \$30,000 from the Clean Air Program for the Solano SR2S Program (\$60,000 total).*

Pg. 5

VI. ACTION – FINANCIAL ITEMS

None.

VII. ACTION – NON-FINANCIAL ITEMS

A. Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Update and Solano Call for Projects Draft List

Robert Macaulay

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to release the Draft Solano RTP Project List for public review.

(10:30 – 10:35 a.m.)

Pg. 7

B. Solano Countywide Bicycle Locker Study

Robert Guerrero

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve STA to conduct a Solano Countywide Bicycle Locker Study.

(10:35 – 10:40 a.m.)

Pg. 19

VIII. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

A. 10-Year Transit Fleet and Minor Transit Capital Investment Plan Update

Liz Niedziela

Informational

(10:40 – 10:55 a.m.)

Pg. 23

B. FY 2011-12 Transportation Development Act (TDA) and State Transit Assistance Funds (STAF) Fund Estimates

Elizabeth Richards

Informational

(10:55 – 11:00 a.m.)

Pg. 41

C. Discussion of Intercity Transit Study Update and Service Changes

Liz Niedziela

Informational

(11:00 – 11:05 a.m.)

Pg. 47

- D. FY 2011-12 Intercity Transit Funding Agreement Schedule** Elizabeth Richards
Informational
(11:05 – 11:10 a.m.)
Pg. 49
- E. SNCI Monthly Issues** Judy Leaks
Informational
(11:10 – 11:15 a.m.)
Pg. 53
- NO DISCUSSION**
- F. Legislative Update** Jayne Bauer
Informational
Pg. 55
- G. STA Funding Opportunities Report** Sara Woo
Informational
Pg. 69
- H. STA Board Meeting Highlights of January 19, 2011 and February 9, 2011** Johanna Masielat
Informational
Pg. 77 and 83
- I. STA Board and Advisory Committee Meeting Schedule for 2011** Johanna Masielat
Informational
Pg. 89
- IX. TRANSIT OPERATOR ISSUES** Group
- X. ADJOURNMENT**
The next regular meeting of the SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium is scheduled at **10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, March 23, 2011.**

This page intentionally left blank.



**INTERCITY TRANSIT CONSORTIUM
Minutes of the Meeting of
January 26, 2011**

I. CALL TO ORDER

Jeanine Wooley called the regular meeting of the SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium to order at approximately 10:05 a.m. in the Solano Transportation Authority Conference Room.

Consortium Present:	Philip Kamhi	Fairfield and Suisun Transit, Vice Chair
	Melissa Bryan	Benicia Breeze
	Brian McLean	Vacaville City Coach
	Jeanine Wooley	Vallejo Transit, Chair
	Matt Tuggle	County of Solano

Also Present:	Daryl Halls	STA
	Robert Macaulay	STA
	Elizabeth Richards	STA
	Liz Niedziela	STA
	Judy Leaks	STA
	Robert Guerrero	STA
	Johanna Masiclat	STA

Others Present:	<i>(In Alphabetical Order by Last Name)</i>	
	Sara Lauri	City of Fairfield

II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

On a motion by Brian McLean, and a second by Philip Kamhi, the Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium approved the agenda.

III. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

None presented.

IV. REPORTS FROM CALTRANS, MTC, AND STA STAFF

Caltrans: None presented.

MTC: None presented.

STA: Robert Guerrero reported on the following:

- Planning approach to the inventory process of bicycle lockers in transit centers in Solano County; and
- Clean Air and TLC Planning Grants

Elizabeth Richards distributed and provided information on the Governor's proposed State Budget being in line with The California Transit Association (CTA)'s funding recommendations.

V. CONSENT CALENDAR

On a motion by Brian McLean, and a second by Melissa Bryan, the Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium unanimously approved consent calendar item A.

A. Minutes of the Consortium Meeting of November 17, 2010

Recommendation:

Approve Consortium Meeting Minutes of November 17, 2010.

VI. ACTION – FINANCIAL ITEMS

A. None.

VII. ACTION – NON-FINANCIAL ITEMS

A. SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium 2011 Work Plan

Elizabeth Richards reviewed and requested the Consortium to approve the Consortium Work Plan for 2011. She cited that several completed items have been removed from the 2010 Work Plan and new transit projects have been added to the 2011 Work Plan.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium 2011 Work Plan as shown on Attachment B.

On a motion by Brian McLean, and a second by Matt Tuggle, the Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium approved the recommendation.

B. Safe Routes to Transit Plan – Consultant Scope of Work

Robert Macaulay reviewed the development of a Safe Routes to Transit (SR2T) Plan contained in the STA's Overall Work Plan. He indicated that the development of the SR2T would take advantage of lessons learned in creation of the Solano SR2S Plan. He also indicated that the scope of work envisions identifying existing barriers to safe access to transit centers and gathering statistics regarding crime and accidents around them. He cited that staff is preparing developing cost estimates and preparing document graphics.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the Scope of Work for the Safe Routes to Transit Plan in Attachment A.

On a motion by Melissa Bryan, and a second by Brian McLean, the Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium approved the recommendation.

VII. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

A. Solano Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) Update/Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Projects Submittal Update

Robert Macaulay reported that the release of the Draft Land Use Vision is scheduled for March 11, 2011. He noted that the release will include an analysis of the transportation, land use and air quality performance of the Vision scenario, and a comparison of the Base Case and Vision scenarios. He cited that MTC and ABAG are preparing to adopt SCS performance measures this month.

B. 10-Year Transit Fleet and Minor Transit Capital Investment Plan Update

Liz Niedziela provided an update to the 10-Year Transit Fleet and Minor Transit Capital Investment Plan. She noted that STA staff plans to present the operators' 10-Year Transit Fleet and Minor Transit Capital Investment Plans to the STA Board for approval of funding as early as next month but no later than March.

C. FY 2011-12 Intercity Transit Funding (ITF) Agreement Schedule

Elizabeth Richards reviewed the draft task schedule for the development of the ITF Agreement for FY 2010-11 and FY 2011-12.

D. SNCI Monthly Issues

Judy Leaks provided an update on transit schedule status, marketing, promotions, and events.

NO DISCUSSION

E. Legislative Update

F. STA Funding Opportunities Report

G. STA Board Meeting Highlights of December 8, 2010

H. STA Board and Advisory Committee Meeting Schedule for 2011

VIII. OPERATOR COMMENTS

The Consortium members discussed the major issues regarding the Clipper Program.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 11:00 a.m. The next regular meeting of the SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium is scheduled at **10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, February 23, 2011.**

This page intentionally left blank.



DATE: February 14, 2011
TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
FROM: Robert Guerrero, Senior Planner
RE: Solano County Clean Air Grant Priorities

Background:

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) and the Yolo Solano Air Quality Management District (YSAQMD) annually provides clean air funding to cities and counties within their jurisdictions for projects that reduce air pollution from motor vehicles, such as clean air vehicle infrastructure, clean air vehicles, shuttle bus services, bicycle projects, and alternative modes promotional/educational projects. The two Air Districts divide Solano County. The cities of Benicia, Fairfield, Suisun City, Vallejo, and southwestern portions of Solano County are located in the Bay Area air basin and are eligible for BAAQMD funding. The cities of Dixon, Rio Vista, Vacaville, and the unincorporated area located in northeastern Solano County are part of the Sacramento air basin, and are eligible for YSAQMD funding.

Funding for both clean air programs are provided by a \$4 vehicle registration fee collected from counties within the BAAQMD air basin. The YSAQMD also has funds to allocate from a fee collected with property taxes in areas within its air basin. The STA is responsible for programming the BAAQMD Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) funding for Solano County. A slightly different process is implemented for programming YSAQMD Clean Air Funds. The YSAQMD is directly responsible for programming the Clean Air Funds; however, they have set up a review process which includes participation from STA Board members to review and recommend projects to the YSAQMD Board. Both grant programs had funding levels shrink over the last two years as a result of the reduction in the number of motor vehicles registered.

In 2009, the STA Board adopted a policy prioritizing the Solano Napa Commuter Information's (SNCI) Rideshare Incentives Program, the Solano Safe Routes to School Program (SR2S), and Implementation of Sustainable Communities Strategy for clean air grant funding. This was due in part to the limited air quality funds. The total amount prioritized for both programs last year were \$200,000 for SNCI and \$60,000 for SR2S. Any remaining air quality funds were made available to other eligible clean air projects.

Discussion:

Both Air Districts are in the process of finalizing actual funding amounts available to program. However, STA staff estimates \$280,000 from the BAAQMD Transportation Fund for Clean Air Program (TFCA) and \$260,000 from the YSAQMD Clean Air Program to program this year.

STA staff recommends continuing to prioritize investment commitments of the clean air funds into SNCI's Rideshare Incentives Program and the Solano SR2S Program.

Both programs directly benefit member agencies by providing marketing of commute alternatives, capital for bike and pedestrian projects, and strategies for implementing SB 375 and have been identified as priorities by the STA Board.

SNCI's Rideshare Incentives Program Summary

SNCI's Rideshare Incentives Program continues to be a cost effective and successful program in terms of air emission benefits as calculated through the BAAQMD's TFCA program. Benefits of the program include marketing and promotion of commute alternatives through transit brochure distribution, vanpool formations, bicycle and pedestrian education, employer presentations, marketing events, and incentives campaigns (e.g. Bike to Work Day and Commute Challenge). STA staff is recommending \$200,000 from the TFCA program this year. Funding for this program is balanced with funding from Eastern Solano CMAQ funds.

Solano Safe Routes to School Summary

STA began the development of its Safe Routes to School (SR2S) Program in 2005, in response to the growing childhood obesity epidemic, student travel safety concerns, growing air pollution, and traffic congestion near schools in Solano County. The program works to encourage more students to walk and bike to school by identifying a balance of traffic calming and safety engineering projects, student education & safety training, encouragement contests & events, and enforcement coordination with police. STA staff is recommending \$30,000 from Bay Area TFCA and \$30,000 from Yolo Solano Clean Air Funds this year.

STA staff's recommendation is consistent with the last year's STA Board approved funding levels. The funds recommended for both programs will continue to assist in providing local cash contributions for other grant sources available to each program. Given the most recent funding estimates, a remaining balance of \$50,000 of TFCA funding and \$230,000 of YSAQMD will be potentially available for programming. STA staff will begin the process for programming the remaining TFCA in March when estimates become refined by the BAAQMD. The remaining YSAQMD funds will be approved in April by a subcommittee of the YSAQMD Board.

Fiscal Impact:

A total of \$260,000 of BAAQMD TFCA and YSAQMD Funding are recommended to be prioritized for SNCI's Rideshare Incentives Program and the Solano SR2S Program. The funding will be used for local match contributions to other grant sources.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to program the Solano Napa Commuter Information (SNCI) Rideshare Incentives Program and Solano Safe Routes to School (SR2S) Program for the following clean air funding amounts:

1. \$200,000 from TFCA for SNCI's Rideshare Incentives Program; and
2. \$30,000 from TFCA and \$30,000 from the Clean Air Program for the Solano SR2S Program (\$60,000 total).



DATE: February 17, 2011
TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
FROM: Robert Macaulay, Director of Planning
RE: Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Update and Solano Call for Projects
Draft List

Background:

Assembly Bill (AB) 32, the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 is intended to substantially reduce the emission of Greenhouse Gases (GHG), primarily carbon dioxide. Senate Bill (SB) 375, approved in 2008, is designed to implement a portion of AB 32 by integrating regional decisions on land use planning and transportation investment. This is primarily accomplished by requiring regional Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) to develop a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) that:

- Accommodates all of the region's growth, both in total numbers and by economic groups;
- Specifies the general location and density of housing development; and
- Ties transportation investments through the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) to new development or redevelopment, in order to reduce Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT), the proxy measure for GHG emissions.

SB 375 only addresses emission reductions from reductions in VMT for cars and light trucks. Other initiatives under AB 32 deal with improved vehicle fleet fuel economy, lower carbon fuels, and reduced emissions from heavy trucks, transit and non-transportation sources.

In order to provide a transportation network for the SCS analysis and the next RTP (which will use a horizon year of 2040, and will be known as T2040), MTC has already begun the process of updating the current RTP (T2035).

In addition to its use in developing the next RTP, the SCS will determine the base numbers for the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA). The Cities and the County are required to develop General Plan Housing Elements that accommodate their share of the RHNA. In previous years, the RHNA and RTP processes were separate.

At its meeting of February 9, 2011, the STA Board approved a schedule for review of the draft RTP Project List, including a public outreach component. That schedule is included as Attachment A. Because of the SCS/RTP update schedule, only a short amount of time is allocated by MTC for STA to develop Solano County's RTP project list. All projects must be submitted to MTC by April 29, 2011.

The Metropolitan Transportation (MTC) has adopted Performance Measures to be used in development of the SCS and RTP. These measures will be used to compare the base case (business as usual) land use development and transportation network with several alternative scenarios. The adopted Performance Measures are included as Attachment B.

Discussion:

Attachment C is the Draft Solano RTP Project List. Projects are listed in four categories:

- A. Projects in T2035 that have been completed.
- B. Projects that are in T2035 that have not been completed.
- C. Projects proposed by STA staff for inclusion in T2040.
- D. Projects that fit into exempt categories, such as operations and maintenance.

Projects that receive federal and/or state financing must be listed in the RTP. In addition, local projects that have no federal or state funds may still be listed in the RTP in order to undergo air quality conformity analysis as part of the RTP review. It is therefore beneficial to have a project included in the RTP.

MTC has not yet provided STA with its fund estimates for the RTP. Because the RTP is a fiscally constrained document, only projects that, in total, will cost no more than the available funds can be included in the RTP. With the substantial reduction in state and federal transportation funds and the on-going economic downturn, STA anticipates a lower fiscal forecast than the \$600 million for Solano County available in the preparation of T2035. Projects that are funded entirely with local funds may be listed in the RTP without being counted against Solano County RTP fiscal limits.

In preparing the proposed RTP Project List, STA staff began with projects currently included in the T2035 list and projects proposed by the member agencies in 2010 for inclusion in the Solano Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP). From that master list, STA staff then identified projects that; a) have a reasonable likelihood of completion in the next 10 years; b) support efficient use or improvements to safety for the existing system, rather than major capacity expansion; c) that help improve the overall capacity of the Solano system, rather than act as stand-alone components; and d) are consistent with the existing RTP goals and the RTP and SCS Performance Measures.

Some programs are designed to maintain the current system, such as local streets and roads or transit maintenance. Other projects are individually small, but together make up a larger regional program, such as development of the Regional Bicycle Network. Programs and projects that fit into those categories do not have to be submitted individually by local agencies and the CMAs. The Programmatic Categories are listed in Attachment D.

All projects must be submitted to MTC by April 29, 2011. To meet this timeline, the Draft Solano RTP Project List must be released for public comment by March 9, and approved by the STA Board by April 13. The document released by the Board will be presented to the public via the STA website and at meetings targeting low income and senior and disabled transit users and other STA Advisory Committees. STA staff is also available to provide presentations at local jurisdiction Planning Commission or Board or Council meetings to assist in obtaining public input.

Fiscal Impact:

None at this time. However, the RTP project list will identify those projects that are covered under the RTP federal air quality attainment conformity analysis and which projects are eligible for state or federal funds, both of which strongly influence STA and member agency spending options.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to release the Draft Solano RTP Project List for public review.

Attachments:

- A. RTP Draft Project List Review Schedule
- B. MTC Adopted RTP Performance Measures
- C. Draft Solano RTP Project List
- D. Programmatic Categories

This page intentionally left blank.

DRAFT

Schedule of Actions to Select STA's Projects for Submittal to MTC for the next RTP:

Action	Date
MTC issues formal Call for Projects to CMAs (and major transit providers)	February 10
STA Technical Advisory Committee and Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium review Preliminary Solano Prioritized Project List – <i>public input meeting</i>	February 23
STA Board reviews Preliminary Solano Prioritized Project List – <i>public input meeting</i>	March 9
MTC Release of County-Level Financial Projections	March 11
Community Outreach Meeting for Low Income Users on Preliminary Solano Prioritized Project List (combination of Lifeline, CBO committees) – <i>public input meeting</i>	March
Community Outreach Meeting for Senior and Disabled Transit Users on Preliminary Solano Prioritized Project List (combination of Senior and Disabled Transit Committee and Paratransit Coordination Council) – <i>public input meeting</i>	March
Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committees review Preliminary Solano Prioritized Project List – <i>public input meeting</i>	March
STA Technical Advisory Committee and Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium meetings Recommendation of Prioritized Solano Project List – <i>public input meeting</i>	March 23
STA Board Adoption of Prioritized Solano Project List – <i>public input meeting</i>	April 13
Completion of Project Submittal to MTC	April 29

This page intentionally left blank.

Date: January 26, 2011
 W.I.: 1121
 Referred by: Planning Committee

Attachment A
 Resolution No. 3987
 Page 1 of 2

Performance Targets for the Sustainable Communities Strategy/Regional Transportation Plan

GOAL/OUTCOME	#	RECOMMENDED TARGET <i>Unless noted, all targets are for year 2035 compared to a year 2005 base</i>
CLIMATE PROTECTION	1	Reduce per-capita CO ₂ emissions from cars and light-duty trucks by 15% <i>Statutory - Source: California Air Resources Board, as required by SB 375</i>
ADEQUATE HOUSING	2	House 100% of the region's projected 25-year growth by income level (very-low, low, moderate, above-moderate) without displacing current low-income residents <i>Statutory - Source: ABAG adopted methodology, as required by SB 375</i>
HEALTHY & SAFE COMMUNITIES	3	Reduce premature deaths from exposure to particulate emissions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce premature deaths from exposure to fine particulates (PM_{2.5}) by 10% • Reduce coarse particulate emissions (PM₁₀) by 30% • Achieve greater reductions in highly impacted areas <i>Source: Adapted from federal and state air quality standards by BAAQMD</i> Associated Indicators <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incidence of asthma attributable to particulate emissions • Diesel particulate emissions
	4	Reduce by 50% the number of injuries and fatalities from all collisions (including bike and pedestrian) <i>Source: Adapted from California State Highway Strategic Safety Plan</i>
	5	Increase the average daily time walking or biking per person for transportation by 60% (for an average of 15 minutes per person per day) <i>Source: Adapted from U.S. Surgeon General's guidelines</i>

Attachment A
Resolution No. 3987
Page 2 of 2

GOAL/OUTCOME	#	RECOMMENDED TARGET <i>Unless noted, all targets are for year 2035 compared to a year 2005 base</i>
OPEN SPACE AND AGRICULTURAL PRESERVATION	6	Direct all non-agricultural development within the urban footprint (existing urban development and urban growth boundaries) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scenarios will be compared to 2010 urban footprint for analytical purposes only. <i>Source: Adapted from SB 375</i>
EQUITABLE ACCESS	7	Decrease by 10% the share of low-income and lower-middle income residents' household income consumed by transportation and housing <i>Source: Adapted from Center for Housing Policy</i>
ECONOMIC VITALITY	8	Increase gross regional product (GRP) by 90% – an average annual growth rate of approximately 2% (in current dollars) <i>Source: Bay Area Business Community</i>
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decrease average per-trip travel time by 10% for non-auto modes • Decrease automobile vehicle miles traveled per capita by 10% <i>Source: Adapted from Caltrans Smart Mobility 2010</i>
	10	Maintain the transportation system in a state of good repair: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase local road pavement condition index (PCI) to 75 or better • Decrease distressed lane-miles of state highways to less than 10% of total lane-miles • Reduce average transit asset age to 50% of useful life <i>Source: Regional and state plans</i>

SOLANO COUNTY

RTP Reference Number	Project/Program	Total Project Cost	Committed Funds1	Discretionary Funds2	Project Notes
CATEGORY A - COMPLETED PROJECTS					
22631	Construct Route 12 westbound truck climbing lane at Red Top Road	\$13.2	\$13.2	\$0.0	COMPLETED
22634	Construct an adjacent 200-space, at-grade parking lot at the Vacaville intermodal Station (Phase 1)	\$12.9	\$12.9	\$0.0	COMPLETED
22700	Construct parallel corridor north of I-80 from Red Top Road to Abernathy Road	\$69.0	\$60.5	\$8.5	3 segments completed; segment 4 is part of 230326, I-80/I-680/SR 12 Interchange
230650	Widen I-80 from Red Top Road to Airbase Parkway to add HOV lanes in both directions (includes pavement rehabilitation and ramp metering)	\$94.9	\$94.9	\$0.0	COMPLETED
22629	Construct new Vallejo Baylink Ferry Terminal (includes additional parking, upgrade of bus transfer facilities and pedestrian access improvements) - Phase A				COMPLETED
CATEGORY B - PROJECTS IN T2035 NOT COMPLETED					
21341	Construct new Fairfield/Vacaville multimodal train station for Capitol Corridor intercity rail service (Phase 1)	\$39.6	\$29.6	\$10.0	Partially funded with Regional measure 2 Toll Bridge Program funds
22629	Construct new Vallejo Baylink Ferry Terminal (includes additional parking, upgrade of bus transfer facilities and pedestrian access improvements) - Phase B	\$85.6	\$75.6	\$10.0	Partially funded with Regional measure 2 Toll Bridge Program funds, project under construction.
22630	Improve Dixon facilities associated with the Dixon Rail Station: 1) Parkway Boulevard overcrossing, 2) B Street Ped Undercrossing, 3) West A Street Undercrossing	\$12.4	\$12.4	\$0.0	
22632	Widen American Road overpass at I-80	\$10.7	\$10.7	\$0.0	
22633	Widen Azuar Drive/Cedar Avenue on Mare Island, Vallejo, from 2 to 4 lanes between P Street and Residential Parkway (includes bicycle lanes, railroad signals and rehabilitation improvements)	\$11.7	\$11.7	\$0.0	
94151	Construct 4-lane Jepson Parkway from Route 12 to Leisure Town Road	\$194.0	\$134.0	\$60.0	CEQA clearance completed.
230311	Widen and improve Peterson Road (south gate to Travis AFB) with the addition of a truck-stacking lane (includes drainage improvements)	\$2.6	\$2.6	\$0.0	
230322	Rebuild and relocate eastbound Cordelia Truck Scales Facility (includes a new 4-lane bridge across Suisun Creek and new ramps at eastbound Route 12 and eastbound I-80)	\$100.9	\$100.9	\$0.0	Scheduled for construction 2011.
230326	Improve I-80/I-680/Route 12 Interchange, including connecting I-680 northbound to Route 12 westbound (Jamieson Canyon), adding connectors and reconstructing local interchanges (Phase 1) and including west end of the North Connector and conversion of HOV to Express Lane	\$487.9	\$134.4	\$353.5	Partially funded with Regional Measure 2 Toll Bridge Program funds, scheduled for construction in 2012.
230468	Provide auxiliary lanes on I-80 in eastbound and westbound directions from I-680 to Air Base Parkway (includes a new eastbound mixed-flow lane from Route 12 east to Air Base Parkway)	\$50.0	\$0.0	\$50.0	
230635	Construct new 400-space parking garage at the Vacaville Intermodal Station (Phase 2)	\$10.0	\$0.0	\$10.0	for Phase 1, see Solano project #22634
230708	Improve local interchanges and auxiliary lanes and make local streets and roads improvements (includes street channelization, overcrossing, bicycle and pedestrian access, and safety improvements)	\$15.0	\$15.0	\$0.0	

CATEGORY C NEW PROJECTS PROPOSED FOR ADDITION TO RTP

Fairfield Transportation Center Expansion - construct additional parking structure for net addition of _____ spaces

Curtola Park and Ride Expansion - construct parking structure at site of existing surface parking lot to support express bus and rideshare. Net increase of _____ spaces.

Redwood Parkway/Fairgrounds Interchange -

I-505/Vaca Valley Parkway Interchange - reconstruct existing overcrossing from 2 to 4 lanes plus Class II bike lane; reconstruct ramps to modified cloverleaf design.

I-80/I-505 Interchange redesign to accommodate Express Lane and eliminate lane drop from WB I-80 at I-505.

I-80 California Drive Overcrossing in Vacaville - construct new overcrossing with no freeway connection

I-80 Lagoon Valley Road interchange - reconstruct existing overcrossing from 2 to 4 lanes; rebuild ramps

SR-12/SR-113 intersection - non-capacity improvements to existing intersection to add turn lanes and signalize intersection

SR-12/Church Intersection - non-capacity improvements to realign existing roadways and add turn lanes; may also include park-and-ride lot

PSR approved.

\$0.0 Local Development Impact Fees fully fund project

\$0.0 Local Development Impact Fees fully fund project

\$0.0 Local Development Impact Fees fully fund project

May be SHOPP Eligible

May be SHOPP Eligible

CATEGORY D PROGRAMATIC PROJECTS

230699	Local streets and roads maintenance	\$2,559.0	\$716.0	\$524.0 Shortfall remains
--------	-------------------------------------	-----------	---------	---------------------------

Attachment A.2 Programmatic Categories

Programmatic categories are groups of similar projects, programs, and strategies that are included under a single group for ease of listing in the RTP/SCS. Projects within programmatic categories must be exempt from regional transportation conformity. Many projects which address the concerns of communities, such as pedestrian bulbouts, bicycle lanes, transit passenger shelters, ridesharing, etc. are often taken into account in a programmatic category. Therefore individual projects of this nature do not need to be specified. Projects grouped in a programmatic category are viewed as a program of multiple projects. Projects that add capacity or expand the network are not included in a programmatic category. Projects that do not fit within the identified programmatic categories are listed separately in the RTP/SCS. Programmatic categories to be used include, but are not limited to the following:

1. **Bicycle/Pedestrian Expansion** (new facilities, expansion of existing bike/pedestrian network)
2. **Bicycle/Pedestrian Enhancements** (enhancements, streetscapes, TODs, ADA compliance, mobility and access improvements)
3. **Bicycle/Pedestrian Facilities Rehabilitation**
4. **Lifeline Transportation** (Community Based Transportation Plans projects such as information/outreach projects, dial-a-ride, guaranteed ride home, paratransit, non-operational transit capital enhancements (i.e. bus shelters). Does not include fixed route transit projects.)
5. **Transit Enhancements** (ADA compliance, mobility and access improvements, passenger shelters, informational kiosks)
6. **Transit Management Systems** (TransLink[®], Transit GPS tracking systems (i.e. Next Bus))
7. **Transit Safety and Security Improvements** (Installation of security cameras)
8. **Transit Guideway Rehabilitation**
9. **Transit Station Rehabilitation**
10. **Transit Vehicle Rehabilitation/Replacement/Retrofit**
11. **Transit O&M** (Ongoing non-capital costs, preventive maintenance)
12. **Transit Operations Support** (purchase of operating equipment such as fareboxes, lifts, radios, office and shop equipment, support vehicles)
13. **Local Road Safety** (shoulder widening, realignment, non-coordinated signals)
14. **Highway Safety** (implementation of Highway Safety Improvement Program, Strategic Highway Safety Program, shoulder improvements, guardrails, medians, barriers, crash cushions, lighting improvements, fencing, increasing sight distance, emergency truck pullovers)
15. **Non-Capacity Increasing Local Road Intersection Modifications and Channelization**
16. **Non-Capacity Increasing State Highway Enhancements** (noise attenuation, landscaping, roadside rest areas, sign removal, directional and informational signs)
17. **Freeway/Expressway Incident Management** (freeway service patrol, call boxes)
18. **Non-Capacity Increasing Freeway/Expressway Interchange Modifications** (signal coordination, signal retiming, synchronization)
19. **Freeway/Expressway Performance Management** (Non-ITS Elements, performance monitoring, corridor studies)
20. **Non-Capacity Increasing Local Road Rehabilitation** (Pavement resurfacing, skid treatments)
21. **Non-Capacity Increasing Local Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement/Retrofit**
22. **State Highway Preservation** (Caltrans SHOPP, excluding system management)
23. **Toll Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement/Retrofit**
24. **Local Streets and Roads O&M** (Ongoing non-capital costs, routine maintenance)
25. **State Highway O&M** (Caltrans non-SHOPP maintenance, minor 'A' and 'B' programs)
26. **Regional Air Quality and Climate Protection Strategies** (outreach programs and non-capacity projects specifically targeting regional air quality and climate protection strategies)
27. **Local Air Quality and Climate Protection Strategies** (outreach programs and non-capacity projects specifically targeting local air quality and climate protection strategies)
28. **Regional Planning and Outreach** (regionwide planning, marketing, and outreach)
29. **Transportation Demand Management** (continuation of ridesharing, shuttle, or vanpooling at current levels)
30. **Parking Management** (Parking cash out, variable pricing, etc.)

This page intentionally left blank.



DATE: February 14, 2011
TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
FROM: Robert Guerrero, Senior Planner
RE: Solano Countywide Bicycle Locker Study

Background:

The STA has been contacted by Cities of Fairfield and Suisun City and on several occasions by bicyclists to help coordinate information for how cyclists can obtain a locker and who to contact if a locker user loses their key. There is not a comprehensive document that identifies how cities and the County of Solano are requiring, maintaining, and monitoring bicycle lockers. This is particularly true for lockers that are enclosed, leased or rented.

Discussion:

STA staff proposes to evaluate what policies are in place and report how each city and the county addresses bicycle lockers in planning and project implementation. In addition, there is a potential opportunity to have the Solano Napa Commuter Information (SNCI) program help manage and advertise the availability of these lockers. There are also potential marketing opportunities to map where lockers exists; for example: Safe Routes to School maps and the Solano Bikelinks Map. There is also clean air funding available for these types of activities.

This effort is proposed to be a joint planning project with SNCI staff, Solano Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC) and local agencies. The study is proposed to include the following elements:

- Report on locker users
- Inventory and map bicycle locker facilities
- Report on city policies related to bicycle lockers
- Report on current trends in bicycle lockers
- Management and maintenance options

A summary of each element is included in a Draft Scope of Work outlined in Attachment A. The intent is to have the study be incorporated as part of the STA Countywide Bicycle Plan Update and the overall Solano Comprehensive Transportation Plan. STA staff anticipates completion of the study by January 2012, before the next cycle of available clean air funds.

Fiscal Impact:

None to the STA Budget. The proposed study will be completed in-house as part of the Solano Bicycle Plan Update.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve STA to conduct a Solano Countywide Bicycle Locker Study.

Attachment:

- A. Solano Countywide Bicycle Locker Study Draft Scope of Work

This page intentionally left blank.

Solano Countywide Bicycle Locker Study Draft scope of work:

- Report on locker users
 - Discuss cost effective methods of estimating users of the facilities
 - Discuss current and anticipated types of users
 - How can we get more users?
- Inventory and map bicycle locker facilities
 - Surveying sites will be time consuming. The BAC will be requested to recommend specific location types to focus countywide surveying efforts (e.g. survey public facilities, shopping centers, and transit facilities)
 - Determine what lockers types are available
- Report on city policies related to bicycle lockers
 - General Plan
 - Transit
 - Others?
- Report on current trends in bicycle lockers
 - Identify new, innovative, cost-effective lockers
 - Identify opportunities for public private partnerships
 - Identify funding opportunities
- Management and maintenance options
 - Determine who is maintaining lockers
 - Recent reported problems? How are they addressed?
 - Opportunities

This page intentionally left blank.



DATE: February 14, 2011
TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
FROM: Liz Niedziela, Transit Program Manager
RE: 10-Year Transit Fleet and Minor Transit Capital Investment Plan Update

Background:

Various capital transit funding opportunities become available and will continue over the next several years. Some of these funding opportunities include the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), Proposition 1B, and Lifeline.

With the passage of Proposition 1B by California's voters in November 2006, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) passed Resolution 3814 regarding the distribution and use of the projected \$347 million of Bay Area share of Proposition 1B Regional Transit capital funds estimated to be available over a ten year cycle. Of this total, Solano County will receive approximately \$500,000 annually for Small Operators/North Counties - Capital Improvements category.

Based on the 10-Year Transit Fleet Plan approved by STA Board in 2007, prioritization was used as the basis of funding the following three transit vehicle replacement projects of \$1,475,912 in Prop 1B matching funds as follows:

Fairfield and Suisun Transit (5 vehicles)	\$400,000
Vacaville Transit (5 vehicles)	\$240,000
Vallejo Transit (20 vehicles)	\$835,912
TOTAL	\$1,475,912

In addition, County has also received and will continue to receive funding from the Lifeline Funding for Transit Operators. MTC's Lifeline Transportation Funding Program is intended to improve mobility for residents of low-income communities and, more specifically, to fund solutions identified through the Community Based Transportation Plans. In the Lifeline Funding Cycle of Fiscal Year (FY) 2009-11, almost \$3.8 million was awarded for bus shelters, replacement vehicles, bike racks, expanding and sustaining Lifeline identified service. The transit operators provided a status update on these projects (Attachment A).

Over the past year, federal stimulus provided funding to transit operators for transit capital projects (Attachment B). Solano County transit operators received almost \$18 million in funding for transit capital projects from the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). The federal economic stimulus funded projects that need to be updated and/or removed from the Transit Capital List for the transportation projects for Solano County that have received federal stimulus funding. The transit operators updated the transit stimulus list by providing completion date and anticipated completion dates (Attachment B). The STA staff will be presenting to the STA Board status updates on bus procurements and capital project status on ARRA Capital Projects, Lifeline Projects, and Capital Projects Procured and Funded (Attachment C).

The 10-Year Transit Fleet and Minor Transit Capital Investment Plan is intended to be a guide for not only programming decisions over the next decade but also to be a document that provides detailed information about transit capital priority needs in the county for near-term funding opportunities. It was recommended that STA will update the 10-Year Transit Fleet and Minor Transit Capital Investment Plan at least every two years in association with other capital investment plans.

Discussion:

At several recent Consortium meetings, there has been discussion about updating the Transit Capital lists for two key purposes: 1.) show how previous funding allocations have been used to meet transit capital needs, and 2.) to update lists to be prepared when future funding opportunities arise. Starting in February 2010, STA staff requested information relating to transit details including unfunded capital needs to update the 10-Year Transit Fleet and Minor Transit Capital Investment Plan.

The STA staff has received updates from all the operators for the 10-Year Transit Fleet (Attachment D) and Minor Transit Capital Investment Plan (Attachment E). The Transit Operators Fleet Inventory has been updated to include new vehicles and a Tier status of 1 to 4 has been assigned as follows:

Tier	Years
1	<2010 and 2011
2	2012 and 2013
3	2014 and 2015
4	2016 and 2017

STA staff is requesting the transit operators review this information and to indicate which vehicles, if any, will be retired without replacements. The STA staff plans to present the operators’ Transit Fleet and Minor Transit Capital Investment Plans to the STA Board for approval of funding in April 2011 to meet MTC Prop 1B allocation request deadline in April 8, 2011.

Prop 1B Capital funding is now available for programming with aggressive timelines (Attachment F). The STA will need STA Board approval before programming the Prop 1B funds. Please note that the Cities of Benicia and Dixon have Prop 1B funding that needs to be programmed before the deadline or funds will be lost. A Board Resolution is required for the certification and assurances. The certification and assurances, a sample board resolution, and authorized agent form may be found at the following website:

<http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/MassTrans/Proposition-1B.html> The board resolution and certification and assurances are new requirements that all Prop 1B recipients are required to submit. Caltrans requires the authorized agent form be resubmitted annually.

Also, MTC has announced a preliminary date of the summer 2011 for approximately \$2.5 million of Lifeline funding available in Solano County. The STA Board will be approving the Lifeline award for Solano County.

Fiscal Impact:

The Transit Fleet and Minor Transit Capital Investment Plan are intended to be a guide for future programming of transit capital funds such as Prop. 1B Transit Capital and other transit capital funds.

Recommendation:

Informational.

Attachments:

- A. Lifeline Funding
- B. Stimulus Funding for Transit Capital Projects
- C. Capital Project Procured and Funded
- D. 10-Year Transit Fleet Investment Plan
- E. 10-Year Minor Transit Capital Needs
- F. Draft – Proposition 1B Funding

This page intentionally left blank.

LIFELINE FUNDING				Completed	In Progress	Not Started	
			Total	Date Completed	Anticipated Date Completed	Anticipated Start Date	Anticipated Completion Date
JARC	FAST	MCI Luggage/Bike Racks	\$45,000		Dec-11		
Prop 1B	Dixon Read-Ride	Bus (local match)	\$ 15,000	Dec-10			
Prop 1B	Dixon Read-Ride	Bus Replacement	\$ 60,000	Mar-10			
Prop 1B	FAST	Shelters	\$ 419,088		Jun-12		
Prop 1B	FAST	Replacement Vehicle	\$ 41,600		Apr-11		
Prop 1B	FAST	Downtown Flex Shuttle	\$ 60,000		Apr-11		
Prop 1B	Vallejo Transit	Shelters	\$ 761,014			May-11	
Prop 1B	Vacaville City Coach	Shelters	\$ 109,800		Jun-11		
		TOTAL PROP 1B	\$ 1,511,502				

Stimulus Funding
Transit Capital Projects

Tier	Local Agency	Project Title	ARRA	Completed	In Progress	Not Started	
				Date Completed	Anticipated Date Completed	Anticipated Start Date	Anticipated Completion Date
T1	City of Benicia	Fueling Station Upgrade	\$ 57,000			Mar-11	Jun-11
T1	City of Benicia	Replace 12 Bus Shelters	\$ 68,400		Jun-11		
T1	City of Benicia	Operating Assistance	\$ 6,600			Jun-11	Jun-11
FTA 5311	City of Dixon	Preventative Maintenance for Dixon Re	\$ 48,000	Feb-10			
FTA 5311	City of Dixon	Municipal Service Center	\$ 381,676		Mar-11		
FTA 5311	City of Dixon (STA transferred)	Paratransit Buses (3)	\$ 225,000		May-11		
T1	City of Fairfield	FAST Preventative Maintenance	\$ 826,080		Jun-11		
T1	City of Fairfield	Bus Purchase/Replacement (3)	\$ 417,747		Mar-11		
T1	City of Fairfield	GFI Fareboxes	\$ 1,577,660		Dec-11		
T1	City of Fairfield	Operating Assistance	\$ 313,498		Jun-11		
T1-S	City of Fairfield	GFI Fareboxes	\$ 172,340			Jun-12	
T2	City of Fairfield	Bus Purchase/Replacement	\$ 788,484		Mar-11		
FTA 5311	City of Rio Vista	Preventative Maintenance	\$ 75,000		Jun-11		
T1	City of Vacaville	Fixed Route Bus Replacement	\$ 1,734,372	Aug-10			
T1	City of Vacaville	Vacaville Intermodal Station	\$ 482,702		Feb-11		
T1-S	City of Vacaville	Fareboxes	\$ 115,330			Sep-11	Sep-11
T2	City of Vacaville	Vacaville Intermodal Station	\$ 527,655		Feb-11		
T1	City of Vallejo	Rehab/Preventative Maintenance	\$ 3,238,768	Jun-10			
T1	City of Vallejo	Ferry Terminal ADA, Rehab	\$ 800,000		Mar-11		
T1	City of Vallejo	Bus Maintenance Facility	\$ 812,324	Dec-10			
T1	City of Vallejo	Repower Ferry Engines	\$ 2,000,000		Dec-12		
T1	City of Vallejo	Operating Assistance	\$ 761,232	Jun-10			
T1-S	City of Vallejo	Vallejo Station Bus Transit Center	\$ 439,212		May-11		
T2	City of Vallejo	Vallejo Station	\$ 2,009,466		May-12		
FTA 5311	City of Vallejo (STA transferred)	Paratransit Buses (1)	\$ 75,000				
			\$ 17,953,546				

STA TRANSIT CAPITAL - Minor Capital
Purchased and Funded Projects
January 20, 2011

Jurisdiction	Project	Year	Preliminary Cost Estimate
Purchased Projects			
Benicia	Bus Stop Amenities	FY 07-08 - Future	\$53,654
Fairfield	Maintenance Equipment	FY2007-08	\$104,100
Rio Vista	Bus Bench	FY2007-08	\$5,119
Rio Vista	Particulate Trap	FY2007-08	\$25,000
Rio Vista	Radio Base Station & 10 Mobile Units	FY2007-08	\$4,440
Rio Vista	Computer Equipment	FY2007-08	\$3,600
Rio Vista	Bus Stop Signs & Benches	FY2008-09	\$10,000
Vacaville	Transit Maintenance Tools	FY09/10; FY10/11	\$150,000
Vacaville	Transit Amenities: Bus Shelters, Benches etc.. Annual Proj	FY08/09; FY09/10; FY10/11	\$240,000
Vacaville	Transit Amenities, Bus Shelters, Benches, ect.	FY10/11	\$109,800
Vacaville	Transit Administration Equipment	FY10/11	\$40,000
Vacaville	Real-Time Arrival Signage Buses & Transit Centers, Phase I	FY10/11	\$500,000
Vallejo	Tire Machine	FY 07-08	\$10,000
Vallejo	Close Monitoring Wells	FY 07-08	\$25,000
Vallejo	Major Ferry Components Rehab	FY 08-09	\$848,140
Vallejo	Engine Repower	FY 08-09	\$6,500,000
Vallejo	Engine Repower	FY 09-10	\$6,500,000
			\$15,128,853
Funded Projects			
Fairfield	Bus Stop Improvements	FY2007-08;FY2008-09	\$151,800
Fairfield	Transit Equipment (Exterior Graphics; bike racks)	FY2007-08;FY2008-09	\$53,500
Vallejo	Systemwide Bus Shelter Repl.	FY 06-07	\$250,000
Vallejo	Misc Support Equipment	FY 06-07	\$50,000
Vallejo	Port Security FMF	FY 06-07	\$281,250
Vallejo	Replace DPF Mufflers	FY 07-08	\$190,000
Vallejo	Replace Shop Truck	FY 07-08	\$60,000
Vallejo	9 Computers for Transit Facility	FY 07-08	\$27,000
Vallejo	Install new DECS for MCI buses	FY 08-09	\$700,000
Vallejo	Exhaust fan for DPF Cleaner	FY 08-09	\$30,000
Vallejo	Paratransit Scheduling Software	FY 08-09	\$50,000
Vallejo	5 Computers for Paratransit Sched	FY 08-09	\$26,000
Vallejo	Bus Stop Maint/Inventory Software	FY 08-09	\$25,000
Vallejo	Paving Bus Maintenance Facility	FY 08-09	\$500,000
Vallejo	Replace Bus Wash	FY 08-09	\$300,000
Vallejo	Replace Gillig Transmissions	FY 08-09	\$80,000
Vallejo	Replace Gillig Engines	FY 08-09	\$140,000
Vallejo	Replace Maint Facility HVAC	FY 08-09	\$100,000
Vallejo	Renovate Driver Break Room	FY 08-09	\$5,000
Vallejo	Bus Facility Security Surveillance	FY 08-09	\$50,000
Vallejo	Replace 10 Computers for Transit	FY 08-09	\$40,000
Vallejo	PT Maint Support Equip - Battery	FY 08-09	\$10,000
Vallejo	Transit Misc Support Equip	FY 08-09	\$72,000
Vallejo	Surveillance Cameras for Sereno TC	FY 09-10	\$75,000
Vallejo	Support Vehicles	FY 09-10	\$85,000
Vallejo	Seal Shop Floor	FY 09-10	\$100,000
Vallejo	Security Enhance. O&M Facility	FY 09-10	\$300,000
Vallejo	Admin/Maint Vehicle Replacement	FY 09-10	\$270,000
			\$4,021,550

This page intentionally left blank.

SOLANO TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY SOLANO TRANSIT OPERATORS' FLEET INVENTORY

02-09-11 Status Update

Tier Year of Replacement

- 1 2011 and 2012
- 2 2013 and 2014
- 3 2015 and 2016
- 4 2017

Status February 2011											
Operator	Bus #	Manufact.	Model	Mode of Power	Year of Purchase	Planned Year of Retire.	Tier	Mileage	Date Mileage Taken	Intercity, Local FR, Paratransit	Condition
BENICIA											
Benicia	116	Chevrolet	Venture	Gas	2001	2011	1	144,603	6/19/2007	Support	Poor
Benicia	117	Chevrolet	Venture	Gas	2001	2011	1	180,716	6/19/2007	Support	Fair
Benicia	2021	Toyota	Prius	Hybrid	2003	2013	2	40,693	6/19/2007	Support	Good
Benicia	2003	Goshen	Ford E450	Diesel	2000	2007	1	234,248	6/19/2007	Local	Poor
Benicia	2004	Goshen	Ford E450	Diesel	2000	2007	1	239,724	6/19/2007	Local	Fair
Benicia	2201	El Dorado	Aerotech	Diesel	2001	2008	1	237,415	6/19/2007	Local	Good
Benicia	2005	El Dorado	Aerotech	Diesel	2006	2013	2	40,840	6/19/2007	Paratransit	Good
Benicia	2006	El Dorado	Aerotech	Diesel	2006	2013	2	32,468	6/19/2007	Paratransit	Good
Benicia	2007	El Dorado	Aerotech	Diesel	2006	2013	2	39,629	6/19/2007	Paratransit	Good
Benicia	2008	Ford	Allstar	Gas	2007	2014	2	4,358	6/19/2007	Paratransit	Good
Benicia	2013	Ford E450			2008	2015	3			Local	Good
Benicia	2000	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	2000	2012	1	428,549	6/19/2007	Intercity	Fair
Benicia	2001	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	2000	2012	1	451,687	6/19/2007	Intercity	Fair
Benicia	2002	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	2001	2013	2	360,720	6/19/2007	Intercity	Good

DIXON											
Dixon	300	Ford	E450	Gas	2006	2013	2	47,960	2/16/2010	GPPV	Excellent
Dixon	301	Ford	E450	Gas	2007	2014	2	40,468	2/16/2010	GPPV	Good
Dixon	309	Ford	E450	Gas	2003	2013	2	96,046	2/16/2010	GPPV	Spare
Dixon	101	Dodge	ElDorado	Gas	2010	2015	3	2,275	1/18/2011	Intercity	Excellent
Dixon	102	Dodge	ElDorado	Gas	2010	2015	3	2,635	1/18/2011	Intercity	Excellent
Dixon	310	Elkhart	E450	Gas	2010	2017	4			GPPV	NEW
Dixon		Elkhart	E450	Gas	2011	2018				GPPV	Replace May
Dixon		Elkhart	E450	Gas	2011	2018				GPPV	Replace May
Dixon		Elkhart	E450	Gas	2011	2018				GPPV	Replace May

FAIRFIELD											
Fairfield	625	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	1985	1997		634,954	03/04/10	Local FR	Replace Mar
Fairfield	626	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	1985	1997		611,426	03/05/10	Local FR	Replace Mar
Fairfield	627	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	1985	1997		608,449	03/04/10	Local FR	Replace Mar
Fairfield	640	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	1994	2006	1	495,299	03/09/10	Local FR	Fair
Fairfield	641	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	1994	2006	1	534,909	03/05/10	Local FR	Fair
Fairfield	642	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	1996	2008	1	622,583	03/09/10	Local FR	Fair
Fairfield	643	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	1996	2008	1	575,129	03/05/10	Local FR	Fair
Fairfield	644	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	1996	2008	1	573,221	03/08/10	Local FR	Fair
Fairfield	646	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	1996	2008	1	578,689	03/07/10	Local FR	Fair
Fairfield	647	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	2002	2014	2	283,077	03/09/10	Local FR	Good
Fairfield	648	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	2002	2014	2	260,588	03/04/10	Local FR	Good
Fairfield	649	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	2002	2014	2	261,545	03/04/10	Local FR	Good
Fairfield	650	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	2002	2014	2	277,685	03/09/10	Local FR	Good
Fairfield	651	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	2002	2014	2	282,190	03/05/10	Local FR	Good
Fairfield	652	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	2002	2014	2	135,484	03/04/10	Local FR	Fair
Fairfield	653	Gillig	Low-Floor	Diesel	2002	2014	2	174,777	03/07/10	Local FR	Good
Fairfield	654	Gillig	Low-Floor	Diesel	2002	2014	2	201,457	03/04/10	Local FR	Good
Fairfield	670	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	258,181	03/04/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield	671	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	261,717	03/09/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield	672	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	267,236	03/09/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield	673	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	252,408	03/09/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield	674	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	241,600	03/04/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield	675	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	255,442	03/04/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield	676	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	246,092	03/04/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield	677	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	250,008	03/09/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield	678	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	263,289	03/09/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield/Valle	679	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2001	2015	3	404,867	03/09/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield/Valle	680	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	400,036	03/05/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield/Valle	681	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	341,157	03/04/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield/Valle	682	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	324,094	03/04/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield/Valle	683	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	351,847	03/09/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield/Valle	684	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	330,869	03/09/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield/Valle	685	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	376,429	03/04/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield/Valle	686	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	361,195	03/04/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield/Valle	687	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	386,553	03/04/10	Intercity	Good
Fairfield/Valle	688	MCI	D4500	Diesel	2003	32 2017	4	370,760	03/04/10	Intercity	Good

Fairfield	701	Ford	Paratransit	Diesel	2002	2009	1	204,294	03/09/10	Paratransit	Good
Fairfield	702	Ford	Paratransit	Diesel	2002	2009	1	202,246	03/09/10	Paratransit	Good
Fairfield/STA	705	Ford	Paratransit	Diesel	2002	2009		231,072	03/09/10	Paratransit	Replace Mar
Fairfield/STA	706	Ford	Paratransit	Diesel	2002	2009		250,496	03/04/10	Paratransit	Replace Mar
Fairfield/STA	707	Ford	Paratransit	Diesel	2002	2009		258,531	03/09/10	Paratransit	Replace Mar
Fairfield	7708	Ford	Paratransit	Gas	2007	2014	2	68,557	03/04/10	Paratransit	New
Fairfield	7709	Ford	Paratransit	Gas	2007	2014	2	83,678	03/09/10	Paratransit	New
Fairfield	7620	Gillig	Low-Floor	Diesel	2007	2019		82,335	03/09/10	Local FR	New
Fairfield	7621	Gillig	Low-Floor	Diesel	2007	2019		82,226	03/08/10	Local FR	New
Fairfield	7622	Gillig	Low-Floor	Diesel	2007	2019		77,702	03/09/10	Local FR	New
Fairfield	7623	Gillig	Low-Floor	Diesel	2007	2019		80,668	03/09/10	Local FR	New
Fairfield	7629	Gillig	Low-Floor	Diesel	2007	2019		84,495	03/09/10	Local FR	New
Fairfield	7630	Gillig	Low-Floor	Diesel	2007	2019		71,566	03/08/10	Local FR	New
Fairfield	7633	Gillig	Low-Floor	Diesel	2007	2019		81,232	03/09/10	Local FR	New
Fairfield	3668	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	2003	2017	4	60,671	03/04/10	Intercity	New
Fairfield	3669	Gillig	Phantom	Diesel	2003	2017	4	73,764	03/09/10	Intercity	New
Fairfield	9625	Gillig	Low-Floor	Diesel	2009	2021		23,596	03/06/10	Local FR	New
Fairfield	9626	Gillig	Low-Floor	Diesel	2009	2021		22,833	03/07/10	Local FR	New
Fairfield	9635	Gillig	Low-Floor	Diesel	2009	2021		25,730	03/09/10	Local FR	New
Fairfield	9636	Gillig	Low-Floor	Diesel	2009	2021		18,662	03/08/10	Local FR	New

RIO VISTA											
Rio Vista	18	El Dorado	Aerotech	Diesel	2006	2012		124,500	6/30/2010	Intercity/Local	5311/STAF
Rio Vista	17	El Dorado	Aerotech	Diesel	2006	2013	2	105,433	6/30/2010	Intercity/Local	Fair
Rio Vista	19	Starcraft	Allstar	Gas	2008	2014	3	110,147	6/30/2010	Local/Para	Excellent
Rio Vista	20	Starcraft	Allstar	Gas	2009	2015	3	59,982	6/30/2010	Intercity/Local	Excellent
Rio Vista	21	El Dorado	Aerovan	Gas	2011	2017	4	0	6/30/2010	Intercity/Local	On Order

VACAVILLE											
Vacaville	911	BlueBird	2906 QBRE	CNG	2001	2011		179,001	Apr-10	Local FR	Retire
Vacaville	912	BlueBird	2907 QBRE	CNG	2001	2011		188,940	Apr-10	Local FR	Retire
	5	New Flyer		CNG	2011	2023					March
Vacaville	921	New Flyer	CLF35	CNG	2009	2021		22,395	May-10	Local FR	New
Vacaville	922	New Flyer	CLF35	CNG	2009	2021		22,767	May-10	Local FR	New
Vacaville	923	New Flyer	CLF35	CNG	2009	2021		24,579	May-10	Local FR	New
Vacaville	924	New Flyer	CLF35	CNG	2009	2021		21,086	May-10	Local FR	New
Vacaville	925	New Flyer	CLF35	CNG	2009	2021		19,184	May-10	Local FR	New
Vacaville	926	New Flyer	CLF35	CNG	2009	2021		22,241	May-10	Local FR	New
Vacaville	927	New Flyer	CLF35	CNG	2009	2021		14,972	May-10	Local FR	New
Vacaville	928	New Flyer	CLF35	CNG	2009	2021		21,136	May-10	Local FR	New
Vacaville	929	New Flyer	CLF35	CNG	2009	2021		19,006	May-10	Local FR	New
Vacaville	930	New Flyer	CLF35	CNG	2009	2021		17,614	May-10	Local FR	New
Vacaville	956	El Dorado	Ford E350	Diesel	2006	2013	2	51,917	Apr-10	Paratransit	Good
Vacaville	957	El Dorado	Ford E350	Diesel	2006	2013	2	60,111	Apr-10	Paratransit	Good
Vacaville	958	El Dorado	Ford E350	Diesel	2006	2013	2	66,055	Apr-10	Paratransit	Good
Vacaville	959	El Dorado	Ford E350	Diesel	2006	2013	2	53,969	Apr-10	Paratransit	Good
Vacaville	961	Starcraft	Ford 450	Gas	2008	2015	3	31,330	Apr-10	Paratransit	New
Vacaville	962	Starcraft	Ford 450	Gas	2008	2015	3	32,628	Apr-10	Paratransit	New

VALLEJO

Vallejo	4410	Orion	Orion V High	Diesel	2001	2013	2	189,093	Nov-10	Local	Fair
Vallejo	4411	Orion	Orion	Diesel	2001	2013	2	381,684	Nov-10	Local	Fair
Vallejo	4412	Orion	Orion	Diesel	2001	2013	2	372,165	Nov-10	Local	Fair
Vallejo	4413	Orion	Orion	Diesel	2001	2013	2	14,718	Nov-10	Local	Fair
Vallejo	4414	Orion	Orion	Diesel	2001	2013	2	374,773	Nov-10	Local	Fair
Vallejo	4415	Orion	Orion	Diesel	2001	2013	2	365,082	Nov-10	Local	Fair
Vallejo	4416	Orion	Orion	Diesel	2001	2013	2	116,119	Nov-10	Local	Fair
Vallejo	4417	Orion	Orion	Diesel	2001	2013	2	336,070	Nov-10	Local	Fair
Vallejo	BL04	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2001	2015	3	383,686	Nov-10	Intercity	Fair
Vallejo	BL05	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2001	2015	3	421,935	Nov-10	Intercity	Fair
Vallejo	BL07	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	44,979	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL08	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	369,882	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL09	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	426,789	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL10	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	450,575	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL11	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	426,848	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL12	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	403,747	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL13	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	455,328	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL15	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	426,486	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL16	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	451,299	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL18	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	383,104	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL19	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	421,808	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL20	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	440,091	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL21	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	427,655	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL22	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	417,786	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL23	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	387,049	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL24	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	422,433	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL25	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	21,844	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL26	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	315,956	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL29	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	397,121	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL32	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	397,662	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL33	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	402,626	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL34	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	415,159	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	BL35	MCI	DV500	Diesel	2003	2017	4	383,951	Nov-10	Intercity	Good
Vallejo	21	Gillig	G27D102N4	Hybrid	2011	2023				Local	May-11
Vallejo	7	Starcraft	All Star 25	Gas	2011	2018				Paratransit	May-11

This page intentionally left blank.

**STA TRANSIT CAPITAL PLAN - Minor Capital
Preliminary Project Need List -2011 Update
January 20, 2011**

Jurisdiction	Project	Year	Total Cost	Unfunded
Status January 2011				
Benicia	Bus Stop Improvement at 1st St	2010-11	\$500,000	\$500,000
Benicia	Office Equipment	2010-11	\$25,000	\$25,000
			\$525,000	\$525,000
Dixon	New Dispatch System	2010-11	\$15,000	\$15,000
Dixon	Fareboxes	2010-11	\$35,000	\$35,000
Dixon	Bike Racks	2010-11	\$10,000	\$10,000
Dixon	Radio Base & System	2011-12	\$40,000	\$40,000
			\$100,000	\$100,000
Fairfield	DR600 w/GPS Conversion (AVL System)	2010-11	\$ 225,000	\$ 112,500
Fairfield	Zonar (AVL System)	2010-11	\$ 116,884	\$ 58,442
Fairfield	Miscellaneous ITS (AVL System)	2010-11	\$ 400,000	\$ 200,000
Fairfield	Maximus/Zonar Integration (AVL System)	2010-11	\$ 10,410	\$ 5,205
Fairfield	Misce. ITS 11/12 Fairfield (AVL System)	2010-11	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000
Fairfield	Misc. ITS 11/12 Other Agencies (AVL System)	2010-11	\$ 641,056	\$ 320,528
			\$ 1,593,350	\$ 796,675
Rio Vista	Administrative Vehicle	2012	\$30,000	\$30,000
Rio Vista	Bus Stop and Amenities	FY 10-11, FY 11-12	\$8,000	\$8,000
Rio Vista	AVL for Transit Buses	FY 11-12	\$10,000	\$10,000
Rio Vista	Maintenance Facility Equipment/Lifts	FY 11-12	\$40,000	\$40,000
Rio Vista	Maintenance Tools	FY 11-12	\$25,000	\$25,000
Rio Vista	Security Cameras	FY 12-13	\$10,000	\$10,000
Rio Vista	Transit Maintenance Facility Upgrades	FY 11-12, 12-13	\$200,000	\$200,000
			\$323,000	\$323,000
Vacaville	Transit Maintenance Tools	FY10/11 ,12/13	\$150,000	\$150,000
Vacaville	Transit Amenities: Bus Shelters, Benches etc.. Anr	FY10/11	\$240,000	\$240,000
Vacaville	Bus Shelter - William J. Carroll Govt. Center	FY11/12	\$500,000	\$100,000
Vacaville	Real-Time Arrival Signage Bus Shelters, Phase II	FY11/12	\$150,000	\$50,000
Vacaville	Security Enhancements - System wide	FY11/12	\$100,000	\$100,000
			\$1,140,000	\$640,000

**STA TRANSIT CAPITAL PLAN - Minor Capital
Preliminary Project Need List -2011 Update
January 20, 2011**

Jurisdiction	Project	Year	Total Cost	Unfunded
Status January 2011				
Vallejo	Expand Dispatch in Bus Ops Fac	FY 10-11	\$1,000,000	\$200,000
Vallejo	Systemwide AVL	FY 10-11	\$2,160,000	\$432,000
Vallejo	Bus Radios Replacement	FY 10-11	\$117,500	\$23,500
Vallejo	Vault Receiver Replacement	FY 10-11	\$110,000	\$22,000
Vallejo	Bill Counter Machine Replacement	FY 10-11	\$10,000	\$2,000
Vallejo	Public Address System	FY 10-11	\$35,000	\$7,000
Vallejo	Surveillance Cameras for 60 buses	FY 10-11	\$250,000	\$250,000
Vallejo	Upgrade Base Radio Equipment	FY 10-11	\$150,000	\$150,000
Vallejo	Misc Office Equipment	FY 10-11	\$100,000	\$100,000
Vallejo	Coin Counter Machine	FY 11-12	\$9,000	\$1,800
Vallejo	Painting/Logos 60 Vehicles	FY 11-12	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000
Vallejo	UREA TANK (CARB) (?)	FY 11-12		
Vallejo	Facility Maintenance	FY 11-12	\$200,000	\$200,000
Vallejo	Office Furniture	FY 11-12	\$10,000	\$10,000
Vallejo	Misc Shop Equipment	FY 12-13	\$99,674	\$99,674
Vallejo	Hybrid Battery/Drive Motor Replacement	FY 15-16	\$1,260,000	\$1,260,000
Vallejo	Computer Equipment	FY 15-16	\$125,000	\$125,000
Vallejo	Engine Replacement Hybrids (CARB Req)	FY 17-18	\$252,000	\$252,000
			\$7,088,174	\$4,334,974

5 YEAR TOTAL, MINOR CAPITAL			\$10,769,524	\$6,719,649
------------------------------------	--	--	---------------------	--------------------

DRAFT - POPULATION-BASED PROPOSITION 1B - PTMISEA

Investment Category	Original MTC Estimated Prop 1B Total (FY 08 - 17)	Total Remaining (FY 10-17) from SCO *	FY 2007-08			FY 2008-09			FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10			FY 2010-11	
			FY 2007-08 Appropriated	Actual Allocations (Paid)	CARRYOVER Unallocated FY 2007-08	A	B	C=A+B		D	E	F		G=E-F
Lifeline														
Alameda	30,688,000	22,434,096	1,734,416	5,098,588						2,884,930	2,884,930	2,884,930	12,746,471	
Contra Costa	14,000,000	10,234,533	791,248		212,018	1,804,823	2,016,841	1,949,726	67,115	1,316,118	1,316,118	1,316,118	5,814,996	
Marin	3,024,000	2,210,659	170,910		45,796	389,842	435,638	435,638		284,281	284,281	284,281	1,256,039	
Napa	1,904,000	1,391,896	107,610		28,834	245,456	274,290	274,290		178,992	178,992	178,992	790,839	
San Francisco*	16,912,000	13,434,055	955,828		256,123	2,180,226	2,436,349	1,365,610		1,589,870	2,660,609	1,456,713	7,024,515	
San Mateo	7,952,000	5,958,781	449,429		120,426	1,025,140	1,145,566	395,963	604,037	747,555	893,121	893,120	3,302,918	
Santa Clara	24,304,000	18,958,018	1,373,607		368,063	3,133,173	3,501,236	759,760	1,550,607	2,284,781	3,475,650	3,475,650	10,094,833	
Solano	6,160,000	4,503,194	348,149		93,288	794,122	887,410	887,410		579,092	579,092	579,092	2,558,598	
Sonoma	7,056,000	5,158,205	398,789		106,857	909,631	1,016,488	1,016,488		663,323	663,323	663,323	2,930,758	
MTC - Regional Projects**														
			12,278,000		12,278,000									
Subtotal - Lifeline Program	112,000,000	84,283,437	18,607,987		1,231,399	10,482,412	11,713,817	7,084,885	2,221,759	10,528,943	12,936,117	11,732,219	1,203,898	46,519,968

*In FY 2008-09, MTC approved San Francisco Lifeline projects totaling \$2,436,344. However, Caltrans only approved \$212,000 for project work scheduled for completion within 6 months. SF project sponsors need to reapply to Caltrans when contract award is within 6 months of funding cycle.
**MTC Regional Projects funded with 1B to free up STA funds for Lifeline.

Urban Core Transit Improvements

BART Seismic	24,000,000			24,000,000										
BART Station Modernization														
San Francisco Muni Central Subway	100,000,000	82,882,935				15,000,000		15,352,111	718,628		(1,070,739)	8,338,268		
Santa Clara VTA Line 522/523 BRT	45,000,000	34,802,176		9,726,977										
BART to Warm Springs	17,000,000	15,485,685						1,336,440			(1,336,440)	8,338,268		
East Contra Costa BART Extension	17,000,000	12,822,752				3,999,373		3,999,373						
Subtotal - Urban Core	203,000,000	145,993,548	33,726,977	33,726,977		18,999,373		20,687,924	718,628	19,083,709	16,676,535	16,676,536	-	84,317,442

*To meet timely use of funds requirements, MTC advanced \$2.4 million to the Urban Core from the Lifeline category. This advance has been credited to the FY 2009-10 Lifeline funding available: San Francisco \$1,070,739; San Mateo \$145,566; Santa Clara \$1,190,869.

Small Operators/North Counties

Marin	3,404,473	2,488,800	565,629	565,629		318,635		318,635		320,049	320,049		320,049	1,414,071
Napa	1,806,699	1,320,766	300,170	300,170		169,094		169,094		169,845	169,845	169,845		750,425
Solano (includes Vallejo)	5,682,360	4,154,021	944,083	944,082		531,829		174,891	356,938	534,190	534,190		534,190	2,360,207
Sonoma	6,449,431	4,714,780	1,071,526	1,071,526		603,621		284,025	319,596	606,301	606,301	591,629	14,672	2,678,816
CCCTA	6,555,668	4,792,443	1,089,177	1,089,177		613,564		613,564		616,288	616,288	616,288		2,722,941
ECCTA	3,654,151	2,671,324	607,111	607,111		342,003		342,003		343,521	343,521		343,521	1,517,777
LAVTA	2,583,887	1,888,920	429,294	429,294		241,834		241,834		242,907	242,907	242,907		1,073,235
Union City	956,272	699,071	158,878	158,878		89,500		89,500		89,898	89,898	89,898		397,194
WestCat	907,058	663,094	150,701	150,701		84,894		84,894		85,271	85,271	85,271		376,753
Subtotal - Small Operators/North Counties	32,000,000	23,393,218	5,316,568	5,316,568		2,994,975		2,318,440	676,534	3,008,269	3,008,269	1,795,838	1,212,432	13,291,419

Population-based Total	347,000,000	253,670,208	57,651,532	56,420,133	1,231,399	32,476,760	11,713,817	30,091,249	3,616,920	32,620,922	32,620,921	30,204,592	2,416,330	144,128,830
-------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------	--------------------

	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	Total Appropriated	Remaining to Appropriate
Total State-wide Appropriate	600,000,000	350,000,000	350,000,000	1,500,000,000	2,800,000,000	800,000,000

FY 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10 Funds are available for allocation until June 30, 2011, and available for encumbrance and liquidation until June 30, 20
FY 2010-11 Funds are available for allocation until June 30, 2012, and available for encumbrance and liquidation until June 30, 20

J:\PROJECT\Funding\Infrastructure Bond\Bond\Transit\MTC Regional Transit Proposal - \$347M\FY 2010-11\PTMISEA Project List_1_24_2011.xls\POP

*Total Remaining based on SCO letter dated October 30, 2009. The State reduced the original estimate due to changes in PMIA loan interest and administrative charges. The State may revise this estimate again in the future.

DRAFT - REVENUE-BASED PROPOSITION 1B - PTMISEA

Agency	Original MTC Estimated Prop 1B Total (FY 08 - 17)	Total Remaining (FY 10-17) from SCO *	FY 2007-08		FY 2008-09		FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10			FY 2010-11
			FY 2007-08 Appropriated	Actual Allocations (Paid)	FY 2008-09 Appropriated	Actual Allocations (Paid)	Pending Payment or Future Cycle	FY 2009-10 Appropriated	FY 2009-10 Requests - Pending Caltrans Approval and Future Bond Sale	FY 2009-10 Available for Programming	FY 2010-11 Appropriated
Alameda CMA - for ACE	1,699,328	1,245,898	283,155	283,155	159,509		159,509	160,217	160,217		707,887
Benicia	129,528	94,966	21,583	21,583	12,158		12,158	12,212		12,212	53,957
Caltrain	41,108,705	30,139,739	6,849,847	6,849,847	3,858,715	3,858,715		3,875,844		3,875,844	17,124,618
CCCTA	5,117,254	3,751,827	852,676	852,676	480,337	480,337		482,469	482,469		2,131,691
Dixon	41,542	30,459	6,922	6,922	3,900	3,900		3,917		3,917	17,306
ECCTA	2,076,372	1,522,337	345,981	345,981	194,901	194,901		195,766		195,766	864,952
Fairfield	724,664	531,302	120,749		68,021	68,021	120,749	68,323	68,323		301,872
GGBHTD	35,123,114	25,751,271	5,852,482	5,852,482	3,296,871	3,296,871		3,311,505	3,311,505		14,631,204
Healdsburg	11,217	8,222	1,869		1,053		2,922	1,057		1,057	4,671
LAVTA	1,606,102	1,177,550	267,621	267,621	150,759	150,759		151,428	151,428		669,053
NCPTA	429,082	314,592	71,497	71,497	40,276	40,276		40,455	40,455		178,743
SamTrans	48,424,898	35,503,763	8,068,927	8,068,927	2,568,430	2,568,430		4,565,635	4,565,635		20,172,317
Santa Rosa	1,099,151	805,867	183,149	183,149	103,173	103,173		103,631	103,631		457,873
Sonoma County Transit	1,392,500	1,020,940	232,029	232,029	130,708	130,708		131,289	131,289		580,072
Union City	411,210	301,488	68,519	68,519	38,599	38,599		38,770	38,770		171,297
Vallejo	5,933,235	4,350,078	988,641	988,641	556,930	556,930		559,402	559,402		2,471,601
VTA	143,993,645	105,572,064	23,993,323	18,747,364	13,516,126	73,199	18,688,886	13,576,124	1,429,457	12,146,667	59,983,308
VTA - for ACE	2,371,371	1,738,624	395,136		222,592		617,728	223,580		223,580	987,841
WestCAT	2,484,810	1,821,792	414,038	414,038	233,239	233,239		234,275	234,275		1,035,095
SUBTOTAL	294,177,728	215,682,779	49,018,144	43,254,431	25,636,297	11,798,058	19,601,952	27,735,899	11,276,856	16,459,043	122,545,358
AC Transit	94,030,133	68,940,231	15,668,020	15,668,020	8,826,245	8,826,245		8,865,424	8,865,424		39,170,051
BART	235,238,734	172,470,379	39,197,278	39,197,278	24,057,977	22,731,092	1,326,885	22,178,966	22,178,966		97,993,194
SFMTA	309,462,843	226,889,394	51,565,067	50,365,000	29,048,079	21,155,287	9,092,859	29,177,022	27,131,732	2,045,290	128,912,666
SUBTOTAL	638,731,711	468,300,004	106,430,365	105,230,298	61,932,301	52,712,624	10,419,744	60,221,412	58,176,122	2,045,290	266,075,911
Revenue-based Total	932,909,439	683,982,783	155,448,509	148,484,729	87,568,598	64,510,682	30,021,696	87,957,311	69,452,978	18,504,333	388,621,269

	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	Total Appropriated	Remaining to Appropriate
Total State-wide Appropriated	600,000,000	350,000,000	350,000,000	1,500,000,000	2,800,000,000	800,000,000

FY 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10 Funds are available for allocation until June 30, 2011, and available for encumbrance and liquidation until June 30, 2015.

FY 2010-11 Funds are available for allocation until June 30, 2012, and available for encumbrance and liquidation until June 30, 2016.

J:\PROJECT\Funding\Infrastructure Bond\I-Bond\Transit\MTC Regional Transit Proposal - \$347M\FY 2010-11\PTMISEA Project List_1.24.2011.xls\POP

*Total Remaining based on SCO letter dated October 30, 2009. The State reduced the original estimate due to changes in PMIA loan interest and administrative charges. The State may revise this estimate again in the future.



DATE: February 14, 2011
TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
FROM: Elizabeth Richards, Director of Transit and Rideshare Services
RE: FY 2011-12 Transportation Development Act (TDA) and State Transit Assistance Funds (STAF) Fund Estimates

Background:

The Transportation Development Act (TDA) of 1971 established two sources of funds that provide support for public transportation services statewide – the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) and the Public Transportation Account (PTA). Solano County receives TDA funds through the LTF and State Transit Assistance Funds (STAF) through the PTA. State law specifies that STAF funds be used to provide financial assistance for public transportation, including funding for transit planning, operations and capital acquisition projects.

For a number of years, TDA funds had been modestly increasing. TDA is generated from a percentage of countywide sales tax. With the economic downturn of the last couple years, TDA revenues have been decreasing.

In FY2007-08 and FY2008-09, Solano’s share of all types of STAF funds (revenue-based; population-based/Northern Counties-Solano; Regional Paratransit-Solano; Lifeline STAF) was about \$3 million per year. STAF funds had been used for a wide range of activities, including providing funds for STA transit programs administration, transit studies, transit marketing activities, matching funds for the purchase of new intercity buses and covering new bus purchase shortfalls on start-up new intercity services when the need arises.

Discussion:

The new TDA and STAF FY 2011-12 revenue projections are in the process of being approved by Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC). The estimates have been approved by MTC’s Programming and Allocations Committee (PAC) and are scheduled for Commission approval on February 23rd. It would be highly unusual for the estimate to change at this point.

TDA:

After several years of growth, Solano TDA revenue began to decline after FY2006-07. At its peak in FY2006-07, the TDA available countywide was \$15.9m and then modestly declined for two years. In FY2008-09 it made its first significant drop of nearly 5% to \$14.7m and in FY 2009-10 Solano TDA decreased by even a larger percentage (10.7%) to \$13.1m. For FY2011-12, the current projection is that TDA will remain flat and result in \$12.9 for Solano transit operators. See Attachment A for draft Solano FY 2011-12 TDA fund estimate by jurisdiction.

MTC is required to use County Auditor estimates for TDA revenues. TDA is generated from a percentage of countywide sales tax and distributed to local jurisdictions based on population share. Given the economic downturn, sales tax and TDA have decreased and will remain suppressed until the economy improves. Staff reemphasizes that these TDA figures are revenue *estimates*. Especially with all the existing uncertainty, the amounts are not guaranteed and should not be 100% claimed to avoid fiscal difficulties if the actual revenues are lower than the projections.

STAF

The FY2009-10 State budget eliminated the funding of STAF. This decision was contested in court and a ruling was made in favor of restoring STAF. In the Spring of 2010, the STAF was funded through a fuel tax swap. The recently released FY2011-12 State Budget by the Governor proposes the funding of STAF at only a slightly reduced statewide level of \$330m as compared to FY2010-11's \$350m. It remains vulnerable as the impact of the passage of Proposition 26 in November 2010 on the fuel tax swap is being analyzed. STA staff will continue to monitor this issue. STAF revenue-based and population-based estimates are shown on Attachments B and C.

Recommendation:

Informational.

Attachments:

- A. Draft FY 2011-12 TDA Solano fund estimate (Feb 9, 2011)
- B. FY2011-12 STAF Solano fund estimate (Jan 2010) Revenue-based (Feb 9, 2011)
- C. FY2011-12 STAF Solano fund estimate Population-based (Feb 9, 2011)

FY 2011-12 FUND ESTIMATE
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS
SOLANO COUNTY

Column	A 6/30/10 Balance (w/o interest) ¹	B FY 2009-10 Interest	6/30/10 Balance (w/interest)	D FY 2009-11 Outstanding Commitments ²	E FY 2010-11 Transfers/ Refunds	F FY 2010-11 Original Estimate	G FY 2010-11 Revenue Adjustment	H=Sum(C-G) 6/30/11 Projected Carryover	I FY 2011-12 Revenue Estimate	J=H+I Total Available For Allocation
FY 2010-11 TDA Revenue Estimate Adjustment										
FY 2010-11 Generation Estimates Adjustment										
1. Original County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 10)			13,880,128							13,416,183
2. Revised County Auditor Estimate (Feb, 11)			13,416,183							
3. Revenue Adjustment (Line 2-1)			(463,945)						67,081	67,081
FY 2010-11 Planning and Administration Charges Adjustment										
4. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 3)			(2,320)						67,081	67,081
5. County Administration (0.5% of line 3)			(2,320)						402,485	402,485
6. MTC Planning (3.0% of line 3)			(13,918)							
7. Total Charges (Lines 4+5+6)			(18,558)							536,647
8. Adjusted Generations Less Charges (Line 3-7)			(8,908)						257,591	257,591
FY 2010-11 TDA Adjustment By Article										
9. Article 3 Adjustment (2.0% of line 8)			(45,479)							
10. Funds Remaining (Line 8-9)			-							
11. Article 4.5 Adjustment (5.0% of line 10)			(436,429)							
12. Article 4 Adjustment (Line 10-11)										
FY 2011-12 TDA Estimate										
FY 2011-12 County Auditor's Generations Estimate										
County Auditor's Estimate										13,416,183
FY 2011-12 Planning and Administration Charges										
14. MTC Administration (0.5% of line 13)										
15. County Administration (3.0% of line 13)										
16. MTC Planning (0.5% of line 13)										
17. Total Charges (Lines 14+15+16)										
18. TDA Generations Less Charges (Line 13-17)										
FY 2011-12 TDA Apportionment By Article										
19. Article 3.0 (2.0% of line 18)										
20. Funds Remaining (Line 18-19)										
21. Article 4.5 (5.0% of line 20)										
22. TDA Article 4 (Line 20-21)										

TDA APPORTIONMENT BY JURISDICTIONS

Column	A 6/30/10 Balance (w/o interest) ¹	B FY 2009-10 Interest	6/30/10 Balance (w/interest)	D FY 2009-11 Outstanding Commitments ²	E FY 2010-11 Transfers/ Refunds	F FY 2010-11 Original Estimate	G FY 2010-11 Revenue Adjustment	H=Sum(C-G) 6/30/11 Projected Carryover	I FY 2011-12 Revenue Estimate	J=H+I Total Available For Allocation
Article 3	427,945	9,323	437,268	(642,350)	-	266,498	(8,908)	52,509	257,591	310,100
Article 4.5	427,945	9,323	437,268	(642,350)	-	266,498	(8,908)	52,509	257,591	310,100
SUBTOTAL										
Article 4/8										
Benicia	-	3,939	3,939	(36,597)	-	856,130	(28,615)	794,857	828,586	1,623,443
Dixon	-	340	340	(299,140)	-	537,755	(17,977)	220,977	519,379	740,356
Fairfield	5,262,415	66,523	5,328,938	(2,807,956)	-	3,257,193	(109,185)	5,668,990	3,125,859	8,794,849
Rio Vista	138,742	3,374	142,116	(216,700)	-	251,603	(8,255)	168,764	245,573	414,337
Suisun City	-	401	401	(24,031)	-	883,029	(28,835)	830,563	854,430	1,684,993
Vacaville	2,850,482	41,674	2,892,156	(5,157,865)	-	2,951,487	(99,113)	586,665	2,870,669	3,457,334
Vallejo	1,652,843	10,473	1,663,316	(147,179)	-	3,704,430	(123,856)	5,096,711	3,582,546	8,679,257
Solano County	-	976	976	(24,853)	-	616,798	(20,643)	572,278	594,903	1,167,181
SUBTOTAL³	9,904,482	127,699	10,032,181	(8,714,321)	-	13,058,424	(436,479)	13,939,805	12,621,945	26,561,750
GRAND TOTAL	10,332,427	137,023	10,469,450	(9,356,671)	-	13,324,923	(445,387)	13,992,315	12,932,045	26,871,851

1. Balance as of 6/30/10 is from MTC FY 2009-10 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.
2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2010, and FY 2010-11 allocations, transfers and refunds as of December 31, 2010.
3. Where applicable by local agreement, contributions from each jurisdiction will be made to support the Intercity Transit Funding Agreement.

**FY 2011-12 FUND ESTIMATE
STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE
REVENUE-BASED FUNDS (PUC 99314)**

*Attachment A
Res. No. 3990
Page 11 of 16
February 9, 2011*

FY 2010-11 Initial Estimate FY 2010-11 Actual Revenue ³ FY 2010-11 Total Revenue Adjustment <i>Column</i>	0		C FY 2010-11 Actual Revenue ³	D = Sum(A;D) Projected Carryover	F FY 2011-12 Revenue Estimate ⁴	G=(E+F) Total Available For Allocation
	A 6/30/10 Balance ^{1,3}	B FY 2009-11 Outstanding Commitments				
Alameda CMA - Corresponding to ACE	1,386,611	(1,379,809)		6,802	196,055	202,857
Benicia	11,665	-		11,665	9,607	21,272
Caltrain	7,668,991	(7,487,000)		181,757	4,222,450	4,404,206
CCCTA	552,899	(552,634)		215	455,420	455,635
Dixon	5,870	-		5,870	4,624	10,494
ECCTA	211,635	(211,622)		13	174,296	174,309
Fairfield	82,483	-		802,483	109,693	912,176
GGBHTD	431,202	(432,448)		1,754	3,693,658	3,695,412
Healdsburg	201,800	(3,811)	FY 2010-11 STA Revenue is recorded in the Column A (Balance)	3,107	2,247	5,354
LAVTA	466	(201,728)		78	166,226	166,304
NCPTA	4,193,399	(41,140)		2,326	35,803	38,129
SamTrans	152,387	(3,390,227)		802,972	3,453,709	4,256,681
Santa Rosa	152,324	(111,345)		152,587	125,683	278,270
Sonoma County Transit	20,830	(20,822)		41,479	125,865	167,344
Union City	609,616	-		8	17,157	17,165
Vallejo	14,541,705	(14,536,002)		609,616	464,040	1,073,656
VTA	341,851	(341,650)		5,703	11,978,119	11,983,822
VTA - Corresponding to ACE	250,223	(250,125)		201	273,045	273,246
WestCAT	42	-		98	206,108	206,206
Petaluma	3,758	(3,755)		42	-	42
Rio Vista	35,643,181	(33,014,402)		3	3,094	3,097
SUBTOTAL				2,628,779	25,716,899	28,345,678
AC Transit	9,939,533	(9,894,290)		45,243	8,151,746	8,196,989
BART	28,224,283	(26,703,601)		1,520,682	23,248,148	24,768,830
SFMTA	37,488,271	(36,344,667)		1,143,604	29,901,060	31,044,664
SUBTOTAL				2,709,529	61,300,954	64,010,483
GRAND TOTAL				5,338,308	87,017,854	92,356,161

1. Balance as of 6/30/10 is from MTC FY 2009-10 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.
 2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2010, and FY 2010-11 allocations, transfers and refunds as of December 31, 2010.
 3. In July 2010 the state paid a lump sum payment covering STA revenue for both FY 2009-10 and FY 2010-2011. Any STA funds that were not claimed in FY 2009-10 are recorded as part of the balance.
 4. The FY 2011-12 STA Estimates reflect the \$329.6 million proposed in the Governor's budget.

Attachment A
Res No. 3990
Page 12 of 16
February 9, 2011

FY 2011-12 FUND ESTIMATE
STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE
POPULATION-BASED FUNDS (PUC 99313)

Column	FY 2010-11 Original Revenue Estimates		FY 2010-11 Projected Carryover		FY 2010-11 Total	
	A	B	C	D	E	F
	6/30/10	FY 2009-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11	FY 2010-11
	Balance ^{1,3}	Outstanding Commitments	Actual Revenue	Projected Carryover	Revenue Estimate ⁶	Available For Allocation
Apportionment Jurisdictions						
Northern Counties/Small Operators						
Marin	1,159,279	57,652		1,027	949,961	951,588
Napa	836,362	(1,191)		8,171	506,293	514,464
Solano ⁴	3,262,792	(2,191)		3,241,816	1,559,282	4,801,098
Sonoma	2,792,986	(1,775,607)		1,017,347	1,797,812	2,815,159
CCCTA	2,987,971	(2,987,971)		6,169	1,846,771	1,852,940
ECCTA	1,767,770	(458,485)		3,485	1,081,006	1,085,091
LAVTA	1,229,811	(528,611)		2,170	750,523	752,693
Union City	329,434	(421,216)		869	273,570	274,439
WestCAT	422,087			868	253,822	256,690
Vallejo ⁴	103,093			105,093		105,093
SUBTOTAL	893,297	(10,505,682)		4,387,615	9,021,639	13,409,234
Regional Paratransit						
Alameda	974,206	(1,765,720)		102,689	1,154,363	1,257,052
Contra Costa	161,639	(972,204)		2,002	596,548	598,550
Marin	142,148	(161,394)		245	133,275	133,520
Napa	1,106,298	(1,411,415)		733	87,034	87,767
San Francisco	612,562	(1,103,827)		2,471	911,400	913,871
San Mateo	1,822,065	(611,118)		1,444	504,645	506,089
Santa Clara	496,924	(1,817,775)		4,290	1,045,570	1,049,860
Solano	381,046	(233,483)		147,563	248,274	248,274
SUBTOTAL	7,565,387	(6,807,026)		758,361	4,957,143	5,715,504
Lifeline						
Alameda	3,503,762	(513,000)		2,990,762	2,344,730	5,535,492
Contra Costa	2,121,360	(664,402)		1,456,958	1,160,917	2,617,875
Marin	307,955	(306,195)		1,760	250,758	252,518
Napa	264,694	(380,844)		(116,150)	157,885	41,735
San Francisco	6,457,131	(3,071,710)		3,385,421	1,402,388	4,787,809
San Mateo	2,191,963	(1,823,040)		368,923	659,401	1,028,324
Santa Clara	4,102,827			4,102,827	2,015,352	6,118,179
Solano	1,152,997	(222,685)		930,312	510,804	1,441,116
Sonoma	1,646,505	(594,824)		1,051,681	585,102	1,636,783
SUBTOTAL	21,749,194	(7,576,700)		14,172,494	9,287,337	23,459,831
BART to Warm Springs	322,247			322,247		322,247
eBART	322,247			322,247		322,247
SanTrans	37,985			37,985		37,985
MTC Regional Coordination Program ⁵	22,135,362	(5,701,569)		16,433,793	8,543,593	24,977,386
GRAND TOTAL	67,025,719	(30,590,977)		36,434,742	31,809,712	68,244,454

1. Balance as of 6/30/10 is from MTC FY 2009-10 Audit, and it contains both funds available for allocation and funds that have been allocated but not disbursed.
 2. The outstanding commitments figure includes all unpaid allocations as of June 30, 2010, and FY 2010-11 allocations, transfers and refunds as of December 31, 2010.
 3. In July 2010 the state paid a lump sum covering STA revenue for both FY 2009-10 and FY 2010-2011. Any STA funds that were not claimed in FY 2009-10 are recorded as part of the balance.
 4. Beginning in FY 2008-09, the Vallejo revenue apportionment is combined with Solano, as per MTC Resolution 3637.
 5. Committed to TransLink® and other MTC Customer Service projects.
 6. The FY 2011-12 STA Estimates reflect the \$329.6 million proposed in the Governor's budget.

This page intentionally left blank.



DATE: February 16, 2011
TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
FROM: Elizabeth Richards, Director of Transit and Rideshare Services
RE: Discussion of Intercity Transit Study Update and Service Changes

This report will be provided under separate cover.

This page intentionally left blank.



DATE: February 15, 2011
TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
FROM: Elizabeth Richards, Director of Transit and Rideshare Services
RE: FY 2011-12 Intercity Transit Funding Agreement Schedule

Background:

In June 2006, the Solano Transportation Authority Board authorized the development of an Intercity Transit Funding Agreement for Fiscal Year (FY) 2006-07. This agreement was the result of the work of the Intercity Transit Funding (ITF) Working Group comprised of representatives from STA, Solano County, and each city in Solano County.

Initially the ITF Working Group focused on development of a uniform methodology for shared funding of intercity transit services. However, rising costs and potential service changes broadened the scope of the ITF Working Group to include service coordination and streamlining services along parallel routes. Service changes to the intercity route structure and operation were agreed upon and implemented in early FY 2006-07. In the FY 2007-08 ITF agreement, further service changes were proposed and the implementation of these changes were completed in FY2008-09.

The FY 2007-08, FY 2008-09, FY2009-10, and FY2010-11 ITF agreements addressed funding for seven major intercity routes. It is the time of year to begin working on the FY 2011-12 ITF agreement in order to have it in place prior to the beginning of the fiscal year.

In 2006 the first countywide ridership survey was conducted primarily to collect data to support the Intercity Transit Funding agreement. The ITF cost-sharing formula includes a factor based on the residence of the riders on each intercity route. To determine this, a ridership survey is to be conducted every three years. In the Fall of 2009 a ridership survey was conducted and the results were used in the FY2010-11 cost-sharing formula and will be used in the FY2011-12 formula as well.

Discussion:

The first step in developing the FY 2011-12 agreement is to determine how the intercity routes funded through the FY 2010-11 ITF agreement are performing at mid-year. In the FY 2010-11 ITF agreement, monitoring of intercity route performance is required by the intercity operators. Their mid-year data has been requested. The mid-year data provides the first glimpse of how the current array of intercity services is performing and how their actual costs are tracking. FY2009-10 year end and FY2010-11 mid-year, if available, will be presented at the meeting.

A draft schedule for the development of the FY 2011-12 ITF agreement is attached (Attachment A). This schedule includes a discussion at the February Consortium meeting of any initially proposed changes to the routes in terms of service and/or fare by the operators that would affect FY2011-12.

Fiscal Impact:

The Intercity Transit Funding agreement will identify funding for major intercity services in FY 2011-12.

Recommendation:

Informational.

Attachments:

- A. FY 2011-12 ITF Agreement Development Schedule Update

SOLANO TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
FY 2010-11 & FY 2011-12
INTERCITY TRANSIT FUNDING
TASK SCHEDULE
(February 16, 2011)

TASK	TIME FRAME	STATUS	
1	Operators submit final FY 2009-10 performance report	Nov. 2010	Received
2	Operators report on July – December FY 2010 performance	Jan/Feb 2011	Requested
3	Operators identify any potential FY 2011-12 service, fare, and budget amendments	Feb/Mar 2011	
4	Discuss potential service and fare changes for FY 2011-12	Feb/Mar 2011	
5	FY 2011-12 fund estimates (TDA, STAF) available from MTC	February 2011	Received
6	Update population data	March 2011	
7	Update residence of riders data	March 2011	
8	Jurisdictions draft FY 2011-12 budgets	March 2011	
9	Operators prepare FY 2011-12 CAM	March 2011	
10	Discuss FY 2011-12 cost sharing formula	March 2011	
11	Approve cost sharing formula and inputs	April/May 2011	
12	Draft and finalize FY 2011-12 agreement	May 2011	
13	Prepare TDA matrix	May 2011	
14	Operators submit final FY 2011-12 performance report	August 2011	
15	Operators submit data for reconciling FY 2010-11 budget vs. actual costs	November 2011	

This page intentionally left blank.



DATE: February 16, 2011
TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
FROM: Judy Leaks, SNCI Program Manager/Analyst
RE: SNCI Monthly Issues

Background:

Each month, the STA's Solano Napa Commuter Information (SNCI) program staff provides an update to the Consortium on several key issues: Napa and Solano transit schedule status, marketing, promotions and events. Other items are included as they become relevant.

Discussion:

Transit Schedules:

The monthly transit schedule matrix was distributed to all Solano and Napa operators the week of February 14. Based on the response received, an updated transit matrix will be provided at the meeting.

Marketing/Promotions:

SNCI is focusing on promoting vanpools to employers located in the VacaValley Parkway area with the Vacaville Vanpool Promotion. It is anticipated that the potential for vanpooling will come from the Sacramento area. SNCI staff has set up a workshop format for interested employees. At the workshop, staff will explain vanpooling, answer questions, help individuals who own their vans to meet regulatory requirements, and refer other potential groups to local vanpool vendors. Workshops will take place at Genentech on February 16. Other interested employers are Kaiser Permanente Vacaville, State Compensation Insurance Fund, and Alza.

Events:

SNCI staffs information booths at events where transit information is distributed along with a range of other commute options information. No events occurred this month, but staff is scheduling spring events over the next few months.

Recommendation:

Informational.

This page intentionally left blank.



DATE: February 10, 2011
TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
FROM: Jayne Bauer, Marketing and Legislative Program Manager
RE: Legislative Update

Background:

Each year, STA staff monitors state and federal legislation that pertains directly to transportation and related issues. On December 8, 2010, the STA Board adopted its 2011 Legislative Priorities and Platform to provide policy guidance on transportation legislation and the STA's legislative activities during 2011.

Discussion:

State

The Governor's Proposed 2010-11 State Budget released on January 10th paints a bleak fiscal picture for California in general, and local government and transportation in particular, as summarized by Gus Khouri of STA's State Legislative Advocacy firm Shaw/Yoder/Antwih (Attachment A). Of particular concern is the recent discussion about delaying the next state bond sale from Spring 2011 to the Fall of this year, which could delay the construction of SR 12 Jameson Canyon and the I-80 Eastbound Cordelia Truck Scales Relocation projects.

Shaw/Yoder/Antwih has scheduled meetings on March 2 between STA Board members and STA's State representatives in Sacramento to urge protection of critical funding for transportation projects in Solano County. The STA delegation will focus on urging our State legislators to support the following priorities:

1. Reenactment of the gas tax swap and use of truck weight fees for bond debt service
(STA 2011 Legislative Priority #4: Oppose efforts to reduce or divert funding from transportation projects.)
2. Sale and acceleration of bond allocations
(STA 2011 Legislative Platform #VII.4 Funding: Support state budget and California Transportation Commission allocation to fully fund projects for Solano County included in the State Transportation Improvement Program and the Comprehensive Transportation Plans of the county.)
3. Lower the voter threshold for local sales tax measures
(STA 2011 Legislative Priority #5: Support initiatives to pursue the 55% voter threshold for county transportation infrastructure measures.)

Shaw/Yoder/Antwih has been working with a broad coalition of stakeholders including the League of Cities, California State Association of Counties, California Alliance for Jobs, and California Transit Association, among others, to push for the reenactment of the gas tax swap. On February 9, the STA Board approved support of the coalition's efforts (letter included in Attachment B), and will forward letters of support to the Governor and members of Solano's State legislative delegation. Further information can be found in the Gas Tax Swap Reenactment Q&A (Attachment C) prepared by Shaw/Yoder/Antwih.

Federal

With the debate continuing on how to fund the federal surface transportation account, the House of Representatives and Senate has issued a no-earmarks stance for appropriations. President Obama also expressed strong sentiment against earmarks in his State of the Union speech on January 25. For further information, see the January Federal Legislative Update (Attachment D).

Congressman John Garamendi did, however, solicit Appropriations requests, and STA staff submitted two earmark requests for projects located in his district:

- Fairfield/Vacaville Intermodal Station, \$2 million
- Dixon Station: West B Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Undercrossing Project, \$2 million

Staff is working with STA's federal advocate, Susan Lent, of Akin Gump to restructure our approach to meeting with our Congressional representatives in Washington DC on April 13-14. While STA project priorities will remain as outlined in the 2011 STA Legislative Priorities and Platform, funding will likely come from federal agencies (Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Authority, Department of Housing and Urban Development), and not from Congressional earmarks. The STA Board will meet with a range of key officials at federal departments and agencies to discuss competitive grant opportunities, public private partnerships, and discretionary funding programs. The focus this year will be to demonstrate local and regional support for STA's priority projects as they reinforce Solano's regionally significant transportation network.

Recommendation:

Informational.

Attachments:

- A. State Legislative Update - January (Shaw/Yoder/Antwih)
- B. Coalition Letter Urging Reenactment of Gas Tax Swap
- C. Gas Tax Swap Reenactment Q&A
- D. Federal Legislative Update – January (Akin Gump)



SHAW/YODER/ANTWIH, inc.
 LEGISLATIVE ADVOCACY • ASSOCIATION MANAGEMENT

January 27, 2011

TO: Board Members, Solano Transportation Authority
 FROM: Gus Khouri, Legislative Advocate
 Shaw / Yoder / Antwih, Inc.

RE: STATE LEGISLATIVE UPDATE- JANUARY

On January 10, Governor Brown released his FY 2011-12 State Budget. Describing an 18-month \$25.4 billion General Fund deficit, which includes a current year (FY 10-11) shortfall of \$8.2 billion, and a budget year (FY 11-12) shortfall of \$17.2 billion, the governor cites unrealistic assumptions, including the reliance on federal funds which have not materialized, the sunset of tax extensions, one-time solutions, and a stagnant housing market and economy in general as reasons for the shortfall. As a result, Governor Brown proposes \$12.5 billion in cuts, \$12 billion in revenues, and \$1.8 billion in shifts to close the deficit and provide a \$1 billion reserve.

The proposed reductions include cuts to most major programs such as \$1.7 billion to Medi-Cal, \$1.5 billion to California's welfare-to-work program, \$1 billion to the University of California and California State University, \$750 million to the Department of Developmental Services, \$580 million to state operations and employee compensation, and the elimination of redevelopment agencies (\$1.7 billion).

With respect to revenues, the Governor proposes extending existing tax rates for the next five years upon voter approval on the June ballot for the following items:

- **Personal Income Tax (PIT) Rate Surcharge:** Effective for tax years on or after January 1, 2011 but before January 1, 2016, maintain the .25% surcharge for PIT tax rate and the Alternative Minimum Tax Rate. If extended, this proposal is expected to generate revenues of \$1.187 billion in FY 10-11 and \$2.077 billion in FY 11-12.
- **PIT Dependent Exemption Credit:** Maintain the dependent exemption credit in effect in 2009 until 2015. If extended, this proposal is expected to generate revenues of \$725 million in FY 10-11 and \$1.248 billion in FY 11-12.
- **Sales & Use Tax:** Effective July 1, 2011, the 6-cent sales and use tax would continue for 5 years. The rate would sunset on June 30th to 5-cents without voter approval. If extended, the proposal is expected to generate \$4.549 billion in FY 11-12 and \$5.5 billion in FY 14-15.
- **Vehicle License Fee (VLF):** Effective July 1, the 1.15% VLF rate would continue for five years. Of the 1.15% rate, 0.5% would be used to fund local programs including public safety. If extended, this proposal is expected to generate \$1.382 billion in FY 11-12 and nearly \$1.7 billion in FY 14-15.

Realignment

In addition, the Governor's budget proposes a major shift in the state-local partnership by proposing to realign control and budget authority of certain governmental services such as

fire and emergency response activities, court security, mental health services, the transfer of low-level offenders to county jails, substance treatment programs, and foster care to the locals, among other things. When fully implemented, this proposal will restructure how and where more than \$10 billion in a wide range of services are delivered. The first phase of the proposal will be a \$5.9 billion transfer of programs from the state to counties funded by maintaining the current 1-percent sales tax and the .50-percent Vehicle License Fee (VLF) that are currently set to expire on June 30, 2011. As mentioned above, the Governor proposes to make these revenue streams available to fund programs if they are approved by the voters in June.

Impact on Transportation

The Governor's Budget acknowledges the passage of Proposition 26 threatens the transportation and transit revenues enacted in last March's "gas tax swap" and that the passage of Proposition 22 makes it harder for the state to use excise tax on gasoline revenue for purposes of paying transportation bond debt service (a method used in the gas tax swap to achieve General Fund savings).

In response, the Governor proposes to use truck weight fees from the State Highway Account (SHA) – which may not be as restricted by Proposition 22 – to pay remaining FY 2010-11 and new FY 11-12 bond debt service; additionally, remaining truck weight fees are proposed to be loaned to the General Fund. Truck weight fees generate roughly \$800 to \$900 million annually.

He would also use certain other SHA revenues not restricted by Article XIX to pay for Proposition 116 (rail transit) bond debt service.

Gas Tax Swap Reenactment

The Governor also proposes to "reenact" the gas tax swap, with the new 2/3 vote threshold as required by Proposition 26 to pass a tax increase by the legislature. Despite the fact that the gas tax swap was passed as a revenue-neutral package, several legal minds have opined that while the legislature can reduce taxes with a majority vote, increasing a tax necessitates a 2/3 vote.

Governor Brown recommends pursuing budget trailer bill language to clear the ambiguity associated with complying with Proposition 26. This suggests reenactment of the excise tax increases for highways and streets & roads, as well as the sales tax on diesel fuel for public transit. While we have yet to see language, we presume that the same spending priorities as in the original swap are being contemplated, with the addition that some of the new excise gas tax would be used to backfill the SHA (i.e. for its loss of the truck weight fees for bond debt service and General Fund loans) in the event that weight fees cannot cover the debt service.

If both the reenactment of the gas tax swap and weight fee proposal is approved, the net impact of the package would result in a nearly identical amount of transportation/transit spending and General Fund relief to pay down bond debt service as originally contemplated in the gas tax swap.

Impact on Transit Funding

The Governor also acknowledges the impact on local public transit spending of the passage of Proposition 22; namely, that Proposition 22 would require all sales tax on diesel fuel revenues to be split 50% between the State Transit Assistance (STA) program (local transit grants) and 50% for non-STA state transit priorities, such as the intercity rail program. He notes that the gas tax swap created a 75%/25% split, favoring the STA program, so he proposes trailer bill language appropriating additional funds from the Public Transportation Account (PTA) fund balance to ensure that local transit agencies continue the equivalent of

75% of the sales tax on diesel fuel, plus the \$23 million in FY 11-12 and \$12 million in FY 12-13 that local transit agencies were to have received from non-Article XIX revenues as a part of the 2010 gas tax swap. This is expected to offset the effect on local transit of shifting of \$77.5 million in non-Article XIX revenues to fund debt service in FY11-12.

Given lower diesel sales revenues, the total amount of state funding for local transit agencies from PTA resources – i.e. the STA program – is estimated to be \$329.6 million for FY 11-12.

Proposition 1A Funding

The total amount of funding available, including state bond and federal funds, for state operations and capital outlay in FY 10-11 is \$220.9 million and \$192 million in FY 11-12. These funds are for continued project management, environmental and engineering work.

The Governor states that while the High-speed Rail Authority has been awarded billions of dollars in federal funding for construction, details of the grants have not been finalized and appropriation of these funds may not be needed until FY 12-13. Therefore, only \$89.7 million in federal funds for partial design and environmental work is reflected in the budget, with the same amount in bond funds for the state match.

Proposition 1B Funding

An appropriation of \$2.3 billion for capital funding of bond projects is made available for the following programs within Proposition 1B:

- \$631.2 million for the Corridor Mobility Improvement Account
- \$972.3 million for the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund
- \$117 million for the Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account
- \$200 million for the State and Local Partnership Program
- \$22 million for the Local Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program
- \$391.9 million for State Route 99

Department of Finance Director Ana Matasantos mentioned during the Governor's press conference that the state will not have a Spring bond sale for the first time since 1988, meaning that allocations for bond programs will be delayed even further.

Planning Program Project Initiation Document (PID) Workload Justification

The Governor proposes an increase of \$2.4 million and 18 positions to complete PIDs for state and locally funded projects on the state highway system. This includes a decrease of \$4.9 million in SHA resources and an increase of \$7.2 million in reimbursements from locals to complete PIDS on locally funded projects.

Board Action Requested

We have been working with a broad coalition of stakeholders including the League of Cities, California State Association of Counties, California Alliance for Jobs, and California Transit Association, among others, to push for the reenactment of the gas tax swap. Please see the attached material to the board packet to view materials that have been distributed by the coalition. It would be helpful for the STA Board to support the coalition's efforts so that we can communicate this to our legislative delegation.

Lobby Day

Each year, members of the STA Board have visited Sacramento to speak with our legislative delegation about issues of concern. This year, we would like to visit our delegation to ask for reenactment of the gas tax swap and use of weight fees for bond debt service, supporting the sale and acceleration of bond allocations, and lowering the local vote threshold for local sales tax measures. We are working with STA staff to determine the best possible date for our annual Lobby Day.

This page intentionally left blank.



CALIFORNIA STATE
ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES



LEAGUE OF CALIFORNIA CITIES



REGIONAL COUNCIL OF RURAL
COUNTIES



ASSOCIATED GENERAL
CONTRACTORS (AGC)



January 4, 2011

To: Members of the Legislature

From: Associated General Contractors
California Alliance for Jobs
California State Association of Counties
California Transit Association
League of California Cities
Regional Council of Rural Counties
Transportation California

Re: **Comprehensive Fix to Address Propositions 22 & 26 and the March 2010
Transportation Tax Swap**

The Problem

The passage of Proposition 22 and Proposition 26 have many implications for the Transportation Tax Swap (AB 8X 6: Tax Provisions and AB 8X 9: Allocation Formulas) enacted in March 2010. Recall, the swap made the following major changes:

1. Eliminated the sales tax on gas and replaced it with a 17.3-cent excise tax increase on gasoline, indexed to keep pace with what the sales tax on gasoline would have generated in a given fiscal year to ensure true revenue neutrality. Revenues are allocated as follows:
 - 44% State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)
 - 44% Local Streets and Roads
 - 12% State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP)
2. Reduced the excise tax on diesel to 13.6-cents and replaced it with an increase in the sales tax rate on diesel by 1.75 percent, and provided an exemption to hold harmless entities that would be impacted from the change (SB 70).

A primary reason for enacting the swap was to remove transportation funding from the general fund and the annual budget debate. Equally important is the state general fund savings estimated at approximately \$1 billion annually from the replacement 17.3-cent excise tax or Highway User Tax Account (HUTA) dedicated to transportation bond debt service.

However, Prop 22 limits the use of HUTA funds for bond debt and general fund relief as required in the swap. Further, Proposition 26 invalidates the replacement taxes contained in AB 8X 6 within 12-months of its passage and is self-executing in November 2011.

The Solution

In order to address these issues with the Transportation Tax Swap, we urge the Legislature to enact a comprehensive solution that addresses state general fund, state and local transportation, and transit concerns. The comprehensive package should:

1. Validate the replacement tax provisions as contained in AB 8X 6 with a 2/3rds vote of the Legislature (Prop 26 fix);
2. Approve the transfer of Transportation Weight Fees from the State Highway Account (SHA) to a fund to provide the General Fund relief and backfill any losses to the SHA with a portion of the replacement 17.3-cent excise tax (Prop 22 fix); and
3. Reenact a revised AB 8X 9 (Allocations Formulas) that allows the new 17.3-cent gas excise tax and 1.75 percent sales tax rate increase on diesel to be allocated for its intended uses and achieves the same fiscal results anticipated in March 2010 (Prop 22 fix). This includes:
 - a. Language to allocate the new Section 2103 Highway User Tax Account (HUTA) funds for the STIP, SHOPP, and Local Streets and Roads; and
 - b. Language to achieve something closer to the originally-intended split of Public Transportation Account revenues that recognized the importance of funding local transit operations.

The Imperative

The loss of \$2.5 billion in revenue jeopardizes transportation projects across California, threatens thousands of jobs, and negatively impacts the overall economic wellbeing of the State given the multiplier affects from infrastructure investment. This loss of transportation revenue would be devastating to California's transportation programs effecting state, regional and local projects across all systems and modes.

The most effective path to provide certainty and avoid the risk of losing these transportation funds and provide the State this much needed and promised general fund relief is to pass a comprehensive package to fix the issues with the Transportation Tax Swap from Propositions 22 and 26.

Contact Information

Dave Ackerman, Associated General Contractors – dackerman@theapexgroup.net or (916) 444-9601

Jim Earp, California Alliance for Jobs – jearp@rebuildca.org or (916) 446-2259

DeAnn Baker, California State Association of Counties – dbaker@counties.org or (916) 650-8104

Josh Shaw, California Transit Association – josh@caltransit.org or (916) 446-4656

Jennifer Whiting, League of California Cities – jwhiting@cacities.org or (916) 658-8249

Paul Smith, Regional Council of Rural Counties – psmith@rcrcnet.org or (916) 445-4806

Mark Watts, Transportation California – mwatts@smithwattsco.com or (916) 446-5508

cc: The Honorable Jerry Brown, Governor, State of California
Anna Manasantos, Director, Department of Finance
Mark Hill, Program Budget Manager, Department of Finance

Gas Tax Swap Re-enactment Q&A

1. Why was the gas tax swap enacted?

In October 2009, the California Supreme Court upheld a lower court ruling in the *Shaw v. Chiang* case that annual raids on transit funding, which diverted billions of dollars of sales tax revenue for General Fund purposes, was illegal. As a result, the legislature enacted the gas tax swap proposal in order to acquire General Fund relief to pay down bond debt service by converting the sources of state funding for state highway and local streets and roads programs to rely on an increase in the excise tax, while eliminating the sales tax on gasoline. Essentially, Proposition 42 was traded for a 17.3 increase in the excise tax.

2. What is the impact of the gas tax swap?

The gas tax swap allowed the legislature to acquire a dedicated, ongoing source of revenue to pay down General Obligation (G.O.) debt service on transportation bonds, essentially converting G.O. bonds into revenue bonds. In addition, funding for highways, transit, and local streets and roads was increased over historic allocations.

3. Why is reenactment of the gas tax swap necessary?

The passage of Proposition 26 has called into question the legality of gas tax swap due to the requirement that taxes and fee increases be passed by a 2/3 vote. Despite the fact that the gas tax swap was passed as a revenue-neutral package in one bill (AB 6, Chapter 11, Statutes of the 2009-10 8th Extraordinary Session) several legal minds have opined that while the legislature can reduce taxes with a majority vote (elimination of sales tax on gas), increasing a tax (excise tax) necessitates a 2/3 vote. The swap was approved by the legislature on a majority vote.

4. Why is enactment of the proposal to dedicate weight fees to bond debt service necessary?

Proposition 22 restricts the legislature's ability to utilize excise tax revenues for bond debt service. The dedication of weight fees (\$800 to \$900 million annually) for bond debt service would help alleviate the pressure caused by the restriction imposed by Proposition 22.

5. Does the gas tax swap need to be reenacted in tandem with the weight fee proposal?

Yes. Both proposals need to be enacted as a package in order to maintain the integrity of the gas tax swap package as approved last March.

6. Why can't the weight fee proposal be adopted alone?

Weight fees are intended to pay for bond debt service that accrues when the state is able to sell bonds. Therefore, the proposal would create capacity for bond debt service which was intended to provide *supplemental* funding for the state's transportation infrastructure needs but it does not protect the historic sources of funding which are necessary in many cases to fully fund projects.

Without swift action, \$2.5 billion in traditional funding for transportation programs would be in severe jeopardy, compromising over 40,000 jobs, adding to the state's 12% unemployment rate, and eroding the tax base to fund vital programs such as education and public safety, while potentially exposing the state and local jurisdictions to liability claims by contractors.

7. What happens to transit funding without reenactment of the gas tax swap?

It shrinks considerably. The gas tax swap retained the sales tax on diesel (at an increased rate) as the only source of state funding for transit operations and capital through the State Transit Assistance (STA) program. Proposition 22 splits revenues 50/50, while the gas tax swap split revenues 75/25 to favor STA. Without the reenactment of the swap, fewer resources would be available to maintain current local transit service.

MEMORANDUM

January 27, 2011

To: Solano Transportation Authority
From: Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP
Re: January Report

We assisted STA in preparing appropriations submissions for Congressman Garamendi in January. We also monitored developments in Washington regarding surface transportation funding and SAFETEA-LU reauthorization.

Surface Transportation Reauthorization

The President spoke in his State of the Union address in support of continuing investment in highways, bridges, rail and transit to keep the country competitive and “win the future” despite his call for freezing federal discretionary spending from fiscal year 2011 through fiscal year 2015. Although he did not identify new revenue sources, he stated that the transportation program would be fully paid for, attract private investment, select projects based on merit, and be free of earmarks. He threatened to veto any bill that Congress sends to him that contains earmarks.

The President’s Budget, which is to be released the week of February 14, will outline a comprehensive, six year surface transportation reauthorization plan. According to a White House release, it will be front-loaded so that most of the spending will occur in the early years and contain a proposal for an infrastructure bank to support funding for projects of national and regional significance. The bill also will authorize the expansion of the high speed rail program. During the address, the President set a goal of providing 80 percent of Americans access to high-speed rail in the next 25 years.

Both Senate Environment and Public Works Committee Chair Barbara Boxer (D-CA) and House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee Chair John Mica (R-FL) have stated that the surface transportation reauthorization is one of their top priorities this year. Chair Mica is planning to draft a bill this spring in an effort to send the bill to the Senate by this summer. His priorities are stabilizing the trust funds without increasing the gasoline tax, reprogramming unspent transportation funds, expanding innovative finance and public private partnerships, and streamlining project delivery. In February, Chair Mica will embark on a nationwide multi-city listening tour to hear from stakeholders. While plans have not been finalized, a listening session has been scheduled for Fresno, California, and a congressional field hearing has been scheduled

Solano Transportation Authority

January 27, 2011

Page 2

in Southern California, which EPW Chair Boxer is expected to attend. A second listening tour may be scheduled for March.

Chair Boxer has promised to work closely with EPW Ranking Member James Inhofe (R-OK) and with Chair Mica to enact a bill in 2011. She endorsed the President's proposal to invest in infrastructure to create jobs and expand the economy. Although she has not outlined additional priorities, Chair Boxer has indicated that she will examine ways to leverage local funding as a means of securing funding sources for infrastructure projects, including potentially expanding the Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (TIFIA) loan program.

Although he prides himself on being the most conservative Senator, Sen. Inhofe believes in infrastructure spending as one of a few roles for the federal government. He has recommended that spending should be focused on the core transportation programs and away from tourist ferry boat services or recreational bike trails, because they offer an opening for critics of the program as wasteful and misguided.

Despite agreement in the White House and the leadership of the congressional authorizing committees, enactment of legislation to authorize a six-year highway program still faces great difficulties due to a lack of sufficient revenues in the Highway Trust Fund and possible shortfalls. The Congressional Budget Office predicted that the Highway Trust Fund will run a deficit of \$7 billion in 2011, compared with a surplus of \$11 billion in 2010, on January 26. Chair Mica has stated his commitment to hold spending under the 6-year bill to projected revenue or about \$250 billion in new budgetary authority. Some Democrats have suggested that because the outlook for funding is not favorable that it may be preferable to adopt a 2-3 year bill.

House Rule Change

Further clouding the future of transportation spending is a House Rule change that eliminated a point of order against appropriations bills that do not guarantee spending to the authorized levels under the transportation act. Adopted by the House on January 5, the rule requires that spending from the Highway Trust Fund be limited to authorized highway and transit programs and bars funding of unauthorized programs like the Obama Administration's Transportation Infrastructure Generating livable communities program. With the rule change, the House Budget and Appropriations Committees can allow surpluses to build in the highway trust fund to mask the size of the federal deficit, a practice that was routine before Congress passed the surface transportation law (TEA-21) in 1998.

The rule change was vigorously opposed by the transportation lobby, as well as Chair Mica and the House Committee's Ranking Member, Rep. Nick Rahall (D-WV). A group of 21 transportation groups and supporters, including the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, the American Road and Transportation Builders Association and the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, sent a letter to House leadership opposing the rule change and

Solano Transportation Authority
January 27, 2011
Page 3

warning that it would make annual federal highway and transit investments subject to the whims of the appropriations process.

Fiscal Year 2011 Continuing Resolution

During the week of February 14, the House is expected to consider a continuing resolution (CR) to fund the federal government through the end of fiscal year 2011. The current continuing resolution expires on March 4 and provides funding for most government programs at fiscal year 2010 levels. It was adopted in December after Congress was unable to pass the appropriations bills by the end of the last Congress. The February 14 debate is intended to allow the House and Senate sufficient time to reach agreement on the funding and prevent a government shutdown. If the House and Senate fail to reach an agreement, they may adopt another short-term funding bill.

The House floor debate appears to be timed to coincide with the release of President Obama's fiscal year 2012 budget. Republican leaders have said that his proposal to freeze federal spending for the next five years does not go far enough and instead want non-security discretionary spending reduced to 2008 levels or lower. On January 25, the House passed a resolution directing the appropriations committees to make the reductions in spending in the CR to move toward that funding level. The Republican Leadership called this a "down payment" on plans to further reduce federal spending. Members and staff of the House Appropriations Committees are working on a line-by-line review of federal programs to identify spending cuts. The Republican leadership plans to adopt an open rule to allow additional amendments to reduce spending during the House floor debate on the CR.

The conservative House Republican Study Committee (RSC) proposed eliminating funding for the new starts and high speed rail programs in their proposal to reduce fiscal 2011 non-security discretionary spending to fiscal 2008 levels. Their proposal also calls for spending to be rolled back to 2006 levels for a total savings of \$2.5 trillion by 2021. It is unlikely that these and most other programs will be eliminated, however, since most programs have support from constituencies that will insist on continuation of the programs.

Spending reductions adopted by the House are likely to be modified in negotiating a proposal with the Senate that the President will sign into law. The Democratic-controlled Senate and President likely will push back against some of the spending cuts, including in transportation.

This page intentionally left blank.



DATE: February 11, 2011
 TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
 FROM: Sara Woo, Associate Planner
 RE: Funding Opportunities Summary

Discussion:

Below is a list of funding opportunities that will be available to STA member agencies during the next few months. Attachment A provides further details for each program.

	FUND SOURCE	AMOUNT AVAILABLE	APPLICATION DEADLINE
1.	Carl Moyer Memorial Air Quality Standards Attainment Program (for San Francisco Bay Area)	Approximately \$20 million	Due On First-Come, First Served Basis
2.	Carl Moyer Off-Road Equipment Replacement Program (for Sacramento Metropolitan Area)	Approximately \$10 million	Due On First-Come, First-Served Basis
3.	Air Resources Board (ARB) Clean Vehicle Rebate Project (CVRP)*	Up to \$5,000 rebate per light-duty vehicle	Due On First-Come, First-Served Basis
4.	Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) Hybrid Electric Vehicle Purchase Vouchers (HVIP)*	Approximately \$10,000 to \$45,000 per qualified request	Due On First-Come, First-Served Basis
5.	Station Area and Land Use Planning Program*	Approximately \$750,000	Due On March 1, 2011
6.	FTA Section 5310 Elderly and Disabled Specialized Transit Program*	Approximately \$25 million for FFY 2010/11	Due On March 4, 2011
7.	Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District (YSAQMD) Clean Air Funds (CAF)*	Approximately \$260,000	Due On March 25, 2011
8.	Caltrans Bicycle Transportation Account (BTA) Grant	Estimated \$7 million based on previous cycles	Anticipated Date: March 25, 2011
9.	Caltrans Community-Based Transportation Planning Grants	Budget is \$3 million , each project not to exceed \$300,000 for 2011/12	Anticipated Date: April 1, 2011
10.	Caltrans Environmental Justice: Context-Sensitive Planning	Budget is \$3 million , each project not to exceed \$300,000 for 2011/12	Anticipated Date: April 1, 2011

*New funding opportunity

Fiscal Impact:

None.

Recommendation:

Informational.

Attachment:

- A. Detailed Funding Opportunities Summary

This page intentionally left blank.

Attachment A

The following funding opportunities will be available to the STA member agencies during the next few months. Please distribute this information to the appropriate departments in your jurisdiction.

Fund Source	Application/Program Contact Person**	Application Deadline/Eligibility	Amount Available	Program Description	Additional Information
Carl Moyer Memorial Air Quality Standards Attainment Program (for San Francisco Bay Area)	Anthony Fournier Bay Area Air Quality Management District (415) 749-4961 afournier@baaqmd.gov	Ongoing. Application Due On First-Come, First Served Basis Eligible Project Sponsors: private non-profit organizations, state or local governmental authorities, and operators of public transportation services	Approximately \$20 million	Carl Moyer Memorial Air Quality Standards Attainment Program provides incentive grants for cleaner-than-required engines, equipment, and other sources of pollution providing early or extra emission reductions.	Eligible Projects: cleaner on-road, off-road, marine, locomotive and stationary agricultural pump engines http://www.baaqmd.gov/Divisions/Strategic-Incentives/Funding-Sources/Carl-Moyer-Program.aspx
Carl Moyer Off-Road Equipment Replacement Program (for Sacramento Metropolitan Area)	Gary A. Bailey Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (916) 874-4893 gbailey@airquality.org	Ongoing. Application Due On First-Come, First-Served Basis Eligible Project Sponsors: private non-profit organizations, state or local governmental authorities, and operators of public transportation services	Approximately \$10 million , maximum per project is \$4.5 million	The Off-Road Equipment Replacement Program (ERP), an extension of the Carl Moyer Program, provides grant funds to replace Tier 0, high-polluting off-road equipment with the cleanest available emission level equipment.	Eligible Projects: install particulate traps, replace older heavy-duty engines with newer and cleaner engines and add a particulate trap, purchase new vehicles or equipment, replace heavy-duty equipment with electric equipment, install electric idling-reduction equipment http://www.airquality.org/mobile/moyererp/index.shtml

*New Funding Opportunity

**STA staff, Sara Woo, can be contacted directly at (707) 399-3214 or swoo@sta-snci.com for assistance with finding more information about any of the funding opportunities listed in this report.

Fund Source	Application/Program Contact Person**	Application Deadline/Eligibility	Amount Available	Program Description	Additional Information
Air Resources Board (ARB) Clean Vehicle Rebate Project (CVRP)*	Meri Miles ARB (916) 322-6370 mmiles@arb.ca.gov	Application Due On First-Come, First-Served Basis	Up to \$5,000 rebate per light-duty vehicle	The Zero-Emission and Plug-In Hybrid Light-Duty Vehicle (Clean Vehicle) Rebate Project is intended to encourage and accelerate zero-emission vehicle deployment and technology innovation. Rebates for clean vehicles are now available through the Clean Vehicle Rebate Project (CVRP) funded by the Air Resources Board (ARB) and implemented statewide by the California Center for Sustainable Energy (CCSE).	Eligible Projects: Purchase or lease of zero-emission and plug-in hybrid light-duty vehicles http://www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/aqip/cvrp.htm
Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) Hybrid Electric Vehicle Purchase Vouchers (HVIP)*	To learn more about how to request a voucher, contact: info@californiahvip.org	Application Due On First-Come, First-Served Basis	Approximately \$10,000 to \$45,000 per qualified request	The California Air Resources Board (ARB) created the HVIP to speed the market introduction of low-emitting hybrid trucks and buses. It does this by reducing the cost of these vehicles for truck and bus fleets that purchase and operate the vehicles in the State of California. The HVIP voucher is intended to reduce about half the incremental costs of purchasing hybrid heavy-duty trucks and buses.	Eligible Projects: Purchase of low-emission hybrid trucks and buses http://www.californiahvip.org/

*New Funding Opportunity

**STA staff, Sara Woo, can be contacted directly at (707) 399-3214 or swoo@sta-snci.com for assistance with finding more information about any of the funding opportunities listed in this report.

Fund Source	Application/Program Contact Person**	Application Deadline/Eligibility	Amount Available	Program Description	Additional Information
Station Area and Land Use Planning Program*	Therese Trivedi MTC (510) 817-5767 ttrivedi@mtc.ca.gov	March 1, 2011 Eligible Applicants: Local jurisdictions with 1) areas approved as planned or potential PDAs and 2) station areas in transit extension projects identified under MTC's resolution 3434 that don't meet MTC's TOD policy for minimum housing thresholds	Approximately \$750,000	City-sponsored planning efforts for the areas around future transit extension stations. These station-area and land-use plans are intended to address the range of transit-supportive features that are necessary to support high levels of transit ridership.	Eligible Projects: Land use plans and policies that will substantially increase transit ridership around public transit hubs and bus and rail corridors in the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area http://www.mtc.ca.gov/planning/smart_growth/stations/
FTA Section 5310 Elderly and Disabled Specialized Transit Program*	Liz Niedziela STA (707) 399-3217 eniedziela@sta-snci.com One Harbor Center Suite 130 Suisun City, CA 94585	March 4, 2011 Eligible Applicants: Private non-profit corporations, and public agencies approved by the State	Approximately \$25 million	Provides capital grants for projects that meet the transportation needs of elderly persons and persons with disabilities where public mass transportation services are otherwise unavailable, insufficient or inappropriate.	Eligible Projects: Accessible vans and buses, mobile radios and communication equipment, computer hardware and software http://www.mtc.ca.gov/funding/FTA/5310.htm
Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District (YSAQMD) Clean Air Funds (CAF)*	Jim Antone YSAQMD (530) 757-3653 jantone@vsqmd.org 1947 Galileo Court Suite 103 Davis, CA 95616	March 25, 2011 Eligible Applicants: Eastern Solano County jurisdictions (Dixon, Rio Vista, Vacaville, and surrounding unincorporated areas)	Approximately \$260,000	The YSAQMD CAF Program is designed to reduce emissions from motor vehicles by supporting cleaner vehicle technologies, alternative modes of transportation, and public education.	Eligible Projects: Clean technologies/low-emission vehicles, alternative transportation (bicycle and pedestrian projects), transit services, and public education http://www.vsqmd.org/Incentives10.php
Caltrans Bicycle Transportation Account (BTA)	Ann Mahaney Caltrans (916) 653-0036	March 25, 2011 Eligible Applicants:	\$7.2 million total expected to be allocated, max for	This program provides state funds for city and county projects that improve safety	Eligible Projects: (1) new bikeways serving major transportation

*New Funding Opportunity

**STA staff, Sara Woo, can be contacted directly at (707) 399-3214 or swoo@sta-snci.com for assistance with finding more information about any of the funding opportunities listed in this report.

Fund Source	Application/Program Contact Person**	Application Deadline/Eligibility	Amount Available	Program Description	Additional Information
Grant*	ann.mahaney@dot.ca.gov P.O. Box 942874 Sacramento, CA 94274-0001	Cities and Counties with an adopted Bicycle Transportation Plan (BTP)	one applicant is \$1.8 million	and convenience for bicycle commuters.	corridors; (2) new bikeways removing travel barriers; (3) secure bicycle parking; (4) bicycle-carrying facilities on public transit; (5) installation of traffic control devices to improve safety; (6) elimination of hazardous conditions on existing bikeways; (7) planning; (8) improvement and maintenance of bikeways http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/bta/BTA/CallForProjects.htm

Community-Based Transportation Planning Grants*	Russ Walker Caltrans (916) 651-6886 russ_walker@dot.ca.gov	Grants for 2011/2012 due April 1, 2011 (anticipated deadline) Eligible Applicants: Cities and Counties	Budget is \$3 million, each project not to exceed \$300,000; this grant requires a local 20% match with a maximum 10% in-kind contribution allowed	This program provides planning funds that support livable communities (such as long-term economic development, multimodal linkages, and jobs/housing balance), coordinate land-use and transportation planning, reflect community values, and include non-traditional participants in transportation decision making.	Eligible Projects: Long-term sustainable community studies/plans, blueprint planning follow-up or refinement, rural smart growth, transit oriented/adjacent development or “transit village” studies/plans, infill studies/plans, etc http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/grants.html
---	---	---	--	---	---

*New Funding Opportunity

**STA staff, Sara Woo, can be contacted directly at (707) 399-3214 or swoo@sta-snci.com for assistance with finding more information about any of the funding opportunities listed in this report.

Fund Source	Application/Program Contact Person**	Application Deadline/Eligibility	Amount Available	Program Description	Additional Information
Environmental Justice: Context-Sensitive Planning*	Jorge Rivas Caltrans (916) 654-6236 jorge_rivas@dot.ca.gov	Grants for 2011/2012 due April 1, 2011 (anticipated deadline) Eligible Applicants: Cities and Counties	Budget is \$3 million , each project not to exceed \$300,000; this grant requires a local 10% match with a maximum 5% in-kind contribution allowed	This program provides funding for transportation planning-related projects that promote environmental justice in local planning; contribute to the early and continuous involvement of low-income and minority communities in the planning and decision-making process; improve mobility and access for under-served communities; and create a business climate that leads to more economic opportunities, services and affordable housing.	Eligible Projects: Transit Innovation studies/plans, comprehensive mobility studies/plans, context-sensitive streetscapes or town center studies, complete street studies, context-sensitive community development planning, community-friendly goods movement transportation corridors, ports, and airports studies, etc http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/grants.html

*New Funding Opportunity

**STA staff, Sara Woo, can be contacted directly at (707) 399-3214 or swoo@sta-snci.com for assistance with finding more information about any of the funding opportunities listed in this report.

This page intentionally left blank.



STA Board Meeting Highlights
4:00 p.m., January 19, 2011
(Special Time/Date)

TO: City Councils and Board of Supervisors
(Attn: City Clerks and County Clerk of the Board)
FROM: Johanna Masiclat, STA Clerk of the Board
RE: Summary Actions of the January 19, 2011 STA Board Meeting

Following is a summary of the actions taken by the Solano Transportation Authority at the Board Meeting of January 19, 2011. If you have any questions regarding specific items, please call me at (707) 424-6008.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Pete Sanchez, Chair	City of Suisun City
Harry Price, Vice Chair	City of Fairfield
Elizabeth Patterson	City of Benicia
Jack Batchelor, Jr.	City of Dixon
Jan Vick	City of Rio Vista
Len Augustine	City of Vacaville
Jim Spering	County of Solano

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:

Osby Davis	City of Vallejo
------------	-----------------

SWEARING IN OF NEW STA BOARD MEMBER AND ALTERNATE MEMBER

- 1. Steve Hardy**
Board Member Representing the City of Vacaville
- 2. Ron Rowlett**
Alternate Board Member Representing the City of Vacaville
- 3. John Vasquez**
Alternate Board Member Representing the County of Solano

ACTION – FINANCIAL ITEMS

A. Fiscal Year (FY) 2010-11 Mid-Year Budget Revision

Recommendation:

Adopt the FY 2010-11 Mid-Year Budget Revision as shown in Attachment A.

On a motion by Vice Chair Price, and a second by Board Member Spring, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation.

B. Solano County Transit (SolTrans) Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) Transition Team and Contract Support Funding Agreement

Recommendation:

1. SolTrans Transition Team Project Manager John Harris and Transition Team of consultants and member agency staff as identified on Attachment A; and
2. Authorize the Executive Director to enter into an agreement with SolTrans to provide transitional management, grants, administration, financial and legal service as outlined on Attachment B in an amount not to exceed \$130,000.

On a motion by Board Member Patterson, and a second by Board Member Spring, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation.

ACTION – NON-FINANCIAL ITEMS

A. Conduct Public Hearing and Adopt Resolution of Necessity to Acquire Property by Eminent Domain, if necessary, for the I-80 Eastbound Cordelia Truck Scales Relocation Project

Recommendation:

Approve the following:

1. Conduct a Public Hearing; and
2. Adopt the Resolution of Necessity to acquire by eminent domain, if necessary, the property needed for the I-80 Eastbound Cordelia Truck Scales Relocation Project as shown on the Property Map (Attachment A) and specified in the Resolution of Necessity (Attachment B).

Chairman Sanchez opened the Public Hearing at 4:33 p.m.

Richard Gidden, Suisun City Resident, provided some critical comments against the expensive role of government.

The Chair closed the Public Hearing at 4:35 p.m. and referred the matter to the Board for action.

On a motion by Vice Chair Price, and a second by Board Member Batchelor, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation.

B. Selection of 2011 STA Chair and Vice Chair

Recommendation:

Approve the following:

1. Selection of the STA Chair for 2011 Commencing with the STA Board Meeting of February 9, 2011;
2. Selection of the STA Vice-Chair for 2011 Commencing with the STA Board Meeting of February 9, 2011; and
3. Request the new Chair Designate the STA Executive Committee for 2011.

Board Comments:

Board Member Patterson requested the following to be noted for the record. Since 1991 through the year 2015, the amount of times the following cities have served as STA Chair: Benicia: 1x, Dixon: 3x, Fairfield: 4x, Rio Vista: 3x, Suisun City: 3x, Vacaville: 3x, Vallejo: 3x, and Solano County: 3x.

On a motion by Board Member Spring, and Board Member Batchelor, the STA Board unanimously approved the selection of Harry Price (City of Fairfield) as Chair.

On a motion by Vice Chair Harry Price, and Board Member Spring, the STA Board unanimously approved the selection of Jack Batchelor (City of Dixon) as Vice-Chair.

STA's new Chair Price designated the STA Executive Committee for 2011 as:

- Vice Chair Jack Batchelor (City of Dixon)
- Board Member Steve Hardy (City of Vacaville)
- Board Member Jim Spring (County of Solano)

CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS

On a motion by Vice Chair Price, and a second by Board Member Batchelor, the STA Board approved Consent Calendar Items A through O as amended.

A. STA Board Meeting Minutes of December 8, 2010

Recommendation:

Approve STA Board Meeting Minutes of December 8, 2010.

B. Fiscal Year (FY) 2010-11 First Quarter Budget Report

Recommendation:

Review and file.

C. Contract Amendment for Transit Project Management Consultant - John Harris Consulting

Recommendation:

Authorize the Executive Director to amend the consultant contract with John Harris Consulting for Transit Project Management for SolTrans Transition Plan by \$30,000 for an amount not-to-exceed of \$45,000.

- D. I-80 Eastbound Cordelia Truck Scales Relocation Project - Contract Amendment for HDR Engineering, Inc.**
Recommendation:
Approve a contract amendment with HDR in the not-to-exceed amount of \$718,104, to complete PS&E and R/W engineering services for the I-80 Eastbound Cordelia Truck Scales Relocation Project.
- E. I-80 Eastbound Cordelia Truck Scales Relocation Project – Fund Reallocation**
Recommendation:
Approve the attached Resolution 2011-01 and Funding Allocation Request from Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) that would transfer \$4.5 million in Regional Measure 2 funds from the Design Phase to the Right-of-Way phase for the I-80 Eastbound Cordelia Truck Scales Project.
- F. I-80 Express Lanes Project Implementation**
Recommendation:
Approve the following:
1. Authorize the Executive Director to amend contracts with PDM Group;
 2. Mark Thomas Company; and
 3. HDR Engineering, Inc. such that the total of all three contracts not exceed \$16,400,000 for environmental document phase for the I-80 Express Lanes Project.
- G. I-80 Ramp Metering Contract Amendment for the Mark Thomas/Nolte Joint Venture (JV)**
Recommendation:
Approve a contract amendment for the MTCo/Nolte team in a not-to-exceed amount of \$50,000 to cover additional design services during construction that may be required for the I-80 HOV Lanes - Ramp Metering Project.
- H. Monitoring Services for the Mitigation Planting and Irrigation Project for the North Connector**
Recommendation:
Authorize the Executive Director to enter into a contract with HT Harvey for an amount not-to-exceed \$123,000 to provide mitigation monitoring services for the Mitigation Site.
- I. Jepson Parkway Project Update**
Recommendation:
Authorize the Executive Director to:
1. Release a Request for Proposals for Design Services for the Jepson Parkway Project; and
 2. Enter into an agreement with a consultant for Design Services for an amount not-to-exceed \$2.4 million.
- J. Appointment of STA Legal Counsel – Bernadette Curry**
Recommendation:
Approve the following:
1. Appoint Bernadette Curry to serve as STA Legal Counsel; and
 2. Authorize the Executive Director to negotiate and enter into a contract with Solano County County Counsel for legal services for the STA.

K. SolTrans Logo and Branding Request for Proposal (RFP)

Recommendation:

Authorize the Executive Director to:

1. Release a Request for Proposal (RFP) in an amount not-to-exceed \$40,000 to secure a consultant firm to develop a SolTrans logo and branding. and
2. Enter into an agreement with a consultant for SolTrans logo, design and branding for an amount not-to-exceed \$40,000.

L. Solano Countywide Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) Plan Update

Recommendation:

Authorize the Executive Director to:

1. Release a Request for Proposals (RFP) which includes a scope of work for the Solano Countywide Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) Plan Update consistent with Attachment A; and
2. Enter into an agreement with a consultant for the development of the Solano Countywide TLC Plan update for an amount not-to-exceed \$50,000.

M. I-80/I-680/I-780/State Route (SR) 12 Transit Corridor Study

Recommendation:

Approve the following:

1. The Scope of Work for the I-80/I-680/I-780/Hwy 12 Transit Corridor Study as shown an Attachment A;
2. Authorize the Executive Director to release of a Request for Proposals; and
3. Authorize Executive Director to enter into an agreement for consultant services for an amount not-to-exceed \$140,000.

N. SolTrans Financial Services and Human Resources Request for Proposals (RFP)

Recommendation:

Authorize the Executive Director to:

1. Release a Request for Proposal (RFP) to secure an agency or firm to perform financial and human resources services for SolTrans; and
2. Execute a contract(s) for SolTrans financial management and human resources services in an amount not-to-exceed \$70,000.

O. Renewal of Membership with Solano Economic Development Corporation (EDC) for 2011

Recommendation:

Approve the renewal of STA's membership with the Solano Economic Development Corporation (SolanoEDC) at the ~~Executive Premier Member~~ "Stakeholders Chairman's Circle" level of ~~\$5,000~~ 7,500 for the Annual Investment Year 2011.

COMMENTS FROM METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION (MTC), CALTRANS, AND STAFF:

A. MTC Report:

None presented.

B. Caltrans Report:

Board Member Patterson requested staff follow-up with Caltrans regarding the delays and cutbacks of the planting along I-780.

C. STA Reports:

1. State Legislative Update presented by Gus Khouri, Shaw Yoder
2. STA Board Year-End Highlights presented by Jayne Bauer
3. Directors Report:
 - a. Planning – Robert Guerrero provided an update on the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) Performance Measures. He cited that this item would be brought back for further discussion at a later date.
 - b. Projects – None provided.
 - c. Transit/Rideshare – Elizabeth Richards

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

A. Presentation on Proposed Modifications to STA Retirement Benefits Plan

Joy Apilado, STA’s HR Consultant, evaluated options for considering a restructuring and reallocation of its benefit plans to accomplish four specific objectives: consideration of investment strategies, retention of quality employees, strives to maintain staff continuity, and control financial costs. She indicated that at the February meeting, the STA Board will consider approving the modification of its investment in its 401a defined contribution plan to a Public Agency Retirement (PARS) managed defined plan.

Public Comments:

Richard Giddens, City of Suisun City resident, commented on the pension system of public employees.

NO DISCUSSION

B. Solano Sustainable Communities Strategy Update

C. Funding Opportunities Summary

D. STA Board Meeting Schedule for Calendar Year 2011

BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

ADJOURNMENT

The STA Board meeting was adjourned at 5:10 p.m. The next regular meeting of the STA Board is scheduled for Wednesday, February 9, 2011, 6:00 p.m., Suisun City Hall Council Chambers.

Attested by:


Johanna Masielat
Clerk of the Board

January 31, 2011
Date



**STA Board Meeting Highlights
6:00 p.m., February 9, 2011**

TO: City Councils and Board of Supervisors
(Attn: City Clerks and County Clerk of the Board)
FROM: Johanna Masiclat, STA Clerk of the Board
RE: Summary Actions of the February 9, 2011 STA Board Meeting

Following is a summary of the actions taken by the Solano Transportation Authority at the Board Meeting of February 9, 2011. If you have any questions regarding specific items, please call me at (707) 424-6008.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Pete Sanchez, Chair	City of Suisun City
Harry Price, Vice Chair	City of Fairfield
Elizabeth Patterson	City of Benicia
Jack Batchelor, Jr.	City of Dixon
Jan Vick	City of Rio Vista
Len Augustine	City of Vacaville
Jim Spering	County of Solano

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:

Osby Davis	City of Vallejo
------------	-----------------

SWEARING IN OF NEW STA BOARD MEMBER AND ALTERNATE MEMBER

1. Janith Norman

Alternate Board Member Representing the City of Rio Vista

ACTION – FINANCIAL ITEMS

A. Proposed Modification to STA's Retirement Benefit Plan

Recommendation:

Authorize the Executive Director to:

1. Replace the 401(a) Defined Contribution Plan to a Defined Benefit Plan with PARS for an annual savings of approximately \$50,000;
2. **Approve Resolution 2011-04;**
3. Award contract to PARS for the administration and management of the PARS Plan for an annual amount of \$9,000; and
4. Increase PARS annual payment towards the payoff of the Actuarial Liability in the amount of \$25,000 for the next five to ten years.

On a motion by Vice Chair Batchelor, and a second by Board Member Sanchez, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation as amended shown above in *bold italics*.

ACTION – NON-FINANCIAL ITEMS

A. Solano Sustainable Communities Strategy Update/ Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Projects Submittal Update

Recommendation:

Adopt the STA RTP Call for Projects methodology and schedule in Attachment D.

On a motion by Board Member Davis, and a second by Board Member Patterson, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation.

B. Safe Routes to Transit Plan – Scope of Work

Recommendation:

Approve the following:

1. Approve the Scope of Work for creation of the Safe Routes to Transit Plan in Attachment A; and
2. Authorize the Executive Director to release a Request for Proposal for Safe Routes to Transit Plan consultant services.

On a motion by Vice Chair Batchelor, and a second by Board Member Patterson, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation.

C. Legislative Update

Recommendation:

Approve the following:

1. Support of three State priorities as specified:
 - a) Reenactment of the gas tax swap and use of truck weight fees for bond debt service
 - b) Sale and acceleration of bond allocations
 - c) Lower the voter threshold for local sales tax measures
2. Authorize the STA Chair to forward letters to the Governor and members of Solano's State legislative delegation to support the reenactment of the gas tax swap.

On a motion by Board Member Vick, and a second by Vice Chair Batchelor, the STA Board unanimously approved the recommendation.

CONSENT CALENDAR

On a motion by Board Member Patterson, and a second by Board Member Sanchez, the STA Board approved Consent Calendar Items A through J.

A. STA Board Meeting Minutes of January 19, 2011

Recommendation:

Approve STA Board Meeting Minutes of January 19, 2011.

- B. Draft TAC Meeting Minutes of January 26, 2011**
Recommendation:
 Approve Draft TAC Meeting Minutes of January 26, 2011.
- C. SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium 2011 Work Plan**
Recommendation:
 Approve the SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium 2011 Work Plan as shown on Attachment B.
- D. 2011 Paratransit Coordinating Council (PCC) Work Plan**
Recommendation:
 Approve the 2011 PCC Work Plan as shown in Attachment A.
- E. Appointment of Pedestrian Advisory Committee (PAC) Member**
Recommendation:
 Appoint Ms. Carol Day, Bay Area Ridge Trail Council and Mr. William Paul, City of Dixon, to the Pedestrian Advisory Committee for a three-year term.
- F. Safe Routes to School (SR2S) Public Safety Enforcement Grant**
Recommendation:
 Approve the following:
 1. Approve the STA's SR2S Public Safety Enforcement Grant Application process; and
 2. Release a Request for Letters of Intent for the STA's Safe Routes to School Public Safety Enforcement Grant as described in Attachment A.
- G. Regional Measure 2 (RM 2) Benicia Intermodal Resolution of Support**
Recommendation:
 Approve Resolution No. 2011-03 authorizing the funding allocation for Regional Measure 2 funds to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the City of Benicia for the Solano County Express Bus North Intermodal Facilities – Benicia Intermodal Facility.
- H. Congestion Management Program Traffic Data**
Recommendation:
 Authorize the Executive Director to release a Request for Proposal (RFP) for the traffic counts required for the update of the Solano CMP for an amount-not-to-exceed \$10,000 and to execute a contract to obtain the required traffic counts.
- I. Regional Housing Needs Allocation Consultant Contract**
Recommendation:
 Authorize the Executive Director to provide \$10,000 to Solano County in order to hire David Early to assist in the development and implementation of a Solano County Sub Regional RHNA effort.
- J. Public Private Partnership Feasibility Study**
Recommendation:
 Authorize the Executive Director to execute a Project Management contract with Gray-Bowen Consulting for an amount-not-to-exceed \$20,000.

COMMENTS FROM METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION (MTC), CALTRANS, AND STAFF:

- A. MTC Report:**
None presented.
- B. Caltrans Report:**
None presented.
- C. STA Reports:**
Directors Report:
 - a. Planning – Robert Macaulay provided an update on upcoming SR 12 Public Meetings
 - b. Projects – None provided.
 - c. Transit/Rideshare – None provided.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

- A. Highway Projects Update**
 - 1. I-80/I-680/SR 12 Interchange**
 - 2. I-80 EB Cordelia Truck Scales Relocation**
 - 3. North Connector**
 - 4. I-80 Ramp Metering: Red Top Rd. to Air Base Pkwy**
 - 5. Redwood Pkwy - Fairgrounds Drive Improvements**
 - 6. Jepson Parkway**
 - 7. State Route 12 (Jameson Canyon)**
 - 8. State Route 12 East SHOPP Project**
 - 9. I-80 SHOPP Rehabilitation Projects**

Janet Adams provided a detailed update on two projects scheduled to start construction in 2011; the construction of Jameson Canyon and the relocation and update of the Eastbound Cordelia Truck Scales.

NO DISCUSSION

- B. Fiscal Year (FY) 2010-11 Abandoned Vehicle Abatement (AVA) Program First Quarter Report**
- C. Project Delivery Update**
- D. Funding Opportunities Summary**
- E. STA Board Meeting Schedule for Calendar Year 2011**

BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

ADJOURNMENT

The STA Board meeting was adjourned at 6:55 p.m. The next regular meeting of the STA Board is scheduled for Wednesday, March 9, 2011, 6:00 p.m., Suisun City Hall Council Chambers.

Attested by:



**Johanna Masielat
Clerk of the Board**

January 31, 2011
Date

This page intentionally left blank.



DATE: February 11, 2011
TO: SolanoExpress Intercity Transit Consortium
FROM: Johanna Masiclat, Clerk of the Board
RE: STA Board and Advisory Committee Meeting Schedule for 2011

Background:

Attached are the STA Board and Advisory Committee Meeting Schedule for 2011 that may be of interest to the Consortium.

Fiscal Impact:

None.

Recommendation:

Informational.

Attachments:

- A. STA Board and Advisory Committee Meeting Schedule for 2011



**STA BOARD AND ADVISORY
COMMITTEE MEETING SCHEDULE
CALENDAR YEAR 2011**
(Last Updated: February 2011)

SUMMARY:	
STA Board:	Meets 2 nd Wednesday of Every Month
Consortium/TAC:	Meets Last Wednesday of Every Month
BAC:	Meets 1 st Thursday of every <i>Odd</i> Month
PAC:	Meets 3 rd Thursday of every <i>Odd</i> Month
PCC:	Meets 3 rd Thursdays of every <i>Odd</i> Month

DATE	TIME	DESCRIPTION	LOCATION	STATUS
Wed., February 23	10:00 a.m.	Intercity Transit Consortium	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
	1:30 p.m.	Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
Thurs., March 3	6:30 p.m.	Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC)	STA Conference Room	Tentative
Wed., March 9	3:30 p.m.	RTIF Policy Committee	Suisun City Hall	Confirmed
Wed., March 9	6:00 p.m.	STA Board Meeting	Suisun City Hall	Confirmed
Thurs., March 17	1:00 p.m.	Paratransit Coordinating Council (PCC)	Solano Comm. College, FF	Confirmed
Thurs., March 17	6:00 p.m.	Pedestrian Advisory Committee (PAC)	STA Conference Room	Tentative
Wed., March 30	10:00 a.m.	Intercity Transit Consortium	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
	1:30 p.m.	Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
Thurs., April 7	1:30 p.m.	RTIF Working Group	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
Wed., April 13	6:00 p.m.	STA Board Meeting	Suisun City Hall	Confirmed
Thurs., April 21	1:30 p.m.	RTIF Stakeholders Committee	Suisun City Hall	Confirmed
Wed., April 27	10:00 a.m.	Intercity Transit Consortium	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
	1:30 p.m.	Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
Thurs., May 5	6:30 p.m.	Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC)	STA Conference Room	Tentative
Wed., May 11	3:30 p.m.	RTIF Policy Committee	Suisun City Hall	Confirmed
Wed., May 11	6:00 p.m.	STA Board Meeting	Suisun City Hall	Confirmed
Thurs., May 19	1:00 p.m.	Paratransit Coordinating Council (PCC)	Ulatis Comm. Ctr., VV	Confirmed
Thurs., May 19	6:00 p.m.	Pedestrian Advisory Committee (PAC)	STA Conference Room	Tentative
Wed., May 25	10:00 a.m.	Intercity Transit Consortium	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
	1:30 p.m.	Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
Thurs., June 2	1:30 p.m.	RTIF Working Group	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
Wed., June 8	6:00 p.m.	STA Board Meeting	Suisun City Hall	Confirmed
Thurs., June 16	1:30 p.m.	RTIF Stakeholders Committee	Suisun City Hall	Confirmed
Wed., June 29	10:00 a.m.	Intercity Transit Consortium	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
	1:30 p.m.	Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
Thurs., July 7	6:30 p.m.	Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC)	STA Conference Room	Tentative
Wed., July 13	3:30 p.m.	RTIF Policy Committee	Suisun City Hall	Confirmed
Wed., July 13	6:00 p.m.	STA Board Meeting	Suisun City Hall	Confirmed
Thurs., July 21	1:00 p.m.	Paratransit Coordinating Council (PCC)	Fairfield Comm. Center	Confirmed
Thurs., July 21	6:00 p.m.	Pedestrian Advisory Committee (PAC)	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
July 27 (No Meeting)	SUMMER RECESS	Intercity Transit Consortium	N/A	N/A
		Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	N/A	N/A
August 10 (No Meeting)	SUMMER RECESS	STA Board Meeting	N/A	N/A
Wed., August 31	10:00 a.m.	Intercity Transit Consortium	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
	1:30 p.m.	Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
Thurs., September 1	6:30 p.m.	Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC)	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
Wed., September 7	6:00 p.m.	STA Board Meeting	Suisun City Hall	Confirmed
Thurs. September 15	1:00 p.m.	Paratransit Coordinating Council (PCC)	Benicia City Hall	Confirmed
Thurs., September 15	6:00 p.m.	Pedestrian Advisory Committee (PAC)	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
Wed., September 28	10:00 a.m.	Intercity Transit Consortium	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
	1:30 p.m.	Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
Wed., October 12	6:00 p.m.	STA Board Meeting	Suisun City Hall	Confirmed
Wed., October 26	10:00 a.m.	Intercity Transit Consortium	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
	1:30 p.m.	Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
Thurs., November 3	6:30 p.m.	Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC)	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
Wed., November 9	6:00 p.m.	STA's 14 th Annual Awards	TBD - Rio Vista	TBD
Thurs., November 17	1:00 p.m.	Paratransit Coordinating Council (PCC)	Suisun City Hall	Confirmed
Thurs., November 17	6:00 p.m.	Pedestrian Advisory Committee (PAC)	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
Wed., November 30	10:00 a.m.	Intercity Transit Consortium	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
	1:30 p.m.	Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	STA Conference Room	Confirmed
Wed., December 14	6:00 p.m.	STA Board Meeting	Suisun City Hall	Confirmed
Wed., December 28	10:00 a.m.	Intercity Transit Consortium	STA Conference Room	Tentative
	1:30 p.m.	Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	STA Conference Room	Tentative